BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:)) File No:	04-2006-176224
MARK STEVEN KOSINS, M.D.	,)	
Physician's & Surgeon's Certificate No. A 25406)))	
Respondent.)))	

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby accepted and adopted as the Decision and Order by the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on May 22, 2009

IT IS SO ORDERED April 22, 2009

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Barbara Yaroslavski Chair, Panel B

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General		
2	of the State of California THOMAS S. LAZAR		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General SAMUEL K. HAMMOND, State Bar No. 141135		
4	Deputy Attorney General 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100		
5	San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2083 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
. 9	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA		
10	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11		IFORNIA	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 04-2006-176224	
13		OAH No. L-2008030126	
14	647 Camino De Los Mares, Suite 226 San Clemente, CA 92673	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
15			
16	No. A 25406 Respondent.	,	
17			
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the		
. 19	above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
20	<u>PARTIES</u>		
2	1. Barbara Johnston (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical		
2:	Board of California. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in		
2	this matter by Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, by Samuel K.		
2	Hammond, Deputy Attorney General.		
2	2. Respondent Mark Steven Kosins, M.D. (Respondent), is represented in		
2	this proceeding by attorney Raymond J. McMahon, Esq. whose address is 1851 E. First Street,		
2	Suite 810 Santa Ana, CA 92705.		
2	8 ///		
	11 777		

///

3. On or about July 10, 1973, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 25406 to Mark Steven Kosins, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 04-2006-176224 and will expire on August 31, 2010, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. On or about November 13, 2007, Accusation No. 04-2006-176224 was filed before the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California (hereinafter "Board"), and is currently pending against respondent. A true and correct copy of the Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on respondent on November 13, 2007. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A true and correct copy of Accusation No. 04-2006-176224 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 04-2006-176224. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent does not contest that at an administrative hearing, complainant could establish a *prima facie* case with respect to the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 04-2006-176224, and that he has thereby subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 25406 to disciplinary action. Respondent agrees to the bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.
- 9. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if the Board ever petitions for termination of probation, all the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 04-2006-176224 shall be deemed true and correct and fully admitted by respondent for purposes of that proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving respondent in the State of California.

CONTINGENCY

- 10. The parties agree that this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be submitted to the Board for its consideration in the above-entitled matter and, further, that the Board shall have a reasonable period of time in which to consider and act on this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order after receiving it.
- shall be null and void and not binding upon the parties unless approved and adopted by the Board, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in full force and effect. Respondent fully understands and agrees that in deciding whether or not to approve and adopt this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, the Board may receive oral and written communications from its staff and/or the Attorney General's office. Communications pursuant to this paragraph shall not disqualify the Board, any member thereof, and/or any other person from future participation in this or any other matter affecting or involving respondent. In the event that the Board, in its discretion, does not approve and adopt this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, with the exception of this paragraph, it shall not become effective, shall be of no evidentiary value whatsoever, and shall not be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by either party hereto. Respondent further agrees that should the Board reject this Stipulated Settlement and

28 ///

Disciplinary Order for any reason, respondent will assert no claim that the Board, or any member thereof, was prejudiced by its/his/her review, discussion and/or consideration of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order or of any matter or matters related hereto.

ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

- 11. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final and exclusive embodiment of the agreements of the parties in the above-entitled matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate

No. A 25406 issued to respondent Mark Steven Kosins, M.D. is revoked. However, the

revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for thirty-five (35) months from the

effective date of this Decision on the following terms and conditions.

1. <u>PRESCRIBING PRACTICES COURSE</u> Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in prescribing practices, at respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Failure to successfully complete the course during the first 6 months of probation is a violation of probation.

A prescribing practices course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

2. <u>MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE</u> Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping, at respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Failure to successfully complete the course during the first 6 months of probation is a violation of probation.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

3. <u>CLINICAL TRAINING PROGRAM</u> Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall enroll in a clinical training or educational program equivalent to the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program (PACE) offered at the University of California - San Diego School of Medicine ("Program").

The Program shall consist of a Comprehensive Assessment program comprised of a two-day assessment of respondent's physical and mental health; basic clinical and communication skills common to all clinicians; and medical knowledge, skill and judgment pertaining to respondent's specialty or sub-specialty, and at minimum, a 40 hour program of clinical education in the area of practice in which respondent was alleged to be deficient and which takes into account data obtained from the assessment, Decision(s), Accusation(s), and any other information that the Board or its designee deems relevant. Respondent shall pay all expenses associated with the clinical training program.

Based on respondent's performance and test results in the assessment and clinical education, the Program will advise the Board or its designee of its recommendation(s) for the scope and length of any additional educational or clinical training, treatment for any medical condition, treatment for any psychological condition, or anything else affecting respondent's practice of medicine. Respondent shall comply with Program recommendations.

At the completion of any additional educational or clinical training, respondent shall submit to and pass an examination. The Program's determination whether or not respondent passed the examination or successfully completed the Program shall be binding.

Respondent shall complete the Program not later than six months after respondent's initial enrollment unless the Board or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion.

Failure to participate in and complete successfully all phases of the clinical training program outlined above is a violation of probation.

4. <u>NOTIFICATION</u> Prior to engaging in the practice of medicine, the respondent shall provide a true copy of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s) to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent, at any other facility where respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 5. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS</u> During probation, respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants.
- 6. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u> Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.

- 7. QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.
- 8. PROBATION UNIT COMPLIANCE Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of respondent's business and residence addresses. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021, subdivision (b).

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in respondent's place of residence. Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board, or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than 30 calendar days.

- 9. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE Board, OR ITS DESIGNEE</u> Respondent shall be available in person for interviews either at respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with the Board or its designee, upon request at various intervals, and either with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- should leave the State of California to reside or to practice, respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding 30 calendar days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program outside the State of California which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine within the State. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be

considered as a period of non-practice. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; Probation Unit Compliance; and Cost Recovery.

Respondent's license shall be automatically canceled if respondent's periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California total two years. However, respondent's license shall not be canceled as long as respondent is residing and practicing medicine in another state of the United States and is on active probation with the medical licensing authority of that state, in which case the two year period shall begin on the date probation is completed or terminated in that state.

11. FAILURE TO PRACTICE MEDICINE - CALIFORNIA RESIDENT

In the event respondent resides in the State of California and for any reason respondent stops practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 30 calendar days prior to the dates of non-practice and return to practice. Any period of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term and does not relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the terms and conditions of probation. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding 30 calendar days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall be considered time spent in the practice of medicine. For purposes of this condition, non-practice due to a Board-ordered suspension or in compliance with any other condition of probation, shall not be considered a period of non-practice.

Respondent's license shall be automatically canceled if respondent resides in California and for a total of two years, fails to engage in California in any of the activities described in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052.

- 12. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u> Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., cost recovery, restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- VIOLATION OF PROBATION Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 14. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u> Following the effective date of this Decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may request the voluntary surrender of respondent's license. The Board reserves the right to evaluate respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its designee and respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation and the surrender of respondent's license shall be deemed disciplinary action. If respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.
- associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board which but may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 calendar days of the due date is a violation of probation.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Raymond J. McMahon. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

DATED: January 5th, 2009

MARK STEVEN ROSINS, M.D.
Respondent

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

I have read and fully discussed with respondent Mark Steven Kosins, M.D., the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED

RAYMOND J. MCMAHON Attorney for Respondent

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 //

28 /

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California. EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California THOMAS S. LAZAR Supervising Deputy Attorney General Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant DOJ Matter ID: SD2007801540 80326557.wpd

Exhibit A
Accusation No. 04-2006-176224

FILED
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
SACRAMENTO ANN CONTROL OF CALIFORNIA
BY THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF

EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California
STEVEN V. ADLER,
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
RICHARD D. HENDLIN, State Bar No. 76742
Deputy Attorney General
California Department of Justice
110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
San Diego, CA 92101
P.O. Box 85266
San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 645-2071
Facsimile: (619) 645-2061

Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No.

Case No. 04-2006-176224

MARK STEVEN KOSINS, M.D. 647 Camino De Los Mares, Suite 226 San Clemente, CA 92673

ACCUSATION

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 25406

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Complainant alleges:

PARTIES

Respondent.

- 1. Barbara Johnston ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about July 10, 1973, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 25406 to MARK STEVEN KOSINS, M.D., M.D. ("Respondent"). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2008, unless renewed.

28 | ///

///

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality ("Division") for the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 2227 of the Code states:
 - "(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the division, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - "(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the division.
 - "(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the division.
 - "(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the division.
 - "(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the division.
 - "(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the division or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
 - "(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the division and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1."

1//

5. Section 2234 of the Code states:

"The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act].
 - "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
 - "(d) Incompetence.
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate.
 - "(g) "

. .

6. Section 2266 of the Code states: "The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

7. Unprofessional conduct under California Business and Professions Code section 2234 is conduct which breaches the rules of the ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming to a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice medicine. (*Shea v. Board of Medical Examiners* (1978) 81 Cal.App.3d 564, 575.)

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

- 8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (b), in that he committed gross negligence in his care and treatment of patient B.D. The circumstances are as follows:
- 9. From on or about January 21, 2005 to on or about March 17, 2005. and prior to seeing respondent, patient B.D., a married, retired, 68-year-old Caucasian male, had been treated by psychiatrist I.R., MD, of Laguna Hills, California. Prior to seeing Dr. I.R., patient B.D. had never sought psychiatric treatment although he stated he felt depressed since his second wife's death in 2001. His depression markedly worsened in 2003. At the start of treatment with Dr. I.R., patient B.D. was receiving Xanax XR, Protonix, Wellbutrin, Reglan, Zelnorm and Norvasc. According to Dr. I.R.'s records, he diagnosed B.D. with Anxiety Disorder not otherwise specified [NOS]; Depression NOS; and deferred diagnosis on Axis II. Dr. I.R. stopped the Wellbutrin, and started B.D. on Effexor (venlafaxine, an SSRI¹), Ativan, Lamictal, Restoril and Seroquel, with limited beneficial results on his anxiety and depression. Patient B.D. was apparently referred to respondent by his primary care provider, Dr. C.

^{1.} SSRIs, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, are a newer class of antidepressant medications.

11

12 13.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

- 10. On or about March 28, 2005 patient B.D. presented to respondent's office, where he was interviewed by respondent's nurse practitioner, P.C. Patient B.D. complained of a one to two year history of depression and anxiety following the death of his second wife in 2001, and his remarriage in 2003. On the initial evaluation form, patient B.D. described symptoms of severely depressed mood, anxiety, anhedonia (lack of pleasure in daily activities), nighttime insomnia, daytime hypersomnia, multiple daily episodes of uncontrollable crying, hopelessness, guilt, and difficulty concentrating. He also reported that he suffered from hypertension (high blood pressure) and was under the care of Dr. C. Patient B.D. stated that his medication regime was not helping.
- 11. Also on or about March 28, 2005, nurse practitioner P.C. recommended that patient B.D. continue to take his medications, particularly Seroquel, to help with sleep, until seen by respondent. P.C. diagnosed patient B.D. as having Depressive Disorder, not otherwise specified [NOS], the same diagnosis used by Dr. I.R. P.C. recommended a SPECT [single photon emission computed tomography] Scan without indicating why this test was ordered, particularly since an MRI with and without contrast had just been performed by Dr. C.
- Also on or about March 28, 2005, P.C. gave patient B.D. a form 12. entitled "To our patients: Regarding the use of off label medication," which B.D. signed affirming that he was told about off label use and common side effects of medications. The form made no mention of Parnate, Effexor or Concerta as medications used individually or together.
- 13. On or about March 30, 2005, patient B.D. was given the Conner's Continuous Performance Test, generally used to test attention, concentration and response speed. There is no indication why this test was ordered.
- On or about March 31, 2005, P.C. referred B.D. to Amen Intake and 14. prescribed Concerta, Provigil, Effexor and Ativan.
- 15. On or about April 11 psychometric evaluations (Beck Depression Inventory, Sheehan Anxiety Scale, Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scales, etc.) were

-Start Omega fatty acids and vitamins to enhance memory

2. Tranylcypromine (Parnate) is an monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs), the first type

-Start Concerta, a psycho stimulant, to help with decreased concentration and anergia

Respondent did not document any consideration that the metocloprarnide (Reglan) might be responsible for some or all of the depressive symptoms.

- 21. On or about April 28, 2005, respondent next saw B.D. who continued to complain of depression and uncontrollable crying spells. B.D. did, however, report improved concentration and improvement in tremor. Respondent did not make any medication changes at this time but stated that he made a note to himself to consider alternative antidepressants. Per respondent's records B.D. was off of Effexor at this time, his last dose was taken on April 27, 2005. B.D. was continued on Concerta.
- 22. On or about May 5, 2005, B.D. saw respondent again with the same complaints of anxiety and depression. He was off of Effexor, and respondent instructed him to try and wean off of Klonopin.
- 23. On or about May 9, 2005, respondent first considered the use of Parnate,² an irreversible MAOI, antidepressant, with patient B.D. In addition, respondent instructed B.D. to try and limit his use of Ativan. Respondent continued B.D. on Concerta.
- 24. On or about May 18, 2005, respondent prescribed Parnate as patient B.D. continued to complain of depression, insomnia, tearfulness, hopelessness and anxiety. At this time he was also taking Ativan, Klonopin, Lamictal and Concerta, along with Norvasc, Reglan, Protonix and Zelnorm. The medical record note makes no mention of the possible risk of using Parnate, an MAOI, and sympathomimetics like Concerta in a hypertensive patient with prior abnormal EKG. Nor does it mention the contraindication of using metoclopramide (Reglan) with an MAOI. Although respondent later claimed that B.D. was advised of the necessary dietary restrictions when using an MAOI, and he was

of antidepressant in use, dating back to the 1950's.

told that the dosage would slowly be increased to a maximum dose of 60 mg/day, this is not documented in his treatment records of that date.

- 25. On May 25, 2005, respondent saw B.D. who was still complaining of crying spells and depression. At this time he was on 40mg of Parnate and had managed to come off of Klonopin. Respondent verbally indicated that he felt the patient was improving and increased the dose of Parnate to 60 mg/day. This is considered the FDA maximum dose of Parnate. It appears that on May 25, B.D. was taking Parnate, Ativan, Lamictal, Concerta, Seroquel, Norvasc, Protonix, Zelnorm, Reglan, and Triazolam.
- 26. On or about June 22, 2005, patient B.D. saw respondent and complained of crying spells, depression, and impaired memory. He was apparently on 60mg of Parnate but was off of Lamictal at this time. B.D. was also taking in addition to the Parnate, the following prescribed medications: Concerta, Ativan, Seroquel, Norvasc, Protonix, Zelnorm, Reglan, and Triazolam. Respondent started him on Namenda, although he did not indicate in the medical records why this given.
- 27. On or about June 29, 2005, respondent stated that he got an email from B.D.'s wife stating that B.D. continued to be very depressed, not getting out of bed for two days, crying all day, and unable to perform activities of daily living. At this time B.D. was taking Parnate 30mg every morning and 30mg every afternoon, Ativan 3mg daily, Concerta 36mg daily, and Seroquel 50 mg daily as needed, along with the antihypertensive and GI meds. Respondent indicated that he replied to Mrs. B.D. to try "the tiniest dose of Effexor to nudge him out of his depression," and asked her to give him 75mg of Effexor. About two hours later, Mrs. B.D. again emailed respondent stating that she administered the 75mg of Effexor to B.D. and that he was complaining of lightheadedness and feeling warm and clammy. She also stated that she checked his blood pressure and pulse and that they were 159/81, and 77, respectively. Respondent asked her to halve the next dose to 37.5mg. At this time B.D. was still on Parnate. Respondent stated that he did not hear from her again but received a call the next day from San Clemente Hospital stating that B.D. was in the emergency room.

28.	On or about June 29, 2005, patient B.D. was admitted to San	
Clemente Hospital w	with hyperthermia, hypertension and rhabdomyolysis and required	
intubation and mech	anical ventilation in the Intensive Care Unit. His symptoms were	
considered to be a re	sult of drug-drug interaction between either Parnate (tranylcypromine	
a MAOI) and Effexor (venlafaxine, a serotonin and noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor		
(SNRI)), or Parnate	and Concerta (a sympathomimetic stimulant). His symptoms were	
generally consistent	with serotonin syndrome. ³	

- 29. Respondent committed gross negligence in his care and treatment of patient B.D., which included, but was not limited to the following:
- A. Respondent's prescribing Effexor (an SSRI), along with Reglan,
 Concerta (a sympathomimetic stimulant) and Parnate (an MAOI), in a patient with
 documented hypertension and abnormal EKG, significantly increased the risk of Serotonin
 Syndrome and cardiovascular hypertensive crisis.
- B. Respondent took risks with patient B.D.'s life which were not carefully considered and discussed with the patient and his family.
- C. Respondent failed to provide written informed consent to patient B.D. about the possible interactions and/or consequences of taking a concurrent MAOI, sympathomimetic stimulant, and an SNRI.
- D. Respondent prescribed Concerta to patient B.D. who had with documented hypertension, without obtaining and/or documenting informed consent.

///

22 ///

^{3.} According to the Mayo Clinic website, **serotonin syndrome** is a "rare but potentially life-threatening side effect" of SSRIs. "This condition, characterized by dangerously high levels of serotonin in the brain, can occur when an SSRI interacts with antidepressants called monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs)." Because of this, SSRIs should not be taken while taking any MAOIs or within two weeks of each other. Serotonin syndrome requires immediate medical treatment. (http://www.mayoclinic.com/health/ssris/MH00066.)

Respondent concurrently prescribed Parnate and Reglan to patient E. . 1 B.D. without documenting whether he considered patient B.D.'s symptoms were the adverse effects of Reglan 3 therapy. SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 5 (Repeated Negligent Acts) 6 Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under sections 30. 7 2220, 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (c) in that he committed 8 repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of patient B.D., as set forth in Paragraphs 9 8 through 29 inclusive, above, which are incorporated herein by reference as though fully 10 11 set forth. THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 12 (Incompetence) 13 Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code 31. 14 sections 2220, 2227 and 2234, as defined by Code section 2234 subdivision (d), in that he 15 was incompetent in his care and treatment of patient B.D., as more fully set forth in 16 Paragraphs 8 through 29 inclusive, above, which are incorporated herein by reference as 17 though fully set forth. 18 19 FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (General Unprofessional Conduct) 20 Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code 32. 21 sections 2220, 2227 and 2234 for general unprofessional conduct in that he breached the 22 rules of the ethical code of the medical profession, and/or committed acts of conduct 23 which are unbecoming to a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which 24 demonstrate an unfitness to practice medicine, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 8 25

28 | ///

set forth.

26

27

through 29, inclusive, above, which are incorporated herein by reference as though fully

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Medical Records)

33. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 2220, 2227 and 2234 as defined by Code section 2266 in that he failed to maintain adequate and accurate medical records regarding his provision of medical services to patient B.D. as more fully set forth in paragraphs 8 through 29, inclusive, above, which are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about October 18, 1984, an Accusation was filed against respondent entitled In the Matter of the Accusation Against Mark Steven Kosins, M.D. before the Medical Board of California, in Case Number D-3260, alleging respondent failed to report suspected child abuse by an associate against three male patients. Effective February 28, 1986, the Board adopted a Proposed Decision suspending respondent's license for 120 days, with said suspension stayed for three years upon terms and conditions. On May 19, 1987, respondent filed a Petition for Termination of Probation. On October 8, 1987, a Decision became effective which granted respondent's Petition for Termination of Probation. Accusation and Decision number D-3260 are now final and are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

20 1///

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21 | ///

22 | ///

23 || / / /

24 ///

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 1//

28 | ///

PRAYER 1 2 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters 3 herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Division of Medical Quality issue a decision: 4 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate 5 Number A 25406, issued to MARK STEVEN KOSINS, M.D. 6 2. 7 Revoking, suspending or denying approval of MARK STEVEN KOSINS, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code; 9 3. Ordering MARK STEVEN KOSINS, M.D., if placed on probation, 10 11 to pay the Division of Medical Quality the costs of probation monitoring; and Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and 12 13 proper. 14 DATED: November 13, 2007 15 16 17 18 19 Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California 20 Complainant 21 22 04-2006-176224 23 24 25 26

27