BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

)

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:)

CECELIA T. MADRID, M.D.

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G-48480

Respondent.

Case No. 06-2007-184583

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on October 20, 2011.

IT IS SO ORDERED September 20, 2011.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

By: Chair Chang.

Panel B

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | | RE THE D OF CALIFORNIA |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| 9 | DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 11 | | |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: CECELIA T. MADRID, M.D. | Case No. 06-2007-184583 |
| 12 | 10659 Riverside Drive | OAH No. 2011010426 |
| 14 | Toluca Lake, California 91602 | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER |
| 15 | Physician's and Surgeon's certificate No. G 48480 | |
| 16 | Respondent. | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of this matter, consistent with the public | |
| 19 | interest and the responsibility of the Medical Bo | ard of California (Board), the parties hereby |
| 20 | agree to the following Stipulated Settlement and | Disciplinary Order which will be submitted to |
| 21 | the Board for approval and adoption as the final | disposition of the Accusation. |
| 22 | PARTIES | |
| 23 | 1. Linda K. Whitney (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Board. She brought | |
| 24 | this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala D. Harris, | |
| 25 | Attorney General of the State of California, by Chris Leong, Deputy Attorney General. | |
| 26 | /// | |
| 27 | /// | |
| 28 | | |
| | | 1 |
| | | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (06-2007-184583) |

2. Respondent CECELIA T. MADRID, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this 1 proceeding by attorney John D. Harwell, whose address is: 225 27th Street, 2 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266. 3 3. On or about August 2, 1982, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate 4 No. G 48480 to Respondent. The Physician and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect 5 at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 06-2007-184583 and will expire on 6 March 31, 2012, unless renewed. 7 JURISDICTION 8 4. Accusation No. 06-2007-184583 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending 9 against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly 10 served on Respondent on May 11, 2011. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense 11 contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 06-2007-184583 is attached as Exhibit A 12 13 and is incorporated herein by reference. ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS 14 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the 15 charges and allegations in Accusation No. 06-2007-184583. Respondent has also carefully read, 16 fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and 17 Disciplinary Order. 18 6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a 19 hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at 20 her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to 21 present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to 22 compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration 23 and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California 24 Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws. 25 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and 26 every right set forth above. 27 /// 28

CULPABILITY 1 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation 2 No. 06-2007-184583. 3 9. Respondent agrees that her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to 4 discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the 5 Disciplinary Order below. 6 CONTINGENCY 7 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. 8 Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical 9 Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and 10 settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the 11 stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek 12 13 to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary 14 15 Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having 16 considered this matter. 17 The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement 11. 18 and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and 19 effect as the originals. 20 In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that 12. 21 the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following 22 Disciplinary Order: 23 **DISCIPLINARY ORDER** 24 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 48480 issued, 25 to Respondent CECELIA T. MADRID, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and 26 Respondent is placed on probation for seven (7) years on the following terms and conditions. 27 28

1. <u>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES - PARTIAL RESTRICTION</u> Respondent shall not order, prescribe, dispense, administer, or possess any controlled substances as defined by the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act, except for those drugs listed in Schedules III, IV and V of the Act.

1

2

3

4

Respondent shall not issue an oral or written recommendation or approval to a patient or a 5 patient's primary caregiver for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical 6 purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5. If 7 respondent forms the medical opinion, after a good faith prior examination, that a patient's 8 medical condition may benefit from the use of marijuana, respondent shall so inform the patient 9 and shall refer the patient to another physician who, following a good faith examination, may 10 independently issue a medically appropriate recommendation or approval for the possession or 11 cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of 12 Health and Safety Code section 11362.5. In addition, respondent shall inform the patient or the 13 patient's primary caregiver that respondent is prohibited from issuing a recommendation or 14 approval for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the 15 patient, and that the patient or the patient's primary caregiver may not rely on respondent's 16 17 statements to legally possess or cultivate marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the 18 patient. Respondent shall fully document in the patient's chart that the patient or the patient's primary caregiver was so informed. Nothing in this condition prohibits respondent from 19 providing the patient or the patient's primary caregiver information about the possible medical 20 benefits resulting from the use of marijuana. 21

Respondent shall immediately surrender respondent's current DEA permit to the Drug
Enforcement Administration for cancellation and reapply for a new DEA permit limited to those
Schedules authorized by this order. Within 15 calendar days after the effective date of this
Decision, respondent shall submit proof that respondent has surrendered respondent's DEA
permit to the Drug Enforcement Administration for cancellation and reissuance. Within 15
calendar days after the effective date of issuance of a new DEA permit, the respondent shall
submit a true copy of the permit to the Board or its designee.

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES - MAINTAIN RECORDS AND ACCESS TO 2.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

RECORDS AND INVENTORIES Respondent shall maintain a record of all controlled substances ordered, prescribed, dispensed, administered or possessed by respondent, and any recommendation or approval which enables a patient or patient's primary caregiver to possess or 4 cultivate marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5, during probation, showing all the following: 1) the name and address of the patient; 2) the date; 3) the character and quantity of controlled substances involved; and 4) the indications and diagnoses for which the controlled substance was furnished.

Respondent shall keep these records in a separate file or ledger, in chronological order. All 9 records and any inventories of controlled substances shall be available for immediate inspection 10 11 and copying on the premises by the Board or its designee at all times during business hours and shall be retained for the entire term of probation. 12

13 Failure to maintain all records, to provide immediate access to the inventory, or to make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises, is a violation of 14 probation. 15

3. EDUCATION COURSE Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this 16 Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee 17 for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 40 hours 18 per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at 19 correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified, limited 20 to classroom, conference, or seminar settings. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at 21 respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) 22 requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its 23 designee may administer an examination to test respondent's knowledge of the course. 24 Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 (40 + 25) hours of continuing medical 25 education, of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition, for each year of probation. 26 PRESCRIBING PRACTICES COURSE Within 60 calendar days of the effective 27 4. date of this Decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in prescribing practices, at respondent's 28

expense, approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Failure to successfully complete the course during the first 6 months of probation is a violation of probation.

A prescribing practices course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its
designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than
15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later. Respondent can
submit a certificate of completion of a PACE Prescribing Practices course taken in the last year to
satisfy this term.

13

14

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

5. <u>MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE</u> Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping, at respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Failure to successfully complete the course during the first 6 months of probation is a violation of probation.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its
designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than
15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later. Respondent can
submit a certificate of completion of a PACE Record Keeping course taken in the last year to
satisfy this term.

ETHICS COURSE Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision,
 respondent shall enroll in a course in ethics, at respondent's expense, approved in advance by the

Board or its designee. Failure to successfully complete the course during the first year of
 probation is a violation of probation.

An ethics course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its
designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than
15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

7. <u>CLINICAL TRAINING PROGRAM</u> Within 60 calendar days of the effective date
 of this Decision, respondent shall enroll in a clinical training or educational program equivalent to
 the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program (PACE) offered at the University of
 California - San Diego School of Medicine ("Program").

The Program shall consist of a Comprehensive Assessment program comprised of a two-14 day assessment of respondent's physical and mental health; basic clinical and communication 15 skills common to all clinicians; and medical knowledge, skill and judgment pertaining to 16 respondent's specialty or sub-specialty, and at minimum, a 40 hour program of clinical education 17 in the area of practice in which respondent was alleged to be deficient and which takes into 18 account data obtained from the assessment, Decision(s), Accusation(s), and any other information 19 that the Board or its designee deems relevant. Respondent shall pay all expenses associated with 20 21 the clinical training program.

Based on respondent's performance and test results in the assessment and clinical
education, the Program will advise the Board or its designee of its recommendation(s) for the
scope and length of any additional educational or clinical training, treatment for any medical
condition, treatment for any psychological condition, or anything else affecting respondent's
practice of medicine. Respondent shall comply with Program recommendations.

At the completion of any additional educational or clinical training, respondent shall submit to and pass an examination. The Program's determination whether or not respondent passed the

1 examination or successfully completed the Program shall be binding.

Respondent shall complete the Program not later than six months after respondent's initial
enrollment unless the Board or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion.

Failure to participate in and complete successfully all phases of the clinical training
program outlined above is a violation of probation.

6 After respondent has successfully completed the clinical training program, respondent shall 7 participate in a professional enhancement program equivalent to the one offered by the Physician 8 Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of California, San Diego School of 9 Medicine, which shall include quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-10 annual review of professional growth and education. Respondent shall participate in the 11 professional enhancement program at respondent's expense during the term of probation, or until 12 the Board or its designee determines that further participation is no longer necessary.

Failure to participate in and complete successfully the professional enhancement program
outlined above is a violation of probation.

8. <u>SOLO PRACTICE</u> Respondent is prohibited from engaging in the solo practice of
medicine.

9. <u>PROHIBITED PRACTICE</u> During probation, respondent is prohibited from treating 17 patients with chronic pain. After the effective date of this Decision, the first time that a patient 18 seeking the prohibited services makes an appointment, respondent shall orally notify the patient 19 that respondent does not treat chronic pain. Respondent shall maintain a log of all patients to 20 whom the required oral notification was made. The log shall contain the: 1) patient's name, 21 address and phone number; 2) patient's medical record number, if available; 3) the full name of 2.2 the person making the notification; 4) the date the notification was made; and 5) a description of 23 the notification given. Respondent shall keep this log in a separate file or ledger, in chronological 24 order, shall make the log available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises at all 25 times during business hours by the Division or its designee, and shall retain the log for the entire 26 term of probation. Failure to maintain a log as defined in the section, or to make the log available 27 for immediate inspection and copying on the premises during business hours is a violation of 28

1 probation.

In addition to the required oral notification, after the effective date of this Decision, the first 2 time that a patient who seeks the prohibited services presents to respondent, respondent shall 3 provide a written notification to the patient stating that respondent does not treat chronic pain. 4 Respondent shall maintain a copy of the written notification in the patient's file, shall make the 5 notification available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises at all times during 6 business hours by the Division or its designee, and shall retain the notification for the entire term 7 of probation. Failure to maintain the written notification as defined in the section, or to make the 8 notification available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises during business 9 hours is a violation of probation. 10

10. <u>NOTIFICATION</u> Prior to engaging in the practice of medicine, the respondent shall provide a true copy of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s) to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent, at any other facility where respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.
 11. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS</u> During probation, respondent is
 prohibited from supervising physician assistants.

12. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u> Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules
 governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court
 ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.

24 13. <u>QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS</u> Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations
25 under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been
26 compliance with all the conditions of probation. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations
27 not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

28

14. <u>PROBATION UNIT COMPLIANCE</u> Respondent shall comply with the Board's

probation unit. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of respondent's business
 and residence addresses. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in
 writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an
 address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in respondent's place of residence.
Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board, or its designee, in writing, of travel to any
areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than 30
calendar days.

15. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD, OR ITS DESIGNEE</u> Respondent shall be
 available in person for interviews either at respondent's place of business or at the probation unit
 office, with the Board or its designee, upon request at various intervals, and either with or without
 prior notice throughout the term of probation.

14 16. <u>RESIDING OR PRACTICING OUT-OF-STATE</u> In the event respondent should 15 leave the State of California to reside or to practice, respondent shall notify the Board or its 16 designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return. Non-practice is 17 defined as any period of time exceeding 30 calendar days in which respondent is not engaging in 18 any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program outside the State of California which has 19 been approved by the Board or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of 20 medicine within the State. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a 21 period of non-practice. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside 22 California will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term. Periods of temporary or 23 permanent residence or practice outside California will relieve respondent of the responsibility to 24 comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the 25 following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws and Probation Unit Compliance. 26 Respondent's license shall be automatically cancelled if respondent's periods of temporary 27

28 or permanent residence or practice outside California total two years. However, Respondent's

license shall not be cancelled as long as Respondent is residing and practicing medicine in
 another state of the United States and is on active probation with the medical licensing authority
 of that state, in which case the two year period shall begin on the date probation is completed or
 terminated in that state.

5

17. FAILURE TO PRACTICE MEDICINE - CALIFORNIA RESIDENT

In the event respondent resides in the State of California and for any reason Respondent 6 stops practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in 7 writing within 30 calendar days prior to the dates of non-practice and return to practice. Any 8 period of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the 9 reduction of the probationary term and does not relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply 10 with the terms and conditions of probation. Non-practice is defined as any period of time 11 exceeding 30 calendar days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in 12 sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. 13

All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall be considered time spent in the practice of medicine. For purposes of this condition, non-practice due to a Board-ordered suspension or in compliance with any other condition of probation, shall not be considered a period of non-practice.

18 Respondent's license shall be automatically cancelled if respondent resides in California
19 and for a total of two years, fails to engage in California in any of the activities described in
20 Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052.

18. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u> Respondent shall comply with all financial
 obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the
 completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall
 be fully restored.

19. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u> Failure to fully comply with any term or condition
of probation is a violation of probation. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the
Board, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and
carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, Petition to Revoke Probation,

or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

20. LICENSE SURRENDER Following the effective date of this Decision, if 4 respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the 5 terms and conditions of probation, respondent may request the voluntary surrender of 6 respondent's license. The Board reserves the right to evaluate respondent's request and to 7 exercise its discretion whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed 8 appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, 9 respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the 10 Board or its designee and respondent shall no longer prictice medicine. Respondent will no 11 longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation and the surrender of respondent's 12 license shall be deemed disciplinary action. If respondent re-applies for a medical license, the 13 application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate. 14

15 21. <u>PROBATION MONITORING COSTS</u> Respondent shall pay the costs associated 16 with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, but such 17 cost may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of 18 California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar 19 year. Failure to pay costs within 30 calendar days of the due date is a violation of probation.

ACCEPTANCE

21 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully 22 discussed it with my attorney, John D. Harwell, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it 23 will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's, and Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement 24 and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the 25 Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

26 DATED: 09-01-2011 27

28

CECELIA T. MADRID NT Respondent W. 12

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (06-2007-184583)

•

| AUSER. 1. Z | 01119:00AM 510-545-0175C OFFICE 213 NO. 05226 1. 5013 | | | |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| 1 | I have read and fully discussed with Respondent CECELIA T. MADRID, M.D. the terms | | | |
| 2 | and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary | | | |
| 3 | Order. I approve its form and content. | | | |
| . 4 | DATED: 9/1/11 Mr. S. Harwell | | | |
| 5 | JOHN D. HARWELL, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent | | | |
| 6 | \boldsymbol{U} | | | |
| 7 | ENDORSEMENT | | | |
| . 8 | The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully | | | |
| 9 | submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer | | | |
| 10 | Affairs. | | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | Dated: <u>9/1/1</u> Respectfully submitted, | | | |
| 13 | KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California | | | |
| | E. A. JONES III | | | |
| 14 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General | | | |
| 15 | Chun Cenz | | | |
| 16 | CHRIS LEONG Deputy Attorney General | | | |
| . 17 | Attorneys for Complainant | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | LA2009508601 | | | |
| 21 | 50963573,doc | | | |
| 22 | · · · · | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| | 13 | | | |
| | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (06-2007-184583) | | | |

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 06-2007-184583

| EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California | FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA |
|---|---|
| Supervising Deputy Attorney General | SACRAMENTO DAY 11 2010 BY SILL ANALYST |
| Deputy Attorney General | |
| 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 | |
| Telephone: (213) 897-2575 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 | |
| | |
| BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS | |
| STATE OF | CALIFORNIA |
| In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 06-2007-184583 |
| CECELIA T. MADRID, M.D. | ACCUSATION |
| 10659 Riverside Drive Toluca Lake, California 91602 | |
| | |
| Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 48480 | |
| Respondent. | |
| Complainant alleges: | |
| PARTIES | |
| 1. Linda K. Whitney (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity | |
| as the Executive Director of the Medical Board | of California (Board). |
| 2. On or about August 2, 1982, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate | |
| Number G 48480 to Cecelia T. Madrid, M.D. (Respondent). This license was in full force and | |
| effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2012, | |
| unless renewed. | |
| JURIS | DICTION |
| 3. This Accusation is brought before t | he Board under the authority of the following |
| laws. All section references are to the Business | s and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise |
| indicated. | |
| | 1 Accusation |
| | Attorney General of California PAUL C. AMENT Supervising Deputy Attorney General CHRIS LEONG Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 141079 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2575 Facsimile: (213) 897-2575 Facsimile: (213) 897-2575 Attorneys for Complainant BEFO MEDICAL BOAR DEPARTMENT OF (STATE OF In the Matter of the Accusation Against: CECELIA T. MADRID, M.D. 10659 Riverside Drive Toluca Lake, California 91602 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 48480 Respondent. Complainant alleges: PA 1. Linda K. Whitney (Complainant) b as the Executive Director of the Medical Board 2. On or about August 2, 1982, the Bo Number G 48480 to Cecelia T. Madrid, M.D. (effect at all times relevant to the charges broug unless renewed. JURIS 3. This Accusation is brought before for laws. All section references are to the Business |

4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the
 Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed
 one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other
 action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.

5

6

7

8

5. Section 2234 of the Code states:

"The Division of Medical Quality¹ shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

9 "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the
10 violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical
11 Practice Act].

12

"(b) Gross negligence.

"(d) Incompetence.

"(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or
omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from
the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.

16 "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for
17 that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.

"(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that
constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a
reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the
applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the
standard of care.

- 23
- 24 25

¹ California Business and Professions Code section 2002, as amended and effective
 January 1, 2008, provides that, unless otherwise expressly provided, the term "board" as used in
 the State Medical Practice Act (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 2000, et seq.) means the "Medical
 Board of California," and references to the "Division of Medical Quality" and "Division of
 Licensing" in the Act or any other provision of law shall be deemed to refer to the Board.

"(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially
 related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

"(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."

3 4

5

6

7

6.

Section 2242 of the Code states:

"(a) Prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4022 without an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, constitutes unprofessional conduct.

8 "(b) No licensee shall be found to have committed unprofessional conduct within the
9 meaning of this section if, at the time the drugs were prescribed, dispensed, or furnished, any of
10 the following applies:

"(1) The licensee was a designated physician and surgeon or podiatrist serving in the
absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be, and if the drugs
were prescribed, dispensed, or furnished only as necessary to maintain the patient until the return
of his or her practitioner, but in any case no longer than 72 hours.

"(2) The licensee transmitted the order for the drugs to a registered nurse or to a licensed
vocational nurse in an inpatient facility, and if both of the following conditions exist:

"(A) The practitioner had consulted with the registered nurse or licensed vocational nurse
who had reviewed the patient's records.

"(B) The practitioner was designated as the practitioner to serve in the absence of the
patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be.

"(3) The licensee was a designated practitioner serving in the absence of the patient's
physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be, and was in possession of or had utilized
the patient's records and ordered the renewal of a medically indicated prescription for an amount
not exceeding the original prescription in strength or amount or for more than one refill.

"(4) The licensee was acting in accordance with Section 120582 of the Health and Safety
Code."

3

27

Section 2266 of the Code states: "The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain
 adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes
 unprofessional conduct."

4

8. Section 725 of the Code states:

"(a) Repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or administering
of drugs or treatment, repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic procedures, or repeated
acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic or treatment facilities as determined by the standard of
the community of licensees is unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon, dentist,
podiatrist, psychologist, physical therapist, chiropractor, optometrist, speech-language
pathologist, or audiologist.

"(b) Any person who engages in repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing or
administering of drugs or treatment is guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of
not less than one hundred dollars (\$100) nor more than six hundred dollars (\$600), or by
imprisonment for a term of not less than 60 days nor more than 180 days, or by both that fine and
imprisonment.

16 "(c) A practitioner who has a medical basis for prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or
17 administering dangerous drugs or prescription controlled substances shall not be subject to
18 disciplinary action or prosecution under this section.

"(d) No physician and surgeon shall be subject to disciplinary action pursuant to this section
for treating intractable pain in compliance with Section 2241.5."

21

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES AND DANGEROUS DRUGS

9. Hydrocodone and acetaminophen (brand names Norco, Lortab, Hycodan, Anexsia
 and Vicodin) is indicated for relief of moderate to severe pain. Hydrocodone is a semi-synthetic
 opioid and a Schedule II controlled substance. It has high abuse potential and can cause physical
 dependence and addiction.

26 10. OxyContin contains oxycodone, is a narcotic similar to morphine, and is used to
27 relieve moderate to severe pain. It has a high abuse potential and long term use can lead to
28 physical dependence and addiction. Oxycodone is a Schedule II controlled substance.

11. Oxycodone and acetaminophen (brand names Percodan, Percocet and Endocet) is indicated for relief of moderate to severe pain. Oxycodone is a sem-synthetic opioid and a Schedule II controlled substance. It has high abuse potential and can cause physical and psychological dependence.

Methadone is a narcotic pain reliever, similar to morphine. It also reduces withdrawal
symptoms in people addicted to heroin or other narcotic drugs without causing the "high"
associated with the drug addiction. It is a Schedule II controlled substance.

8 13. Diazepam (brand name Valium) is a benzodiazepine with central nervous system
9 depressant properties, and is used to relieve anxiety. It is habit forming and can cause physical
10 and psychological dependence. Diazepam is a dangerous drug as defined section 4022 of the
11 Code.

12

13

14

1

2

3

4

14. Xanax is the brand name for alprazolam, is a sedative, and is used to relieve anxiety.Repeated use can cause physical and psychological dependence. Xanax is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 of the Code.

15 15. Carisoprodol (brand name Soma) is a sedative and muscle relaxant. It is a dangerous
16 drug as defined in section 4022 of the Code.

16. Ambien (zolpidem tartrate) is one of the most prescribed sleep medication in the
18 United States for people suffering from insomnia. It is a Schedule III controlled substance.

19 17. Chlordiazepoxide HCl (brand name Librium) is a benzodiazepine used to treat
20 anxiety. It is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 of the Code.

21

22

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2234, subdivision (b),
in that she was grossly negligent in the care and treatment of three patients. The circumstances
are as follows:

5

- 26 || ///
- 27 || ///

///

28

Accusation

1

Patient S. G.²

19. Respondent's records indicate that Patient S.G., is a forty-one year-old male patient.
Respondent treated S.G. during a period of time, including June 2003 to 2007. The patient
complained of left shoulder pain and left arm pain. Respondent did not perform and document a
complete history and physical examination of the patient. She did not perform a pain
management evaluation, a range of motion examination, or a neurologic examination. She did
not document a review of systems, family history, addiction history or psychiatric history.

(a) Respondent's progress notes indicate the patient had two visits in 2003, four visits
in 2004, three visits in 2005, a hospital history and physical in December 2005, four visits in
2006, and one visit in 2007. The progress notes for the patient's visits contain only a cursory
addiction history, and no psychiatric history, family history, review of systems or physical
examination. The notes are brief, lack meaningful data, and are often illegible. There is little or
no mention of the drugs respondent prescribed. There are no follow-up examinations for the
patient.

(b) For most of 2006 and into 2007, respondent prescribed on a monthly basis
massive amounts of multiple strong, dangerous opiates and benzodiazepines including
hydrocodone with acetaminophen, OxyContin, oxycodone, methadone, Valium (diazepam),
alprazolam (Xanax), Soma (carisoprodol), and Ambien without documenting any medical
indication for the prescriptions. For most of the prescriptions that respondent wrote, there are no
progress notes, and no indication that Patient S.G. was ever seen by respondent.

(c) Respondent's prescriptions, in October 2006, to Patient S.G. that were filled,
included the following:

 23
 October 2, 2006: 270 oxycodone 40 mg, 180 hydrocodone/APAP 10/500, 30 Ambien

 24
 10 mg, 90 alprazolam 2 mg, 100 diazepam 10 mg

October 4, 2006: 100 carisoprodol 350 mg, 100 acetaminophen/COD #4

6

28

25

^{27 &}lt;sup>2</sup>The names of patients are kept confidential to protect their privacy rights, and, though known to respondent, will be revealed to her upon receipt of a timely request for discovery.

| 1 | October 30, 2006: 270 oxycodone 40 mg, 270 methadone 10 mg | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | October 31, 2006: 100 diazepam 10 mg, 30 Ambien 10 mg, 90 alprazolam 2 mg, 180 | | | |
| 3 | hydrocodone/APAP 10/500. | | | |
| 4 | (d) Patient S.G.'s only visit with respondent in October 2006 was indicated by an | | | |
| 5 | extremely brief progress note on October 23, 2006, with no mention of any medications. In the | | | |
| 6 | patient's prior visit on August 2, 2006, there was an extremely brief note which mentioned "trial | | | |
| 7 | methadone" with no reference to any other medications, although respondent prescribed 270 | | | |
| 8 | OxyContin 40 mg, 100 Tylenol #4 (with codeine), and 270 methadone 10 mg. | | | |
| 9 | (e) For the period from January 30, 2007 to February 28, 2007, respondent 's | | | |
| 10 | prescriptions were filled as follows: | | | |
| 11 | January 30, 2007: 100 ibuprofen 800 mg, 180 hydrocodone/APAP 10/500, 90 | | | |
| 12 | alprazolam 2 mg | | | |
| 13 | January 31, 2007: 270 oxycodone 40 mg | | | |
| 14 | February 3, 2007: 30 Ambien 10 mg, 100 acetaminophen/COD #4 | | | |
| 15 | February 5, 2007: 100 carisoprodol 350 mg, 100 diazepam 10 mg | | | |
| 16 | February 23, 2007: 90 alprazolam 2 mg, 100 ibuprofen 800 mg, 180 | | | |
| 17 | hydrocodone/APAP 10/500, 60 oxycodone 20 mg | | | |
| 18 | February 28, 2007: 100 acetaminophen/COD #4, 30 citalopram 20 mg, 270 | | | |
| 19 | oxycodone 40 mg | | | |
| 20 | The patient's last visit with respondent was on January 29, 2007. | | | |
| 21 | (f) There is no indication in the records that respondent discussed the risks and side | | | |
| 22 | effects of the medications with the patient. There is no indication in the records that respondent | | | |
| 23 | monitored the patient's symptoms. Respondent failed to consider that strong opiates and | | | |
| 24 | sedatives have a high risk of addiction and overdose, particularly when they are prescribed in | | | |
| 25 | combination. In August 2006, respondent prescribed to patient S.G., 270 methadone 10 mg, a | | | |
| 26 | very dangerous drug, without regard to the risks of potential death. She prescribed 270 | | | |
| 27 | methadone 10 mg again on October 23, 2006, and on November 22, 2006. | | | |
| 28 | /// | | | |
| | 7 | | | |
| | Accusation | | | |

Accusation

(g) Respondent was grossly negligent in the care and treatment of Patient S.G. as
follows: she failed to document in her records the patient's family history, review of systems,
addiction history or psychiatric history. Her follow-up notes for the patient were absent and/or
inadequate. Most of the prescriptions for controlled substances were written without evidence the
patient was ever seen. She prescribed massive amounts of opiates, such as hydrocodone with
acetaminophen, OxyContin, and oxycodone, and sedatives such as Ambien, Valium, Xanax, and
Soma, often simultaneously.

8 Patient L.D.

20. Patient L.D. is a thirty-seven year-old female. Respondent saw the patient for 9 several years, including the time period from June 2003 to June 2009. Patient L.D. had 10 complaints of lower back pain and left leg pain. Respondent did not perform and document a 11 complete history and physical examination, including an examination of the patient's neck or low 12 back. Most of the notes over these years are brief, with minimal history and physical examination 13 information. There was no detailed description of the patient's complaints, symptoms, and a 14 diagnosis. There was no documentation of tests performed, assessment of the patient, and plan of 15 treatment. 16

(a) Over the years, respondent prescribed large amounts of opiates and sedatives,
including Hycodan, Norco, Percocet, Percodan, Librium, OxyContin, Xanax, and Lortab. At no
time did Respondent perform and document an examination to establish the cause of the patient's
complaints of pain, or the need for opiates for pain relief. She continued to refill the patient's
medications. Respondent did not refer the patient to a pain specialist or a psychiatrist.
Respondent often prescribed medications with no indication the patient was ever seen.

(b) Patient L.D. had a history of alcoholism and opiate dependency. She also had a
history of gastro enteric bleeding and liver abnormality. For the period including about June
2003 and on, respondent prescribed Percocet to patient L.D. Percocet contains acetaminophen
which can cause liver damage in an alcoholic. From 2006 until the beginning of 2009,
respondent prescribed Percocet and OxyContin every 1-2 months to L.D. On occasion, she also

28

prescribed Librium, which is contraindicated in an alcoholic, and Norco and Vicodin, both of which contain acetaminophen. 2

(c) Most of respondent's care consisted of prescribing multiple strong opiates and 3 sedatives to an alcoholic and an opiate addict. She failed to consider the lethal risks to a patient 4 from drinking alcohol and taking medications containing aspirin and acetaminophen. There is no 5 indication in the record that respondent ever evaluated the cause of the patient's pain. Respondent 6 failed to document a psychiatric history, although the patient had anxiety and depression. 7 Respondent frequently prescribed controlled substances without seeing L.D. 8

Respondent was grossly negligent in the care and treatment of Patient L.D. as (d) 9 10 follows: She failed to document a complete physical examination and history, including the patient's complaints, symptoms, and an assessment of the patient's psychological status, social 11 functioning, and addiction history. She failed to document tests ordered, diagnosis, and plan of 12 treatment. She failed to perform a complete examination of the patient, including an examination 13 14 of the patient's neck and lower back. She failed to establish the cause of the patient's complaints or the need for opiates for pain relief. She prescribed Percodan, which contains aspirin, to a 15 patient with recurrent gastro enteric bleeding; and Percocet, Vicodin and Norco, which contain 16 acetaminophen, to an alcoholic with liver abnormality. 17

Patient K.F. 18

1

21. Patient K.F., a forty-three year-old female, had one visit with respondent, on 19 October 7, 2008, with complaints of anxiety and sleep problems. She had been taking alprazolam 20 21 for anxiety and Vicodin for sleep. Respondent did not document a complete history and physical examination, an assessment of the patient's psychological status, social functioning, addiction 22 history, tests ordered, or plan of treatment. Respondent diagnosed the patient with chronic 23 anxiety and insomnia, and she prescribed alprazolam .5 mg and Vicodin. Vicodin, which is used 24 to relieve moderate to severe pain, is not indicated for sleep or anxiety, and the patient did not 25 complain of pain. Respondent did not document that she discussed the risks and side effects of 26 the medications. 27

28

///

| 1 | (a) Respondent was grossly negligent in the care and treatment of Patient K.F. in that | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | she failed to document a complete history and physical examination of the patient, and failed to | |
| 3 | properly evaluate the patient. | |
| 4 | SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | |
| 5 | (Repeated Negligent Acts) | |
| 6 | 22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2234, subdivision (c). | |
| 7 | in that she was repeatedly negligent in the care and treatment of six patients. The facts and | |
| 8 | circumstances alleged in the First Cause for Discipline are incorporated as if fully set forth. | |
| 9 | Patient S.G. | |
| 10 | 23. Respondent failed to document in her records the patient's family history, review of | |
| 11 | systems, addiction history or psychiatric history. Her follow-up notes for the patient were absent | |
| 12 | and/or inadequate. Most of the prescriptions for controlled substances were written without | |
| 13 | evidence the patient was seen. She prescribed massive amounts of opiates, such as hydrocodone | |
| 14 | with acetaminophen, OxyContin, oxycodone, and sedatives such as Ambien, Valium, Xanax, and | |
| 15 | Soma, often simultaneously. | |
| 16 | (a) In the patient's examinations, respondent failed to perform and document a | |
| 17 | complete history and physical examination. At no time did she perform a pain management | |
| 18 | evaluation, a range of motion examination or a neurologic examination. There are no follow-up | |
| 19 | examinations. Respondent failed to discuss with the patient the risks and side effects of the | |
| 20 | medications, particularly the risk of addiction and overdose when opiates and sedatives are | |
| 21 | prescribed in combination. She failed to monitor the side effects of the medications. | |
| 22 | Patient L.D. | |
| 23 | 24. Respondent failed to document a complete physical examination and history, | |
| 24 | including the patient's complaints, symptoms, and an assessment of the patient's psychological | |
| 25 | status, social functioning, and addiction history. She failed to document tests ordered, a | |
| 26 | diagnosis, and plan of treatment. She failed to perform a complete examination of the patient, | |
| 27 | including an examination of the patient's neck and lower back. She failed to establish the cause | |
| 28 | of the patient's complaints or the need for opiates for pain relief. She prescribed Percodan, which | |

10

Accusation

contains aspirin, to a patient with recurrent gastro enteric bleeding; and Percocet, Vicodin and
 Norco, which contain acetaminophen, to an alcoholic with liver abnormality. She failed to refer
 the patient to a pain specialist or a psychiatrist.

Patient K.F.

4

8

25. Respondent failed to document a complete history and physical examination of the
patient, and failed to properly evaluate the patient. Respondent failed to document that she
discussed the risks and side effects of the medications.

Patient D.C.S.

26. Patient D.C.S., a twenty-two year-old male patient, had an initial visit with 9 respondent on December 1, 2008, with complaints of lower back pain and leg pain. Respondent 10 did not adequately document the patient's symptoms other than severe back pain radiating down 11 the patient's legs. She did not perform an adequate examination of the patient's lower back which 12 addressed the patient's pain complaints. There is no adequate review of the patient's social 13 history, review of symptoms, addiction history, or psychiatric history. Her diagnosis restates the 14 patient's symptoms, and does not provide an etiologic diagnosis. Respondent prescribed 120 15 OxyContin 80 mg, 120 oxycodone 30 mg, 60 Xanax 2 mg, 90 Lortab 10/500, and 30 Valium 10 16 mg. The patient saw respondent again on January 9, 2009, with additional complaints of pain. 17 Respondent prescribed Phenergan with codeine, 180 OxyContin 80 mg, 150 oxycodone 30 mg, 18 90 Xanax 2 mg, and 30 Valium 10 mg. There was no further evaluation of the patient, no 19 diagnosis, and no medical indication for the prescriptions. The patient had a third visit on 20 21 February 9, 2009. Respondent prescribed 180 OxyContin 80 mg, 180 oxycodone 30 mg, 90 Klonopin 2 mg, 60 Vicodin ES, and 30 Valium 10 mg. There was no further evaluation of the 22 patient, no diagnosis, no medical indication for the prescriptions, and no monitoring of the side 23 effects of the medications. 24

(a) Respondent prescribed multiple opiates such as OxyContin, oxycodone, and
Vicodin, and benzodiazepams such as Xanax, Klonopin, and Valium, without a medical diagnosis
or medical indication other than the patient's nonspecific complaints of pain and anxiety that were
not further evaluated.

Accusation

(b) Respondent's documentation of the patient's history and review of symptoms is devoid of content. She failed to document a psychiatric or addiction history. She failed to perform an adequate examination of the patient's lower back. She failed to establish the cause of the patient's complaints of pain, or the need for opiates for pain relief. She failed to assess the 4 patient's functional capacity. She failed to monitor the side effects of the medications she 5 prescribed. 6

Patient D.S. 7

1

2

3

Patient D.S., a nineteen year-old male patient, had only one visit with respondent, on 27. 8 December 5, 2008, with complaints of a broken wrist and back problems. The health history 9 form is mostly blank. Respondent did not document a complete physical examination and 10 history, including symptoms, assessment of the patient's psychological status, social functioning, 11 addiction history, tests ordered, and plan of treatment. There is no meaningful medical evaluation 12 of the cause of the patient's pain. The patient's physical examination does not establish a 13 diagnosis. Respondent prescribed 150 OxyContin 80 mg, 150 Roxycontin 30 mg, and 90 Xanax 14 2 mg. There is no indication the medications were medically necessary. 15

(a) Respondent was negligent in that she failed to document a complete history and 16 physical examination. She failed to perform an adequate physical examination to determine a 17 medical diagnosis. She failed to assess the patient's functional capacity. She prescribed large 18 amounts of opiates without any indication they were medically necessary. 19

Patient A.W. 2.0

Patient A.W., a twenty-three year-old male patient, had only one visit with 28. 21 respondent, on December 11, 2008, with complaints of chronic pain in his head and back. His 22 health history questionnaire was only partially completed. Several symptoms were checked on 23 the review of systems checklist with no evidence that respondent reviewed the form. Respondent 24 did not obtain an addiction and psychiatric history. She diagnosed the patient with chronic ankle 25 and back pain, chronic anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder and depression. Respondent did not 26 perform a physical examination of the patient sufficient to determine a medical diagnosis. Her 27 treatment plan was to refill the medications the patient was already taking (OxyContin, 28

| 1 | Roxicodone, Norco, Xanax, and Soma) and obtain previous records. Respondent prescribed 120 | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | OxyContin 80 mg, 120 oxycodone 30 mg, 90 Soma 350 mg, and 90 Xanax 2 mg. (Soma and | |
| 3 | Xanax make these problems patient complained of, worse, not better.) Respondent's records | |
| 4 | failed to support the treatment provided to the patient. She failed to perform a physical | |
| 5 | examination sufficient to determine a medical diagnosis. She failed to assess the patient's ability | |
| 6 | to function. | |
| 7 | THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | |
| 8 | (Prescribing Without Appropriate Examination) | |
| 9 | 29. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2242 in that she | |
| 10 | prescribed controlled substances and dangerous drugs to Patients S.G., L.D., K.F., D.C.S., D.S., | |
| 11 | and A.W. without an appropriate examination and medical indication. The facts and | |
| 12 | circumstances alleged in Paragraphs 10 through 28 are incorporated as if fully set forth. | |
| 13 | FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | |
| 14 | (Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Records) | |
| 15 | 30. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2266 in that she | |
| 16 | failed to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to Patients | |
| 17 | S.G., L.D., K.F., D.C.S., D.S., and A.W. The facts and circumstances alleged in Paragraphs 10 | |
| 18 | through 28 are incorporated as if fully set forth. | |
| 19 | FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | |
| 20 | (Excessive Prescribing) | |
| 21 | 31. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 725 in that she | |
| 22 | engaged in repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing of drugs to Patients S.G., L.D., D.C.S., | |
| 23 | D.S., K.F. and A.W. The facts and circumstances alleged in Paragraphs 10 through 28 are | |
| 24 | incorporated as if fully set forth. | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | /// | |
| 28 | /// | |
| | 13 | |

| 1 | PRAYER | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, | |
| 3 | and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision: | |
| 4 | 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G 48480 | |
| 5 | issued to Cecelia T. Madrid, M.D.; | |
| 6 | 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of her authority to supervise physician | |
| 7 | assistants pursuant to Section 3527 of the Code; | |
| 8 | 3. Ordering her to pay the Board the costs of probation monitoring, if she is placed on | |
| 9 | probation; and, | |
| 10 | 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | DATED: May 11, 2010 LINDA K. WHITNEY | |
| 13 | Executive Director Medical Board of California | |
| 14 | Department of Consumer Affairs State of California | |
| 15 | LA2009508601 | |
| 16 | 50572658.docx | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | 14 | |