

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA  
SOUTH BEND DIVISION**

RHOADES, ET AL.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	
v.	)	CAUSE NO.3:05cv586 JTM
	)	
PENN-HARRIS-MADISON SCHOOL	)	
CORPORATION, ET AL.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**ORDER**

On February 7, 2007, the defendant, Penn-Harris-Madison School Corporation, filed a Motion for Extension of Time to Supplement its Motion for Summary Judgment and to Respond to Plaintiff's Supplemental Brief in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment. As the motion indicates, the defendant has not completed discovery and believes that it needs additional discovery to adequately respond to the plaintiff's Supplemental Brief as well as to supplement its own Motion for Summary Judgment. The motion also correctly indicates that the discovery deadline is July 30, 2007 and all dispositive motions are to be filed no later than August 30, 2007.

However, what is confusing to the court is that the defendant specifically requests that they be given up to July 30, 2007, to complete discovery and up to August 30, 2007, to supplement their Motion for Summary Judgment and to reply to plaintiff's Supplemental Brief in Opposition for Summary Judgment. In essence, there is no need for the court to grant the defendant's motion as the very dates they suggests are in fact, the present deadlines. Accordingly, because the plaintiff's motion, even if granted, would not alter the dates and deadlines already established, there is no basis or purpose to grant the requested relief. The defendant's motion for extension of time is **DENIED**.

**SO ORDERED.**

Dated this February 8, 2007.

S/Christopher A. Nuechterlein  
Christopher A. Nuechterlein  
United States Magistrate Judge