1	ROB BONTA	
2	Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO Supervising Deputy Attorney General TAN N. TRAN Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 197775 California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6535	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	Facsimile: (916) 731-2117 Attorneys for Complainant	
8		
9	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2018-049052
13	ALAN S. RUTTENBERG, M.D. 22048 Sherman Way, Suite 214	ACCUSATION
	Canoga Park, CA 91303	
15 16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 27473,	
17	Respondent.	
18		<u>,</u>
19	PARTIES	
20	1. William Prasifka (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity	
21	as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs	
22	(Board).	
23	2. On or about August 30, 1965, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate	
24	Number C 27473 to Alan S. Ruttenberg, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's	
25	Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will	
26	expire on March 31, 2023, unless renewed.	
27	///	
28	///	
	,	

25

26

27

28

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 2004 of the Code states:

The board shall have the responsibility for the following:

- (a) The enforcement of the disciplinary and criminal provisions of the Medical Practice Act.
 - (b) The administration and hearing of disciplinary actions.
- (c) Carrying out disciplinary actions appropriate to findings made by a panel or an administrative law judge.
- (d) Suspending, revoking, or otherwise limiting certificates after the conclusion of disciplinary actions.
- (e) Reviewing the quality of medical practice carried out by physician and surgeon certificate holders under the jurisdiction of the board.
 - (f) Approving undergraduate and graduate medical education programs.
- (g) Approving clinical clerkship and special programs and hospitals for the programs in subdivision (f).
 - (h) Issuing licenses and certificates under the board's jurisdiction.
 - (i) Administering the board's continuing medical education program.

5. Section 2227 of the Code states:

- (a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - (1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
- (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
- (3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
- (4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.

- (5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
- (b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
 - (b) Gross negligence.
- (c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- (1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- (2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
 - (d) Incompetence.
- (e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
 - (f) Any action or conduct that would have warranted the denial of a certificate.
- (g) The failure by a certificate holder, in the absence of good cause, to attend and participate in an interview by the board. This subdivision shall only apply to a certificate holder who is the subject of an investigation by the board.
- 7. Section 2241 of the Code states:
- (a) A physician and surgeon may prescribe, dispense, or administer prescription drugs, including prescription controlled substances, to an addict under his or her treatment for a purpose other than maintenance on, or detoxification from,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

///

///

- (1) The licensee was a designated physician and surgeon or podiatrist serving in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be, and if the drugs were prescribed, dispensed, or furnished only as necessary to maintain the patient until the return of the patient's practitioner, but in any case no longer than 72 hours.
- (2) The licensee transmitted the order for the drugs to a registered nurse or to a licensed vocational nurse in an inpatient facility, and if both of the following conditions exist:
- (A) The practitioner had consulted with the registered nurse or licensed vocational nurse who had reviewed the patient's records.
- (B) The practitioner was designated as the practitioner to serve in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be.
- (3) The licensee was a designated practitioner serving in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be, and was in possession of or had utilized the patient's records and ordered the renewal of a medically indicated prescription for an amount not exceeding the original prescription in strength or amount or for more than one refill.
- (4) The licensee was acting in accordance with Section 120582 of the Health and Safety Code.

9. Section 2266 of the Code states:

The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct.

10. Section 725 of the Code states:

- (a) Repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or administering of drugs or treatment, repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic procedures, or repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic or treatment facilities as determined by the standard of the community of licensees is unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon, dentist, podiatrist, psychologist, physical therapist, chiropractor, optometrist, speech-language pathologist, or audiologist.
- (b) Any person who engages in repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing or administering of drugs or treatment is guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not less than one hundred dollars (\$100) nor more than six hundred dollars (\$600), or by imprisonment for a term of not less than 60 days nor more than 180 days, or by both that fine and imprisonment.
- (c) A practitioner who has a medical basis for prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or administering dangerous drugs or prescription controlled substances shall not be subject to disciplinary action or prosecution under this section.
- (d) No physician and surgeon shall be subject to disciplinary action pursuant to this section for treating intractable pain in compliance with Section 2241.5.

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Section 2239 of the Code states:

(a) The use or prescribing for or administering to himself or herself, of any controlled substance; or the use of any of the dangerous drugs specified in Section 4022, or of alcoholic beverages, to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that such use impairs the ability of the licensee to practice medicine safely or more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any of the substances referred to in this section, or any combination thereof, constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of such unprofessional conduct.

Health and Safety Code section 11170 states: 12.

No person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence/Repeated Negligent Acts – 3 Patients)

Respondent Alan S. Ruttenberg, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivisions (b) and (c), of the Code for the commission of acts or omissions involving gross negligence/repeated negligent acts in the care and treatment of Patients 1, 2, and 3.1 The circumstances are as follows:

Patient 1

Patient 1 (or "patient") is a sixty-five-year-old female, who was treated by Respondent from approximately 2015 to 2020,2 for various maladies including pituitary adenoma, high blood pressure, multiple fainting (passing out) spells, and anxiety (not officially diagnosed by Respondent but presumably from Patient 1's domestic violence issues). Per CURES (Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System, a drug monitoring database for Schedule II through V controlled substances dispensed in California), Respondent prescribed to Patient 1 alprazolam (Xanax), as well as simultaneous benzodiazepine prescriptions for lorazepam (a sedative used to treat anxiety) and temazepam (a sedative used to treat insomnia).

¹ The patients are identified by number to protect their privacy. ² These are approximate dates based on the medical records which were available to the Board. Patient 1 may have treated with Respondent before or after these dates.

While Patient 1 was treating with Respondent, Patient 1 was also regularly getting controlled substances (e.g. morphine sulfate, hydrocodone, oxycodone, etc.) from other physicians.³

- 15. During the above time-period, there was no indication that Respondent conducted regular mental status examinations to assess Patient 1's mood, affect, insight, judgment, thought process, or thought content. Also, Respondent did not document assessing Patient 1 for any particular diagnosis or the progression of that diagnosis. Respondent did not address the issue of domestic violence as a possible threat to Patient 1's safety, and Respondent did not address whether Patient 1 was suicidal, which is a particular issue when prescribing controlled substances.
- 16. Respondent's care and treatment of Patient 1, as outlined above, represents an extreme departure from the standard of care for prescribing two benzodiazepines (e.g. lorazepam and temazepam) simultaneously and on an ongoing basis at high doses. Respondent's care and treatment of Patient 1, as outlined above, also demonstrates an extreme departure from the standard of care with respect to Respondent's incomplete examination, diagnosis, and treatment plans for Patient 1. The above departures also represent repeated acts of negligence.

Patient 2

- 17. Patient 2 (or "patient") is a fifty-three-year-old male, who was treated by Respondent from approximately 2016 through 2019.⁴ Although Respondent did not document any official diagnoses for Patient 2, records indicate that Patient 2 often had difficulty concentrating, depression, and was on long-term disability. Patient 2 was also seeing a pain management doctor who wanted to adjust the medications which Respondent was prescribing to Patient 2.
- 18. During this time period, Respondent prescribed to Patient 2 temazepam (a sedative used to treat insomnia), lorazepam (a sedative used to alleviate anxiety), trazodone (an antidepressant), Wellbutrin (an antidepressant), Strattera (a cognition-enhancing medication), and zolpidem (Ambien), a sleep aid.

⁴ Again, these are approximate dates based on the medical records which were available to the Board. Patient 2 may have treated with Respondent before or after these dates.

³ The medications prescribed to Patient 1 by Respondent and other physicians are controlled substances, and have serious side effects and risk for addiction. They are also dangerous drugs pursuant to section 4022 of the Code. It should also be noted that Respondent informed the Board that he does not check CURES, but instead relies on pharmacists to let him know if patients are being prescribed multiple controlled substances.

///

- telling Respondent that he was seeing a pain management doctor who wanted to adjust the medications which Respondent was prescribing. There was no evidence that Respondent assessed Patient 2's school history, work history, or functioning in interpersonal areas in terms of attention to detail, distractibility, and task completion, etc. Respondent did not adequately document assessing Patient 2 for a particular diagnosis, or the progression of that diagnosis. Respondent did not adequately document target symptoms for medications, or justification for increased doses of benzodiazepines. There is no adequate documentation about Patient 2's disability, or the impact the disability had on Patient 2's life.
- 20. The above multiple failures on the part of Respondent demonstrate an extreme departure from the standard of care with respect to Respondent's failure to check CURES and the incomplete examination, diagnosis, and treatment plans for Patient 2. The above departures also represent repeated acts of negligence.

Patient 3

- 21. Patient 3 (or "patient") is a fifty-seven-year-old female, who treated with Respondent from approximately 2016 through 2019,⁵ for anxiety, panic attacks, and pain.⁶ During this time-period, Respondent prescribed to Patient 3 Lexapro (used to treat depression and anxiety), Wellbutrin, and Xanax (alprazolam).⁷
- 22. During the above time period, Respondent failed to adequately document a solid clinical justification for his long-term prescribing of benzodiazepines to Patient 3, as the patient was on non-benzodiazepine pharmacology (e.g. Lexapro, an SSRI antidepressant), but there was no attempt to increase psychotherapy or to decrease the prescribing of benzodiazepines (e.g., alprazolam) to Patient 3.

⁵ Again, these are approximate dates based on the medical records which were available to the Board.
⁶ Similar to the other patients named in this Accusation, Respondent's records were

unclear as to whether Respondent had an actual diagnosis or diagnoses for Patient 3.

These medications are also controlled substances, and have serious side effects and risk for addiction. They are also dangerous drugs pursuant to section 4022 of the Code.

23. Respondent's care and treatment of Patient 3, as outlined above, represents an extreme departure from the standard of care for Respondent's inappropriate prescribing of benzodiazepines to Patient 3, who was also being treated with antidepressants. Respondent also committed an extreme departure from the standard of care in his failure to check CURES to monitor the patient's potential misuse of medications. These departures committed by Respondent also represent repeated acts of negligence.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Excessive Prescribing – 3 Patients)

24. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline above, Respondent Alan S. Ruttenberg, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under section 725 of the Code, in that Respondent excessively prescribed dangerous drugs to Patients 1, 2, and 3.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Furnishing Dangerous Drugs without a Prior Examination or Medical Indication – 3 Patients)

25. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline above, Respondent Alan S. Ruttenberg, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under section 2242 of the Code, in that Respondent furnished dangerous drugs to Patients 1, 2, and 3 without conducting an appropriate prior examination and/or medical indication, as shown by Respondent's inappropriate prescribing of benzodiazepines to Patients 1, 2, and 3.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Self-Prescribing a Controlled Substance)

- 26. Respondent Alan S. Ruttenberg, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 2234, subdivision (a), and 2239, subdivision (a), and Health and Safety Code section 11170, in that during a Board interview on August 20, 2021, Respondent admitted to Board representatives that he prescribed trazadone to himself.
- 27. Respondent's acts and/or omissions as set forth in paragraph 26, above, constitutes self-prescribing, and, therefore, unprofessional conduct within the meaning of section 2239 of the Code. Therefore, cause for discipline exists.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Inadequate Records – 3 Patients)

28. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline above, Respondent Alan S. Ruttenberg, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under section 2266 of the Code, in that Respondent failed to maintain adequate and accurate records of his care and treatment of Patients 1, 2, and 3, above.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number C 27473, issued to Respondent Alan S. Ruttenberg, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent Alan S. Ruttenberg, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;
- 3. Ordering Respondent Alan S. Ruttenberg, M.D., if placed on probation, to pay the Board the costs of probation monitoring; and
 - 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: OCT 1-2 2021

WILLIAM PRASIFIC

Executive Director Medical Board of California

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant