1 2 3

4 5

6

7

8

1<u>1</u> 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

. 22

23

21

24 25

BEFORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

In the Matter of

JOHN C. WOODS, M.D.

Holder of License No. 19005 For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine in the State of Arizona Docket No. 05A-19005-MDX

Case No. MD-05-0868A

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER FOR REVOCATION OF LICENSE

On February 8, 2006 this matter came before the Arizona Medical Board ("Board") for oral argument and consideration of the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Brian Brendan Tully's proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Recommended Order involving John C. Woods, M.D. ("Respondent"). Respondent was notified of the Board's intent to consider this matter at the Board's public meeting. Respondent did not appear and was not represented by counsel. The State was represented by Assistant Attorney General Stephen A. Wolf. Christine Cassetta, of the Solicitor General's Section of the Attorney General's Office provided legal advice to the Board.

The Board having considered the ALJ's report and the entire record in this matter hereby issues the following Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for licensing and regulating the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent holds License No. 19005 for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 3. On August 30, 2005 the Board conducted an Emergency Summary Action Teleconference Meeting. During that meeting the Board voted to summarily suspend Respondent's medical license after determining that he was unstable psychiatrically and a

24

.25

danger to the public. On August 31, 2005 the Board's Executive Director issued Interim. Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order for Summary Suspension in Case No. MD-05-0868A against Respondent.

- 4. The Board initiated Case No. MD-05-0868A on August 22, 2005 after being informed that Respondent has been arrested on or about August 16, 2005 by the Navajo County Sheriff's Office. Respondent was charged with four counts of aggravated assault, one count of kidnapping, one count of disorderly conduct, two counts of weapons charges, one count of criminal damage, two counts of discharge of a weapon at a structure, and three counts of endangerment. Respondent's bail was set at \$1.25 million.
- The charges against Respondent stem from a violent domestic dispute between Respondent and his wife at their residence in Linden, Arizona. According to the police report of the incident, Respondent and his wife argued about insurance on August 14, 2005. During the argument that started inside their residence, Respondent grabbed a .44 Magnum handgun and struck his wife in the head several times with the barrel of the handgun. Respondent then dragged his wife outside of the residence into the rain. The wife left the house and went into a pickup truck located on the property. While his wife was inside the truck preparing to leave, Respondent had exited the residence with another handgun, a .38 caliber revolver, and approached the front of the truck. Respondent pointed the weapon at his wife and fired two shots into the vehicle. One shot entered the radiator causing steam to rise from under the hood of the truck. Respondent then went to the driver's door, pointed the handgun at his wife and made her get out of the truck and return to the residence. When his wife exited the vehicle, Respondent followed behind her pointing the handgun at her. Because his wife was not moving fast enough, Respondent fired a shot that hit the ground so close to her feet that mud hit her when the bullet impacted into the ground.

- 6. Once back inside the residence, Respondent's wife talked with him and calmed him down. According to the wife, Respondent would not let her leave the house.
- 7. The next day while Respondent was out of the home, his wife locked up all the weapons in the home to prevent Respondent having access to them. When Respondent returned home he became upset with his wife for securing the weapons. Respondent's wife then left the house and called the Sheriff's Office.
- 8. On August 15, 2005 Respondent's wife went to Navajo Regional Medical Center for treatment of her injuries sustained on August 14, 2005 and caused by Respondent.
- 9. On August 15, 2005 Respondent's wife obtained an Order of Protection against Respondent from the Holbrook Justice Court, Precinct One.
- 10. On August 16, 2005 Sheriff's deputies went to Respondent's residence to search it pursuant to written consent by his wife.
- 11. At the scene, Deputy N. Arney, exited his patrol unit and observed Respondent driving the truck that had been previously identified by Respondent's wife to the Sheriff's investigators. Deputy Arney deployed his patrol rifle and ordered Respondent to stop his vehicle and exit it. Respondent continued driving towards Deputy Arney's patrol unit. The deputy continued to give commands to Respondent. Respondent eventually stopped his truck and exited it as commanded. Respondent was placed under arrest.
- 12. Sheriff's officers found 50 firearms in Respondent's home at the time of his arrest. The officers found a .38 caliber handgun with three spent rounds in the cylinder.

- 13. In Case No. S-0900-CR-0020050480 before the Superior Court of Navajo County, Respondent was charged with the crimes of Aggravated Assault, a class 3 felony, four counts, and Kidnapping, a class 2 felony.
- 14. At the time of this incident Respondent was prohibited from possessing firearms. On or about November 22, 2004 one of Respondent's neighbors obtained an Order of Protection against Respondent. The Order of Protection prohibited Respondent from possessing, receiving or purchasing firearms or ammunition.
- 15. Prior to his arrest, Respondent resigned his position as a psychiatrist with the Community Counseling Center because of his behavioral and other issues.
- 16. There is no evidence in the record to support the Board's factual allegation that "[d]uring an interview with [Navajo County Sheriff's Office] following Respondent's arrest, his wife told officers that he had prescribed medicine for her that he was taking himself."
 - 17. Respondent is a threat to the public health, safety and welfare.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board has jurisdiction over the Respondent and the subject matter in this case.
- 2. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.07(G)(2), the Board has the burden of proof in this matter. The standard of proof is a preponderance of the evidence. A.A.C. R2-19-119(A).
- 3. The conduct and circumstances described in the above Findings does not constitute unprofessional conduct by Respondent in violation of A.R.S. §32- 1401(27)(a) [Violating any federal or state laws, rules or regulations applicable to the practice of medicine]. There is no evidence of record to support the Board's Interim Conclusion of Law No. 5, insofar only as it found that Respondent violated the provision of A.R.S. § 32-

1401(27)(h) [Prescribing or dispensing controlled substances to members of the physician's immediate family].

- 4. The conduct and circumstances described in the above Findings constitutes unprofessional conduct by Respondent in violation of A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(d) [Committing a felony, whether or not involving moral turpitude, or a misdemeanor involving moral turpitude. In either case, conviction by any court of competent jurisdiction or a plea of no contest is conclusive evidence of the commission]. Although there is no evidence as to the status of Respondent's criminal case, which requires proof beyond reasonable doubt for conviction, the Board met its burden of proving that Respondent committed the acts constituting felonies by a preponderance of the evidence.
- 5. The conduct and circumstances described in the above Findings constitutes unprofessional conduct by Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(q) [Any conduct or practice that is or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of the patient or the public].
- 6. The evidence of record supports the Board's summary suspension of Respondent's medical license, which, given the facts presented to the Board, warranted emergency action to protect the public health, safety and welfare. A.R.S. § 32-1451(D).
- 7. Respondent's above described unprofessional conduct warrants the imposition of permanent disciplinary action pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1451.

ORDER

Based upon the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as adopted, the Board hereby enters the following Order:

1. Respondent's license No. 19005 to practice allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona is revoked on the effective date of this Order and Respondent shall return his wallet card and certificate of licensure to the Board.

RIGHT TO APPEAL TO SUPERIOR COURT 2 Respondent is hereby notified that this Order is the final administrative decision 3 of the Board and that Respondent has exhausted his administrative remedies. 4 Respondent is advised that an appeal to Superior Court in Maricopa County may be 5 taken from this decision pursuant to Title 12, Chapter 7, Article 6. 6 Dated this _ 9 day of \(\frac{\frac{1}{2}breeze}{2006}\). 8 9 10 11 12 13 Original of the foregoing filed this qu _ day of ______, 2006, with: 14 Arizona Medical Board 15 9545 East Doubletree Ranch Road Scottsdale, AZ 85258 16 Copy of the foregoing filed this 17 ay of February, 2006, with: 18 Cliff J. Vanell, Director 19 Office of Administrative Hearings 1400 W. Washington, Ste. 101 20 Phoenix, AZ 85007 21 Executed copy of the foregoing mailed by Certified Mail this day of 22 2006, to: 23 John C. Woods, M.D.

(Address of record)

24

25

ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

Timothy C. Miller, J.D. **Executive Director**

By:

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

. 25

Executed copy of the foregoing mailed this day of, 2006, to:
Dean Brekke Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General CIV/LES 1275 W. Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007
R (