BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation/Petition)	
to Revoke Probation Against:)	
)	
)	
Arudra Bodepudi, M.D.)	Case No. 800-2015-012722
)	
Physician's and Surgeon's)	
Certificate No. A 73676)	
)	
Respondent)	
)	

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on August 25, 2016.

IT IS SO ORDERED: July 26, 2016.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Jamie Wright, J.D., Chair

Panel A

11			

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	VLADIMIR SHALKEVICH Acting Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	JANNSEN TAN		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 237826		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-3496		
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-2247 Attorneys for Complainant		
8		RE THE	
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation/Petition to	Case No. 800-2015-012722	
12	Revoke Probation Against:		
į	ARUDRA BODEPUDI, M.D.	OAH No. 2015120180	
13	3883 Canyonlands Road Stockton, CA 95209	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A		
15	73676		
16	Respondent.		
17		•	
18			
19	IT IS HERERY STIPLILATED AND AG	REED by and between the parties to the above-	
20	entitled proceedings that the following matters a	·	
21			
22	PARTIES 1. It is a second in anti-in		
23	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board		
24	of California. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this		
25	matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Jannsen Tan, Deputy		
26	Attorney General.		
27	///		
28	111		
ں ش		1	
	11	I and the second	

- 2. Respondent Arudra Bodepudi, M.D. ("Respondent") is represented in this proceeding by Jonathan C. Turner Esq., whose address is: 1007 7th Street, Suite 304, Sacramento, CA 95814.
- 3. On or about December 27, 2000, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 73676 to Arudra Bodepudi, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was placed on four year's probation on June 22, 2012, and will expire on June 30, 2016, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2015-012722 was filed before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on June 22, 2015. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2015-012722 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2015-012722.

 Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2015-012722, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.
- 10. For the putpose of resolving the Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation, and that Respondent hereby gives up her right to contest those charges.
- 11. Respondent agrees that if she ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if an accusation and/or petition to revoke probation is filed against her, before the Medical Board of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2015-012722 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by Respondent for purposes of that proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California.
- 12. Respondent agrees that her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

13. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Medical Board of California or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

14. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California.

Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails

to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 15. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 16. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 73676 issued to Respondent Arudra Bodepudi, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for 35 months on the following terms and conditions.

- 1. <u>EDUCATION COURSE</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of CME of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.
- 2. <u>PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program, that meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1358.

 Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall

provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

3. MONITORING - BILLING. Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a billing monitor(s), the name and qualifications of a billing service who will monitor Respondent's billing or one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS). A monitor shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with Respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Board, including but not limited to any form of bartering, shall be in Respondent's field of practice, and must agree to serve as Respondent's monitor. Respondent shall pay all monitoring costs.

The Board or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision(s), Accusation(s), and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed statement that the monitor has read the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), fully understands the role

of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a revised monitoring plan with the signed statement for approval by the Board or its designee.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and continuing throughout probation, Respondent's billing shall be monitored by the approved monitor. Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the monitor at all times during business hours and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a monitor within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a monitor is approved to provide monitoring responsibility.

The monitor(s) shall submit a quarterly written report to the Board or its designee which includes an evaluation of Respondent's performance, indicating whether Respondent's practices are within the standards of practice of billing, and whether Respondent is billing appropriately. It shall be the sole responsibility of Respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Board or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within 5 calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

In lieu of a monitor, Respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program equivalent to the one offered by the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the

University of California, San Diego School of Medicine, that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at Respondent's expense during the term of probation.

4. NOTIFICATION. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 5. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS</u>. During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants.
- 6. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u>. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 7. <u>QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS</u>. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

8. <u>GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.</u>

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit and all terms and conditions of this Decision.

Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice

Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- 9. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u>. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- 10. <u>NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION</u>. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine in California as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. All

time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete a clinical training program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years. Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; and General Probation Requirements.

- 11. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u>. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 12. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u>. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 13. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u>. Following the effective date of this Decision, if
 Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy
 the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license.

The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.

14. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Jonathan C. Turner. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Arudra Bodepudi, M.D. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

28

Attorney for Respondent

1	ENDORSEMENT				
2	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully				
3	submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.				
4	Dated:) re 2 , 2016 Respectfully submitted, KAMALA D. HARRIS				
5	Attorney General of California VLADIMIR SHALKEVICH				
6	Deputy Attorney General				
7					
8	JANNSEN TAN				
9	JANNSEN TAN Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant				
10					
11					
12	SA2015102767 32479245.doc				
13	32419243.uoc				
14 15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21	·				
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	11				
	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (800-2015-012722)				

Exhibit A

Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2015-012722

FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO) une 22 20 15 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California BY D. Richards Ż. CONNIE A. BROUSSARD Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 JANNSEN TAN Deputy Attorney General 4 State Bar No. 237826 1300 I Street, Suite 125 5 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-3496 Facsimile: (916) 327-2247 6 7 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 Case No. 800-2015-012722 11 In the Matter of the Accusation/Petition to Revoke Probation Against: ACCUSATION AND PETITION TO 12 REVOKE PROBATION 13 ARUDRA BODEPUDI, M.D. 5250 Claremont Avenue, Suite 103. 14 Stockton, CA 95207 15 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 73676, 16 Respondent. 17 Complainant alleges: 18 **PARTIES** 19 Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official 20 1. capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer 21 Affairs (Board). 22 On or about December 28, 2000, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's 23 2. Certificate Number A 73676 to Arudra Bodepudi, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and 24 Surgeon's Certificate will expire on June 30, 2016. 25 **JURISDICTION** 26 This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following 27 laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated. 28 Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2015-012722

4. Section 2227 of the Code states:

- "(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - "(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
- "(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
- "(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
- "(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
- "(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
- "(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1."

5. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct¹. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

¹ Unprofessional conduct under California Business and Professions Code section 2234 is conduct which breaches the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice medicine. (*Shea v. Board of Medical Examiners* (1978) 81 Cal.App.3d 564, 575.)

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
 - "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
 - "(d) Incompetence.
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
 - "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate.
- "(g) The practice of medicine from this state into another state or country without meeting the legal requirements of that state or country for the practice of medicine. Section 2314 shall not apply to this subdivision. This subdivision shall become operative upon the implementation of the proposed registration program described in Section 2052.5.
- "(h) The repeated failure by a certificate holder, in the absence of good cause, to attend and participate in an interview by the board. This subdivision shall only apply to a certificate holder who is the subject of an investigation by the board."
- 6. Section 2263 of the Code states: "The willful, unauthorized violation of professional confidence constitutes unprofessional conduct."

27 | ///

///

٠...

	7.	Section 2266 of the Code states: "The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain
adequ	uate ar	ad accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes
unpro	ofessio	nal conduct."

- 8. Section 1902(n)(3)(B) of the Social Security Act, as modified by Section 4714 of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (42 USC 1396a(n)(3)(B)) provides:
- "(n)(1) In the case of medical assistance furnished under this title for Medicare cost-sharing respecting the furnishing of a service or item to a qualified Medicare beneficiary, the State plan may provide payment in an amount with respect to the service or item that results in the sum of such payment amount and any amount of payment made under title XVIII with respect to the service or item exceeding the amount that is otherwise payable under the State plan for the item or service for eligible individuals who are not qualified Medicare beneficiaries.
- "(2) In carrying out paragraph (1), a State is not required to provide any payment for any expenses incurred relating to payment for deductibles, coinsurance, or copayments for Medicare cost—sharing to the extent that payment under title XVIII for the service would exceed the payment amount that otherwise would be made under the State plan under this title for such service if provided to an eligible recipient other than a Medicare beneficiary.
- "(3) In the case in which a State's payment for Medicare cost-sharing for a qualified Medicare beneficiary with respect to an item or service is reduced or eliminated through the application of paragraph (2).
- (A) for purposes of applying any limitation under title XVIII on the amount that the beneficiary may be billed or charged for the service, the amount of payment made under title XVIII plus the amount of payment (if any) under the State plan shall be considered to be payment in full for the service;
- (B) the beneficiary shall not have any legal liability to make payment to a provider or to an organization described in section 1903(m)(1)(A) for the service; and

27 | ///

28 | ///

(C) any lawful sanction that may be imposed upon a provider or such an organization for excess charges under this title or title XVIII shall apply to the imposition of any charge imposed upon the individual in such case.

This paragraph shall not be construed as preventing payment of any Medicare cost–sharing by a Medicare supplemental policy or an employer retiree health plan on behalf of an individual.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

9. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Arudra Bodepudi, M.D., Complainant alleges that on or about February 16, 2012, in a prior disciplinary action entitled In the Matter of the Accusation Against Arudra Bodepudi, M.D. before the Medical Board of California, in Case Number 02-2009-200813, Respondent's license was revoked, revocation stayed, and was placed on probation for four years, with other terms and conditions including but not limited to Clinical Training Program (PACE), Medical Record Keeping Program, Prescribing Practices Course, and other standard terms and conditions for gross negligence and repeated negligent acts in the care and treatment of two patients. Respondent failed to adequately manage and assess symptoms and medication. That decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Repeated Negligent Acts)

10. Respondent is subject to discipline under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code, in that she committed repeated negligent acts in her care and treatment of Patients LR, SV, and AAJ² as more particularly alleged hereinafter: Patient LR

11. Patient LR was a 67-year-old female who saw Respondent in her Stockton office for an initial psychiatric evaluation on or about March 29, 2013. Patient LR reported persistent depressive symptoms, excessive sleep, anxiety, poor energy and fatigue, and some panic attacks. She was taking Paxil 40 mg per day, prescribed by her primary care physician ("PCP").

² Patient and provider names are abbreviated to protect patient confidentiality. Full patient names will be provided upon receipt of a Request for Discovery.

 Diagnoses given were recurrent major depression, panic disorder with agoraphobia, and personality disorder, unspecified. Respondent's plan was to have psychotherapy with Respondent and to continue Paxil and Ambien. Respondent offered Xanax but Patient LR refused. Respondent documented that relaxation exercises were taught, with recommendation to return in one week. Respondent documented that the visit was for 1 hour. No medication changes were made or recommended. Patient LR was noted to have agreed to the treatment plan. Patient LR alleged that Respondent did not charge her for this visit but told her to pay for multiple sessions to establish a standard assessment and to complete the required documentation. Patient LR was covered by Medicare. Respondent failed to provide Patient LR with her billing practices and clinic policies regarding insurance and payments, as well as the number of sessions required to do an assessment.

- 12. On or about April 4, 2013, Respondent saw Patient LR for a follow up visit.

 Respondent documented that Patient LR had persistent depressive symptoms, anxiety, and hypersomnia. Patient LR was noted to be reluctant to make medication changes. Patient LR expressed gratitude to Respondent for therapy sessions and stated that they were benefitting her. Respondent engaged in "balance billing" when she billed Medicare and also received payment from Patient LR in the amount of \$300.00 cash.
- 13. On or about April 18, 2013 Respondent saw Patient LR for a follow up visit. Patient LR reported improved sleep and energy. Her medication allergies were listed. Generalized anxiety disorder was added to her diagnoses. Respondent noted she informed Patient LR of the medication options. Respondent engaged in "balance billing" when she billed Medicare and also received payment from Patient LR in the amount of \$300.00 cash.
- 14. On or about April 25, 2013, Respondent saw Patient LR for a follow up visit. Patient LR reported feeling better. A detailed description of therapy given is recorded. Patient LR reported improved mood and sleep. Narcissistic and borderline personality traits were noted in

³ Section 1902(n)(3)(B) of the Social Security Act, as modified by Section 4714 of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, prohibits Medicare providers from balance billing beneficiaries for Medicare cost-sharing.

addition to above diagnoses. Patient LR appeared significantly less anxious, less overwhelmed and appeared to be using the therapy effectively. Respondent engaged in "balance billing" when she billed Medicare and also received payment from Patient LR in the amount of \$300.00 cash.

- 15. On or about May 2, 2013, Respondent saw Patient LR for a follow up visit.

 Respondent noted that Patient LR reported feeling improved and did not want to make any medication changes. Weekly sessions were recommended as Patient LR appeared psychologically fragile, though not at the point of requiring hospitalization. Respondent engaged in "balance billing" when she billed Medicare and also received payment from Patient LR in the amount of \$300 cash.
- 16. On or about May 9, 2013, Respondent saw Patient LR for a follow up visit.

 Respondent noted that Patient LR reported feeling improved and did not want to make any medication changes. Respondent engaged in "balance billing" when she billed Medicare and also received payment from Patient LR in the amount of \$300 cash.
- 17. In her letter complaint to the Board dated July 22, 2013 and August 8, 2013, Patient LR alleged that she told Respondent after the second therapy session that she could not afford the private cash sessions. In a subsequent visit with Patient LR's primary care physician (PCP) on or about June 18, 2013, Patient LR's PCP advised her to take a break from seeing Respondent and informed Respondent of this fact, but Respondent allegedly pressured her to continue with the sessions telling her that she could not complete the initial evaluation without additional time with LR.
- 18. Patient LR alleged that after reviewing her Medicare and supplemental insurance records, she then requested from Respondent, by phone and in writing, receipts for cash paid but did not receive the requested receipts. Patient LR alleged that she requested a refund of the "double payment" to Respondent but did not receive a response. Patient LR alleged that Respondent disclosed inappropriate personal information to her. Patient LR alleged that she believes that a medical record documenting her visits with Respondent was not completed until her last 5 sessions when "we backtracked" and documented information "from the beginning." She stated that she assisted Respondent with computer problems during the sessions.

9

13 14

12

15 16

17 18

19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

- 19. Respondent wrote an undated letter to Patient LR. Respondent wrote that the letter was in response to her conversation with Patient LR that occurred on August 18, 2013. In her letter, Respondent enclosed money orders for a refund of \$1,200.00 to Patient LR for the "first four visits you paid me." Respondent wrote "I am returning this as Medicare was charged." She stated she saw Patient LR 13 times between March 29 and July 2, 2013. Respondent stated that session notes were "not completed" because Patient LR was "crying so much" during the first 4 sessions. A "summary of treatment" was provided. Respondent stated her diagnoses were major depression, recurrent, generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder with agoraphobia, and "some personality issues". Respondent stated she provided psychotherapy which was effective in reducing Patient LR's symptoms.
- In an interview with a Board investigator on or about May 12, 2014, Respondent 20. stated that Patient LR cried throughout the first sessions and exhibited a high level of emotional intensity. Respondent stated that Patient LR appeared fragile and overwhelmed initially and appeared to require close monitoring. She noted that Patient LR was resistant to medication changes. She stated she saw Patient LR on a frequent basis due to the severity of her symptoms. Respondent stated that after several sessions she felt that she could not provide the therapy required for Patient LR within the time allotted by Medicare. Respondent stated that Patient LR agreed to be seen as a private pay patient and not Medicare, because she liked her approach to her treatment. Respondent noted that Medicare patients pay a \$50.00 co-pay. She stated that LR agreed to pay the co-pay as well as \$300.00 cash for a 45-60 minute visit and that she would be refunded whatever her secondary insurance, AARP, reimbursed. Respondent stated that Patient LR improved with the therapy. Respondent stated that she refunded payments for the first 4 sessions to Patient LR after she realized that Medicare had reimbursed for these sessions. Respondent stated she did not send the records to Patient LR as requested, because she felt that Patient LR would not be able to handle it well and it might be detrimental to her, so she provided her a summary of the visits instead. She stated that she did not send Patient LR's record to Patient LR's doctor due to confidentiality concern. She stated she then felt that LR was "harassing" her for the records and for a receipt of money paid to her and she became fearful of

Patient LR. She stated she finally refunded all payment because of "the commotion ...I was so disheartened (by her behavior)I'm repulsed by this charging business."

- 21. Respondent's care and treatment of Patient LR fell below the standard of care in that:
- A. She pressured Patient LR to pay for multiple sessions to establish a standard assessment, and to complete the required documentation;
- B. Her payment practices and clinic policies regarding insurance and payments, as well as the number of sessions required to do an assessment, should be written and provided to the patient in advance. Her policies in these areas appear inconsistent and unclear;
- C. She failed to provide receipts of cash payment taken from the patient at the time of the evaluations;
 - D. She engaged in "balance billing;"
- E. She failed to release records to the referring physician, despite a signed release of information for release of Patient LR's records to her primary care physician.

Patient SV

22. On or about November 13, 2013, the Board received a complaint from Patient SV. She stated that Respondent made her feel "uncomfortable" during her Social Security Disability psychiatric evaluation, asking intrusive and unnecessary questions, such as about her ex-husband. Patient SV alleged that Respondent included inaccurate information in her medical record, including about her occupation and current medications. She stated that she has post-traumatic stress disorder ("PTSD"), anxiety and panic attacks. Patient SV stated that she filed the complaint with the MBC after she reviewed her disability file because she felt that the questions asked by Respondent about domestic violence and her divorce during the psychiatric evaluation were "inappropriate," and notes that she felt that Respondent made "condescending" remarks about her appearance. Patient SV stated the Social Security Disability ("SSD") report inaccurately noted that she had quit her job, did not need medications, was supposed to be taking Ativan and Zoloft, contained inaccurate information regarding her employment, socializing and taking public transportation. SV stated that Respondent noted that SV lacked anxiety, did not have mood swings and had good appetite, energy, and sleep, though Patient SV stated these points were not

28 || ///

discussed. Patient SV stated that Respondent's notes regarding her pain history were also inaccurate.

- 23. The Board investigator requested Patient SV's records from Respondent. On or about March 24, 2014 and subsequently on April 14, 2014, Respondent informed the Board investigator that Patient SV did not match any of her patient's names and that Patient SV was not her patient.
- 24. On or about May 7, 2014, Pacific Health Clinic confirmed that Respondent saw Patient SV for a psychiatric examination at their clinic.
- 25. Respondent, in an interview with the Board, stated that she performed SSD evaluations before, and that she may have seen Patient SV, but Respondent failed to keep any records of her examination of SV.

Patient AAJ

- 26. Patient AAJ was a 39 year old female who was seen by Respondent for an initial psychiatric evaluation on July 12, 2013. Patient AAJ reported witnessing a violent event in which her colleague's car was hit by a jealous boyfriend. Police were called. AAJ reported having been threatened by the boyfriend after she made statements to police. Patient AAJ reported persistent PTSD symptoms, including nightmares and flashbacks and anhedonia. Patient AAJ stated that Respondent initially told her that the evaluation would require two sessions. Patient AAJ alleged that Respondent told her that she had not finished the evaluation in two sessions, and needed a third session to complete it. Patient AAJ stated that Respondent told her at first that she would not be charged for the third session as it was required to complete the assessment but then charged her for it.
- 27. Patient AAJ requested her receipts for the July 12 and 15, 2013 office visits. Patient AAJ stated she paid \$350.00 cash for each of these visits. Respondent failed to give Patient AAJ her receipts.
- 28. Respondent failed to adequately document the visit on July 15, 2013. In an undated letter to Patient AAJ, Respondent stated that she was unable to contact Patient AAJ, and as her evaluation was incomplete after the first 2 sessions, she is refunding her \$700.00 paid.

- 29. Respondent's care and treatment of Patient AAJ fell below the standard of care in that:
- A. Respondent stated that she had not completed a basic evaluation in 2 sessions and apparently pressured Patient AAJ to come in for a third session in order to complete a relatively uncomplicated evaluation;
 - B. Respondent failed to keep legible and adequate notes of Patient AAJ's visits;
 - C Respondent failed to provide receipts to the patient for the required cash payments;
- D. Respondent failed to clearly inform Patient AAJ of her policies involving insurance, billing, payments, appointments and receipts;
- E. Respondent communicated with Patient AAJ's attorney despite Patient AAJ's prohibition to do so;
- 30. Respondent's conduct as described above constitutes unprofessional conduct in violation of sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code, and thereby provides cause for discipline of Respondent's physician and surgeon's license for repeated negligent acts in the care and treatment of Patients LR, SV, and AAJ, collectively and individually.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (General Unprofessional Conduct)

31. Respondent is further subject to discipline under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234 of the Code, in that she has engaged in conduct which breaches the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice medicine, as more particularly alleged hereinafter: Paragraphs 10 to 30, above, are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Inaccurate Medical Records)

32. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2266 of the Code in that she failed to maintain adequate and accurate medical records for patient SV and AAJ.

Specifically, Respondent failed to adequately record history, physicals, and treatment notes for patient SV and failed to keep legible and adequate notes for patient AAJ.

- 33. Paragraphs 22 through 30 are repeated here as more fully set forth above.
- 34. Respondent's conduct as described above constitutes unprofessional conduct in the care and treatment of her patients in violation of section 2266 of the Code, and provides cause for discipline against his physician's and surgeon's certificate.

CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION (Obey All Laws)

35. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 6 of the January 17, 2012, Order of the Medical Board of California in the action entitled *In the Matter of the Accusation Against Arudra Bodepudi*, M.D., Case Number 02-2009-200813, stated:

"Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders."

- 36. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with Probation Condition 6, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
 - A. The facts and circumstances alleged in paragraph 10 through 34 above are incorporated here as if fully set forth.
- B. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation due to her violations of the laws and regulations governing the practice of medicine as alleged above.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

27 | ///

- || '