		•
1	XAVIER BECERRA	•
2	Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOSEPH F. MCKENNA III	FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 231195	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO VERSUARY 252019
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, California 92101	BY ALLANALYST
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, California 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9417 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8		
	Attorneys for Complainant	
9		
10	BEFORE THE  MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA  DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
11		
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13		]
14	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2017-030668
15	SYAM PRASAD BABU KUNAM, M.D. 1809 W. Redlands Boulevard	ACCUSATION
16	Redlands, California 92373-4724	
17	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A46695,	
18	Respondent.	
19		
20	Complainant alleges:	
21	<u>PARTIES</u>	
22	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official	
23	capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer	
24	Affairs.	
25	2. On or about November 6, 1989, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's	
26	Certificate No. A46695 to Syam Prasad Babu Kunam, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and	
27	Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the allegations and	
28	charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2019, unless renewed.	

### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, be publicly reprimanded which may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses, or have such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.
  - 5. Section 2234 of the Code states, in relevant part:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

"(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.

66 7

- 6. Unprofessional conduct under section 2234 of the Code is conduct which breaches the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming to a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice medicine. (*Shea v. Board of Medical Examiners* (1978) 81 Cal.App.3d 564, 575.).
- 7. Section 2266 of the Code states: "The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

# 

#### 

# 

# 

### 

# 

# 

# 

### 

### 

### 

# 

### 

# 

# 

# 

# 

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Repeated Negligent Acts)

8. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A46695 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined in section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code, in that Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of patients A, B, C, and D, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:<sup>1</sup>

### 9. Patient A

- (a) On or about August 27, 2015, Respondent, a board certified psychiatrist, had his first visit with Patient A, a then-48-year-old female. Respondent assumed care of Patient A after Dr. S.Z., a psychiatrist, had closed her practice and referred a number of patients to Respondent. Respondent documented in the chart note for this initial visit with Patient A that she had been a patient of Dr. S.Z. for thirteen years.
- (b) On this same date, Respondent documented only scant information in the chart note for this first visit with Patient A. Although Patient A was establishing psychiatric care with Respondent at this visit and she had reported to him feelings of depression and passive suicidal ideation, Respondent still did not perform and/or document a complete psychiatric assessment at this first visit. The chart note documented a diagnosis of Bipolar I Disorder and that Patient A wanted to be prescribed Xanax and Ambien. The chart note was not electronically signed but Respondent's handwritten signature appears at the bottom of the note, along with a handwritten date of "7/4/2018," nearly three years later.
- (c) Between on or about August 27, 2015, and on or about March 23, 2017, Respondent documented seven total visits with Patient A. Significantly, Respondent signed six of the seven chart notes for these visits more than a year after each encounter date with Patient A.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Letters A, B, C, and D are used for the purposes of maintaining patient confidentiality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Chart notes from encounter dates "8/27/2015," "10/08/2015," "1/07/2016," "6/30/2016," "10/20/2016," and "12/29/2016," were all signed and dated by Respondent on "7/4/2018."

10. Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of Patient A including, but not limited to, the following:

(a) Respondent failed to complete an adequate assessment of Patient A when she became his new patient.

### 11. Patient B

- (a) On or about December 20, 2013, Respondent had his first visit with Patient B, a then-57-year-old female. Respondent documented under "History of Present Illness" in the chart note for this initial visit that Patient B had a long history of depression. Other sections including, "Social History," "Psychiatric History," "Past Medical History," "Allergies," and "Family History," were noted as "Reviewed, no changes." Respondent prescribed multiple medications at this visit but he did not document his rationale and/or treatment goal(s) of any of these medications. Significantly, Respondent documented that Patient B's mental status was normal, but he did not perform and/or document a complete psychiatric assessment at this first visit.
- (b) Between on or about December 20, 2013, and on or about May 2, 2014, Respondent documented five total visits with Patient B. Significantly, Respondent did not sign any of the chart notes for these visits. The chart notes for each of these visits contain only scant assessment information and the rationale for changes in medication were not clearly documented by Respondent.
- 12. Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of Patient B including, but not limited to, the following:
  - (a) Respondent failed to complete an adequate assessment of Patient B when she became his new patient.

#### 13. Patient C

(a) On or about November 11, 2012, Respondent had his first visit with Patient C, a then-72-year-old male. Respondent diagnosed Patient C with Bipolar I Disorder.

////

Respondent, among a number of other medications, prescribed Xanax<sup>3</sup> to Patient C.

Respondent documented that Patient C had discontinued use of "Antabuse."

However, Respondent did not perform and/or document an assessment of alcohol or drug use at this visit, or for any other documented visit with Patient C.

- (b) Between on or about November 11, 2012, and on or about August 12, 2017, Respondent documented eighteen total visits with Patient C. Significantly, Respondent did not sign nine of the chart notes for these visits. The chart notes for each of these visits contain only scant assessment information and the rationale for changes in medication were not clearly documented by Respondent.
- (c) Significantly, Respondent, with full knowledge of Patient C's documented history of alcohol abuse, provided him with long-term prescriptions of Xanax without documenting in the medical record a rationale for the drug's long-term use.
- (d) Significantly, Respondent, despite diagnosing Patient C with Bipolar I Disorder, did not prescribe any medications indicated for the treatment of bipolar disorder, at any time. Nor did Respondent document in the medical record a rationale for prescribing long-term use of Xanax as the sole medication for a patient with a sole diagnosis of Bipolar I Disorder.
- 14. Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of Patient C including, but not limited to, the following:

||. / / / /

drug of abuse. (Drugs of Abuse, DEA Resource Guide (2017 Edition), at p. 59.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Xanax (alprazolam), a benzodiazepine, is a centrally acting hypnotic-sedative that is a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. When properly prescribed and indicated, Xanax is used for the management of anxiety disorders. The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) has identified benzodiazepines, such as Xanax, as a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Antabuse (disulfiram) is used to treat chronic alcoholism by producing an acute sensitivity to ethanol (drinking alcohol). Antabuse may increase the blood levels and effects of Xanax. This can increase the risk of side effects including excessive drowsiness and breathing difficulties.

- (a) Respondent failed to document a rationale for prescribing long-term use of Xanax as the sole medication for a patient with a sole diagnosis of Bipolar I Disorder; and
- (b) Respondent failed to document a rationale for prescribing long-term use of Xanax in light of Patient C's documented history of alcohol abuse.

### 15. Patient D

- (a) On or about April 23, 2015, Respondent had his first visit with Patient D, a then-48-year-old female. Respondent diagnosed Patient D with Bipolar I Disorder. Respondent prescribed Patient D a number of medications, including Klonopin.<sup>5</sup> Respondent prescribed a dosage of Klonopin in excess of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved maximum dosage amount.<sup>6</sup>
- (b) On or about September 28, 2015, Respondent had his second documented visit with Patient D. Again, Respondent prescribed Klonopin to Patient D in an amount in excess of the FDA approved maximum dosage.
- (c) Respondent prescribed Klonopin to Patient D on multiple occasions including, but not limited to: April 21, 2014; August 30, 2014; December 29, 2014; and May 15, 2016.
- (d) Respondent provided Patient D with multiple prescriptions of Klonopin without documenting a related diagnosis<sup>7</sup> for the drug's use or documenting an assessment of Patient D's substance abuse history, adherence and/or diversion.
- 16. Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of Patient D including, but not limited to, the following:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Klonopin (clonazepam), a benzodiazepine, is a centrally acting hypnotic-sedative that is a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. When properly prescribed and indicated, it is used to treat seizure disorders and panic disorders. The DEA has identified benzodiazepines, such as Klonopin, as a drug of abuse. (Drugs of Abuse, DEA Resource Guide (2017 Edition), at p. 59.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> FDA approved doses of Klonopin for the treatment of panic disorder are 0.5mg-4 mg.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> There is no known benefit for the use of Klonopin in the treatment of bipolar disorder.

- (a) On April 21, 2014, Respondent prescribed Klonopin to Patient D without documenting a related diagnosis for the drug's use and without documenting an assessment of this patient's substance abuse history, adherence and/or diversion;
- (b) On August 30, 2014, Respondent prescribed Klonopin to Patient D without documenting a related diagnosis for the drug's use and without documenting an assessment of this patient's substance abuse history, adherence and/or diversion;
- (c) On December 29, 2014, Respondent prescribed Klonopin to Patient D without documenting a related diagnosis for the drug's use and without documenting an assessment of this patient's substance abuse history, adherence and/or diversion; and
- (d) On May 15, 2016, Respondent prescribed Klonopin to Patient D without documenting a related diagnosis for the drug's use and without documenting an assessment of this patient's substance abuse history, adherence and/or diversion.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Medical Records)

17. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A46695 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined in section 2266, of the Code, in that Respondent failed to maintain adequate and accurate records in connection with his care and treatment of patients A, B, C, and D, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 8 through 16, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Unprofessional Conduct)

18. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No.

A46695 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234 of the Code, in that Respondent has engaged in conduct which breaches the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming to a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which

demonstrates an unfitness to practice medicine, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 8 through 17, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

### **DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS**

19. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about January 18, 2013, in a prior Medical Board of California investigation, Case No. 09-2011-214752, the Board issued a pre-accusation Public Letter of Reprimand against Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A46695, which was based on findings that Respondent was grossly negligent and incompetent in the care and treatment of a single patient with complaints of sleep deprivation and anxiety. That Public Letter of Reprimand is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A46695, issued to Respondent Syam Prasad Babu Kunam, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent Syam Prasad Babu Kunam, M.D.'s, authority to supervise physician assistants pursuant to section 3527 of the Code, and advanced practice nurses;
- 3. Ordering Respondent Syam Prasad Babu Kunam, M.D., to pay the Medical Board the costs of probation monitoring, if placed on probation; and
  - 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

24 DATED:

25

26

27

28

February 25, 2019

CIMBERLY KIRCHMEYER

Medical Board of California

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

SD2018702644 / Doc.No.71727716