# BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	) ) )		
Richard Wayne Powell, M.D.	)	File No.	02-2002-132493
Physician's and Surgeon's	)		
Certificate No. A 46496	)		
Respondent	) )		

### **DECISION**

The attached **Stipulated Settlement & Disciplinary Order** is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on July 21, 2003.

IT IS SO ORDERED June 20, 2003.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

By: \_\_

Ronald Wender, M.D.,

Chair

Panel B

Division of Medical Quality

```
BILL LOCKYER, Altomay General
        of the State of California
    GAIL M. HEPPELL, Supervising
Deputy Attomory, State Ber No. 84134
DANIEL J. TURNER, State Ber No. 79560
 3
     Deputy Attorney General
California Department of Fastice
     1300 I Street, Suite 125
P.O. Box 944255
     Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
Telephone: (916) 324-7861
Facamale: (916) 327-2247
     Attorneys for Complement
 9
                                               BEFORE THE
                                 DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
10
                              DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER APPAIRS
11
                                        STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12
     In the Matter of the Accusation Against:
                                                              Case No. 02-2002-132493
13
     RICHARD WAYNE POWELL, M.D.
                                                              OAH No.
     112 Linden Avenus
     Hagerstown MD 21742
                                                              STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
15
                                                              DISCIPLINARY ORDER
     Physician and Surgeon Certificate No. A 46496
16
                                           Respondent.
17
18
19
                    IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the
20
     above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:
21
                                                  PARTIES
22
                            Ron Joseph ("Complainany") is the Executive Director of the Medical
21
     Board of California. He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in
24
     this matter by Bill Lockyez, Attorney General of the State of California, by Daniel J. Twner,
25
     Deputy Alterney General.
26
                          Respondent Richard Wayne Prevell, M.D. ("Respondent"), is represented
     in this proceeding by attorney John M. Wobsier, whose address is 1558 West Street, Seite 3,
28
     Redding CA 96001.
```

3

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

On or about September 11, 1988, the Medical Board of California immed
Physician and Surgeon Certificate No. A 46496 to Richard Wayne Powell, M.D. The Certificate
was in full force and offect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No.

02-2002-132493 and will expert on May 31, 2005, onless renewed.

#### JURISDICTION

Accusation No. 02-2002-132493 was filed before the Division of Medical Quality ("Division") for the Medical Board of California, Department of Common Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other standonly required documents were properly served on Respondent on March 18, 2003. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defause contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 02-2002-132493 is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated basein by reference.

#### **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with coursel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 02-2002-132493. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully sware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a bearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his awa explana; the right to conficunt and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf, the right to the instance of subposses to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently wrives and gives up each and every right set furth above.

#### CULPABILITY

 In Accusation No. 02-2002-132493 Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in the First Cause for Discipline, including that his dishonesty in

24 日

directing Strand to provide telling sessions to patients who had espected but did not receive psychotherapy services, and Respondent's causing patients' insures to be billed for psychotherapy services that were not provided, countitate dishonesty and suprofessional conduct within the meaning of section 2234(e) of the Code.

9. Respondent agrees that his Physician and Surgeon Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be housed by the Division of Medical Quality's (the "Division's") imposition of discipline at set furth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### CIRCUMSTANCES IN MITIGATION

10. Respondent Richard Wayne Powell, M.D. has never been the subject of any disciplinary action. He is admitting responsibility at an early stage in the proceedings.

#### RESERVATION

11. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

#### CONTINGENCY

Quality. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing this stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to reasond the stipulation prior to the time the Division considers and acts upon it. If the Division fails to adopt this attpulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no fance or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be insulminable in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from farther action by having considered this matter.

•

2	Ì
3	

9 10 11

13 14

12

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25

27 28

26

The parties understand and agree that factimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force end effect as the originals.

14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Division may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

#### DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician and Surgeon Certificate No. A 46496 issued to Respondent Richard Wayne Powell, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

Within 15 days after the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall provide the Division, or its designee, proof of service that Respondent has served a true copy of this decision on the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, or at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, and on the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier where multipactice insurance coverage is extended to Respondent.

- ACTUAL SUSPENSION As part of probation, Respondent is suspended from the practice of medicine for 60 (sixty) days beginning the sixteenth (16th) day after the effective date of this decision.
- 2. ETHICS COURSE Within 60 (siny) days of the effective date of this decision. Respondent shall curoll in a course in Ethics approved in advance by the Division or its designee, and shall successfully complete the course during the first year of probation.
- SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS During probation, Respondent is probabiled from supervising physician emistents.
- OBEY ALL LAWS Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and reason in full compliance with any court ordered eximinal probation, payments and other orders.

5. CUARTERLY REPORTS Proposition shall subsait quarterly declarations under penalty of perjusy on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

# 6. PROBATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM COMPLIANCE Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times, leep the Division informed of his business and residence addresses which shall both serve as addresses of record. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in

writing to the Division. Under no chromataness shall a post office bex serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Respondent shall, at all times, maintain a current and removed physicism's and surgeon's license.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division, in writing, of any travel to any stress outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is one templated to last, more than 30 (thirty) days.

## 7. INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION. TIS DESIGNEE OR ITS DESIGNATED PHYSICIAN(S) Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division, its designee or its designated physician(s) upon request at various intervals and with responded notice.

8. TOLLING FOR CUI-OF-STATE PRACTICE RESIDENCE OR INSTATE NON-PRACTICE in the event Respondent should have California to maide or to
practice optiside the State, or for any reason should Respondent stop practicing medicine in
California, Respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ion (10) days of
the dams of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is
defined as any period of three exceeding thirty (30) days in which Respondent is not engaging in
may activities defined in sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. All time
spent in an intensive training program approved by the Division or its designee shall be
considered as time spent in the practice of medicine. A Board-extend suspension of practice
thall not be considered as a period of non-practice. Periods of temperatry or permanent residence

27.

or practice outside California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary order.

- COMPLETION OF PROBATION Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 10. VIOLATION OF PROBATION If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against Respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- Division the amount of \$7, 457 for its investigative and prosecution costs. Payment is the within 90 (masty) days of the effective date of this decision. Pailme to reinfluese the Division's cost of investigation and prosecution shall constitute a violation of the probation order, unless the Division agrees in writing to payment by an installment plan because of financial hardship. The filling of hardruptcy by Raspondent shall not relieve Respondent of his responsibility to reinfluence the Division for its investigative and prosecution costs.
- 12. PROBATION COSTS Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation manistering each and every year of probation, as designated by the Division, which are consolly set at \$2,874, but may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Division of Medical Quality and delivered to the designated probation answellance monitor no later than January 31 of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 (thirty) days of the doc date shall constitute a violation of probation.
- 13. LICENSE STERENDER Following the effective date of this decision, if Respondent occases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise mable to ratioally the terms and conditions of probation. Respondent may relatively tander his certificate to the Board. The Division reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's suquent and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action denated appropriate and

reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the landered license, Respondent will not longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

**ACCEPTANCE** 

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, John M. Webster. I understand the stipulation and the effect is will have on my Physician and Surgeon Certificate. I come into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarity, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of

9 10

California.

15 16

17

18.

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent, Richard Wayne Powell, M.D., the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. Esperave its form and content.

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27 III.

10

KHNM WEBSTER Attorney for Respon

FROM 530 243 0880

TO RICHARD W. POWELL M 5/14/2003 7:57 PM Page 14

64/81/2003 1(:	ELL MD to 10109 55p TOHN N	UEBSTER 30880		2003- <b>168</b> 67	PM P	15 003/00
*						
1		PNO	REMINI			
2	The floor	poine Stipulated Settl	cenent and Disci	olinary Order 18	carry respectful	ly
3	submitted for countidors	tion by the Division o	Medical Qualit	y, Medical Boss	of California of	
	the Department of Cons	umer Affairs	•			
6	DATED: May 2	3 2003				
7		7, 200,5				
8		BILLL	OCKYER, Attor	nev Gereni		
9		of the S	OCKYER, Attor rate of California		: :	
10		11/2	nn	han	20	
11		DANIE	L.J. TURNER Attorney General			
12			ys for Complain			
13						
14 15						
16						
17			185) - 1850 - 1865	en e		
18						
19						
20						
21						
22			Te o			
25						
24 25						
26						
27						
<b>7</b>						
				A STATE OF THE STA		

Exhibit A
Accusation No. 02-2002-132493

1 BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California 2 GAIL M. HEPPELL, Supervising Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 84134 3 DANIEL J. TURNER, State Bar No. 79560 Deputy Attorney General 4 California Department of Justice 1300 I Street, Suite 125 5 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 6 Telephone: (916) 324-7861 Facsimile: (916) 327-2247 7 Attorneys for Complainant 8 9

FILED

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

SACRAMENTO MALLEN ANALYST

BY Soma Meen Analyst

### BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

RICHARD WAYNE POWELL, M.D.
112 Linden Avenue
Redding, CA 96001

Physician and Surgeon Certificate No. A 46496

Respondent.

Case No. 02-2002-132493

ACCUSATION

Complainant alleges:

#### **PARTIES**

- Ron Joseph ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about September 11, 1988, the Medical Board of California issued Physician and Surgeon Certificate Number A 46496 to Richard Wayne Powell, M.D. ("Respondent"). The Physician and Surgeon Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2003, unless renewed.

27 /

10

11

18

19

20

. 21

22

23

25

26

-28 //

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality ("Division") for the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2227 of the Business and Professions Code (hereinafter " the Code") provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.
  - 5. Section 2234 of the Code states:

"The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act].
  - "(b) Gross negligence.
  - "(c) Repeated negligent acts.
  - "(d) Incompetence.
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."
- 6. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Division may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

part:

19

27

- 28 I

Section 14124.12 of the Welfare and Institutions Code states, in pertinent 7.

"(a) Upon receipt of written notice from the Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, or the Board of Dental Examiners of California, that a licensee's license has been placed on probation as a result of a disciplinary action. the department may not reimburse any Medi-Cal claim for the type of surgical service or invasive procedure that gave rise to the probation, including any dental surgery or invasive procedure, that was performed by the licensee on or after the effective date of probation and until the termination of all probationary terms and conditions or until the probationary period has ended, whichever occurs first. This section shall apply except in

any case in which the relevant licensing board determines that compelling circumstances warrant the continued reimbursement during the probationary period of any Medi-Cal claim, including any claim for dental services, as so described. In such a case, the

department shall continue to reimburse the licensee for all procedures, except for those invasive or surgical procedures for which the licensee was placed on probation."

8. Section 810 of the Code states:

"(a) It shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of a license or certificate, for a health care professional to do any of the following in connection with his or her professional activities:

- "(1) Knowingly present or cause to be presented any false or fraudulent claim for the payment of a loss under a contract of insurance.
- "(2) Knowingly prepare, make, or subscribe any writing, with intent to present or use the same, or to allow it to be presented or used in support of any false or fraudulent claim.
- "(b) It shall constitute cause for revocation or suspension of a license or certificate for a health care professional to engage in any conduct prohibited under Section 1871.4 of the Insurance Code or Section 550 of the Penal Code.

"(c) As used in this section, health care professional means any person licensed or certified pursuant to this division, or licensed pursuant to the Osteopathic Initiative Act, or the Chiropractic Initiative Act."

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty)
[Bus. & Prof. Code § 2234 (e)]

- 9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234(e) of the Code, in that he billed or caused to be billed for payment to insurers of his patients for psychotherapy sessions that were not provided. The circumstances are as follows:
- 10. From about August 1997 through about January 2000, Respondent, a psychiatrist, employed Jeremy Strand, a licensed vocational nurse ("LVN"), to work at Respondent's office in Redding, California. Strand was not licensed to provide psychotherapy. During the time that Strand worked for Respondent, Respondent assigned Strand to meet with several of Respondent's patients for one-on-one sessions from 50 to 60 minutes. The patients included the following: A. P., A. K., T. N., and P. K. Sometimes during the sessions Strand had with the patients, Strand would begin the session alone with the patient and Respondent would come in later, or at the end of the session, and the three would talk. At other times, Strand would begin the session alone with the patient and complete the session with the patient without Respondent ever attending the session. During those sessions where Strand would meet with the patient alone, Strand would talk with the patient. For the sessions in which Strand met with the patients alone, without Respondent being present, Respondent later would either write a billing code on the bottom of the patient's chart falsely indicating that psychotherapy services had been provided, or he would have support staff write the false billing code. Respondent would also sign the patient chart Afterwards, support staff would use the false billing codes written at the bottom of the patient's chart for billing the patient's insurers for psychotherapy services that had not been provided.

26

21

22

23

24

25

27

<sup>1.</sup> Only the patient's initials are provided for privacy concerns. Complainant shall provide the full names of the patients upon Respondent submitting a timely discovery request.

l I

-28 J

- 11. Between July 1997 and April 1998, Strand saw patient A. P. on at least five occasions for one-on-one sessions in which Respondent did not attend the sessions and for which Respondent had his support staff bill the patient's insurer as if psychotherapy had been provided when, in fact, it had not.
- 12. Between August 1997 and November 1997, Strand saw patient A. K. on at least five occasions for one-on-one sessions in which Respondent did not attend the sessions and for which Respondent had his support staff bill the patient's insurer as if psychotherapy had been provided when, in fact, it had not.
- 13. Between September 1997 and February 1998, Strand saw patient T. N. on at least two occasions for one-on-one sessions in which Respondent did not attend the sessions and for which Respondent had his support staff bill the patient's insurer as if psychotherapy had been provided when, in fact, it had not.
- 14. On or about January 6, 1998, Strand saw patient P. K. for a one-on-one session in which Respondent did not attend the session and for which Respondent had his support staff bill the patient's insurer as if psychotherapy had been provided when, in fact, it had not.
- 15. On those occasions when Strand had sessions with Respondent's patients without Respondent appearing for the session, Strand would fill out the patient's chart with information corresponding to three of the four letters of the acronym SOAP; S for "subjective," O for "objective observation" of the patient, and P for "treatment plan." The other letter of the acronym, A (for "assessment or diagnosis"), would remain as previously written by Respondent. After Strand had completed the individual session with a patient and had written the SOAP notes on the patient's chart, he would sign his signature at the bottom of the chart. Respondent would later also sign his signature at the bottom of the chart.
- 16. Respondent would also have Strand conduct group sessions with two or more patients in which Respondent was not present during the session and the patients' insurers would later be billed for group psychotherapy.

1	
1	17. During the above period that Respondent was having patients' insurers
2	billed for psychotherapy services which were not provided, Respondent's office manager,
3	Rebecca Ramsey, was aware that Strand was not licensed to provide psychotherapy. Ramsey
4	was also aware that Respondent was billing for psychotherapy services based on Strand's face-to-
5	face talking sessions with several of Respondent's patients and Strand's conducting group
6	sessions. In the Fall of 1997, Ramsey related to Respondent that patients who were seen by
7	Strand were complaining that their insurer was paying for them to see Respondent and not
8	Strand. Ramsey also questioned Respondent as to why he was billing the patients' insurers for
9	psychotherapy services based on Strand's one-on-one sessions with the patients when Strand was
10	not licensed to provide psychotherapy. Respondent, in an angry outburst, responded that he was
11	the doctor and that was how it was going to be and that the finances had to be straightened out.
12	18. Respondent's dishonesty in directing Strand to provide talking sessions to
13	patients who had expected but did not receive psychotherapy services, and Respondent's causing
14	patients' insurers to be billed for psychotherapy services that were not provided, constitute
15	dishonesty and unprofessional conduct within the meaning of section 2234(e) of the Code.
16	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Unprofessional Conduct)
17	1

- Complainant incorporates by reference paragraphs 10-17 above, in full, as 19. if fully set forth at this point.
- Respondent's misconduct in knowingly preparing, making or subscribing 20. any writing, including his writing billing codes and writing his signature to patient's medical charts used for false billings, with the intent for them to be used in the support of false claims, constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of section 810(a)(2) of the Code.

(Unprofessional Conduct) [Bus. & Prof. Code § 810(b), and Penal Code § 550(a)]

Complainant incorporates by reference paragraphs 10-17 above, in full, as 21. if fully set forth at this point.

28

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	22. Respondent's misconduct in knowingly making or causing to be made any					
2	false or fraudulent claim for payment of a health care benefit constitutes unprofessional conduct					
3	within the meaning of section 810(a)(b) of the Code.					
4	<u>PRAYER</u>					
5	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein					
6	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Division of Medical Quality issue a decision:					
7	Revoking or suspending Physician and Surgeon Certificate Number A					
8	46496, issued to Richard Wayne Powell, M.D.;					
9	2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Richard Wayne Powell,					
10	M.D.'s authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;					
11	3. Ordering Richard Wayne Powell, M.D. to pay the Division of Medical					
12	Quality the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and, if placed on					
13	probation, the costs of probation monitoring;					
14	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.					
15						
16	DATED: March 18, 2003					
17	$\bigcirc \land$					
18	RON JOSERH					
19	Executive Director  Medical Board of California					
20	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California					
21	Complainant					
22 :						
23						
24 25						
23 26	ATTENDED TO THE PROPERTY OF TH					
20 27						
28						
· ••••						
: ; <del>=</del>	7					