1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California STEVEN D. MUNI Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOHN S. GATSCHET Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 244388 California Department of Justice 1300 I Street, Suite 125		
3			
4			
5			
6	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550		
7	Telephone: (916) 210-7546 Facsimile: (916) 327-2247		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9			
10	BEFORE THE		
11	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13			
14	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against: Case No. 800-2020-067421		
15	RAJINDER SINGH RANDHAWA, M.D.		
16	7720 Tennis Ct. Antelope, CA 95843 PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION		
17	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 50081		
18	Respondent.		
19			
20			
21			
22	Complainant alleges:		
23	<u>PARTIES</u>		
24	1. Christine J. Lally ("Complainant") brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in		
25	her official capacity as the Interim Executive Director of the Medical Board of California,		
26	Department of Consumer Affairs ("Board").		
27	2. On or about October 3, 1997, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and		
28	Surgeon's Certificate Number C 50081 to Rajinder Singh Randhawa, M.D. ("Respondent"). That		
	1		
	PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION (MBC 800-2020-067421)		

"Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

- 10. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition 13, referenced above, by repeatedly failing to pay probation monitoring costs to the Board. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A. The circumstances set forth in Paragraph 3 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- B. On or about May 27, 2015, Respondent signed and dated a document entitled "Acknowledgement of Decision" as part of the intake procedures with the Board's probation department. The document stated that the Board had provided Respondent with a copy of the decision in MBC Case No. 02-2013-232013 and that his certificate was being placed on probation for a period of five years. The document further stated,

"Your signature in the space provided below acknowledges that you received a copy of said decision and that I explained all the terms and conditions of your probation to you and addressed any questions you had about the conditions of your probation."

C. On or about May 4, 2015, the Board sent a letter to Respondent stating that he would owe \$2,520.00 in prorated probation monitoring costs for 2015 and that the total was due on or before January 31, 2016. He was also notified in the same letter that while the total probation monitoring costs for the current calendar year were \$4,106.00, the amount might vary from year to year. On or about December 21, 2016, the Board sent an e-mail to Respondent notifying him that he had not paid anything for 2015 or 2016 probation monitoring costs. Respondent responded that he needed a payment plan and that he would begin paying \$400.00 a month

towards his outstanding probation monitoring costs. At the time, Respondent owed \$6,187.00 in probation monitoring costs to the Board for 2015 and 2016.

- D. On or about March 14, 2017, the Board received a \$400.00 payment from Respondent towards his outstanding probation monitoring costs. On or about March 30, 2017, during an interview with Respondent, a probation monitor informed Respondent that he would need to make approximately 15 monthly payments of \$400.00 to pay off the 2015 and 2016 probation monitoring costs and that Respondent would incur an additional \$4,537.00 in probation monitoring costs for 2017. Respondent stated that he intended to make larger payments but that he would continue to make a minimum payment of \$400.00 a month.
- E. On or about September 30, 2019, Respondent was notified by the Board that his probation file was being assigned to a new probation monitor. On or about November 21, 2019, the Board's new probation monitor interviewed Respondent at his place of employment. Respondent admitted that he was not in compliance with all of his terms and conditions of probation and admitted that he was behind on his probation monitoring costs. The probation monitor informed the Respondent that he owed the Board \$15,473.00 for outstanding probation monitoring costs. The Respondent stated that he understood that he owed the Board \$15,473.00. On or about December 30, 2019, the Board's probation monitor sent Respondent a letter stating that Respondent's current balance for outstanding probation monitoring costs was \$15,473.00 and that he was to make payment by the end of February 2020.
- F. On or about March 24, 2020, the Board's probation monitor interviewed Respondent at Respondent's place of employment. Respondent admitted that he did not comply with all of his terms and conditions of probation and he admitted that he was behind on his probation monitoring costs. The probation monitor informed the Respondent that he now owed \$21,904.00 in probation monitoring costs and that payment was due in full before May 22, 2020, the scheduled expiration of his probation term. The probation monitor explained to Respondent that failure to pay his probation costs would result in further discipline against Respondent's license and the Respondent stated that he understood the consequences of not paying probation monitoring

III1 2 costs. As of May 8, 2020, Respondent still owes the Board \$21,904.00 in outstanding probation monitoring costs. 3 **DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS** 4 11. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, 5 Complainant alleges that on or about May 22, 2015, in a prior disciplinary action entitled In the 6 7 Matter of the Accusation Against Rajinder Singh Randhawa, M.D. before the Medical Board of California, in Case No. 02-2013-232013, Respondent's license was revoked, with the revocation 8 stayed, for Respondent improperly presenting an altered pocket license and for falsely 9 representing that his medical license was current when in fact it was delinquent to a prospective 10 employer. Prior to accepting the Board's stipulation for a Decision and Order in this matter, 11 Respondent agreed that if the Board ever petitioned for revocation of probation, "all of the 12 charges, and allegations contained in Accusation No. 02-2013-232013, shall be deemed true, 13 correct, and fully admitted by Respondent for the purposes of that proceeding..." That decision 14 is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth. 15 /// 16 111 17 111 18 /// 19 111 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 111 24 25 /// 26 /// 27 111 28 111 6

Exhibit A

Decision and Order

Medical Board of California Case No. 02-2013-232013

BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:))
RAJINDER SINGH RANDHAWA, M.D.	Case No. 02-2013-232013
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 50081))
Respondent))

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on May 22, 2015.

IT IS SO ORDERED: April 24, 2015.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Dev Gnanadev, M.D., Chair

Panel B

MEDICAL Grack is OF CALIFORNIA

I do hereby on the brighted document is a true
and correct copy of the original on file in this
office.

Supporting
Tor Custodian of Records

Title

S18/2020

1	Kamala D. Harris			
2	Attorney General of California ROBERT MCKIM BELL			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOHN S. GATSCHET	•		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 244388			
5	California Department of Justice 1300 I Street, Suite 125			
6	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550			
7	Telephone: (916) 445-5230 Facsimile: (916) 327-2247			
8	Attorneys for Complainant			
9	BEFORE THE			
10	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 02-2013-232013		
13		OAH No. 2014060483		
14	RAINDER SINGII RANDIIAWA, M.D.	OAH No. 2014000465		
15		STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER		
16	Anterope, Camorna 93643	DISCIPLINARY ORDER		
17	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate C 50081,			
18	Respondent.	·		
19				
20	IT IS MEDERA STERLII ATER AND A CREER by and between the portion to the above			
21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-			
22	cntitled proceedings that the following matters are true: PARTIES			
23				
24	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer ("Complainant") is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California ("Board"). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is			
25	represented in this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by			
26				
27	John S. Gatschet, Deputy Attorney General.			
ľ	2. Respondent Rajinder Singh Randhawa, M.D. ("Respondent") is representing himself			
28	in this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.			
	1	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (02-2013-232013)		

Ī

3. On October 3, 1997, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 50081 to Respondent. That license expired on March 31, 2011. On April 1, 2011, Respondent's license went into delinquent status. On April 23, 2011, Respondent renewed his delinquent license. His license again expired on March 31, 2013. On April 1, 2013, Respondent's license went into delinquent status. On May 11, 2013, Respondent renewed his delinquent license. His license is currently in full force and effect and will expire on March 31, 2015, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 02-2013-232013 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on April 2, 2014. Respondent timely filed a Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 02-2013-232013 is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 02-2013-232013. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoense to compet the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

27 111

28 | ///

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 02-2013-232013, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.
- 10. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges.
- 11. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if the Board ever petitions for revocation of probation, all of the charges, and allegations contained in Accusation No. 02-2013-232013, shall be deemed true, correct, and fully admitted by Respondent for purposes of that proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving respondent in the State of California.
- 12. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

13. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Medical Board of California or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

14. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California.

Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this

stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 15. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals:
- 16. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 50081 issued to Respondent Rajinder Singh Randhawa, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. <u>COMMUNITY SERVICE - FREE SERVICES</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval a community service plan in which Respondent shall within the first 2 years of probation, provide 100 hours of free services (e.g., medical or nonmedical) to a community or non-profit organization. If the term of probation is designated for 2 years or less, the community service hours must be completed not later than 6 months prior to the completion of probation.

Prior to engaging in any community service Respondent shall provide a true copy of the Decision(s) to the chief of staff, director, office manager, program manager, officer, or the chief executive officer at every community or non-profit organization where Respondent provides community service and shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days. This condition shall also apply to any change(s) in community service.

Community service performed prior to the effective date of the Decision shall not be accepted in fulfillment of this condition.

2. PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM ("ETHICS" COURSE). Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program, that meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (C.C.R.) section 1358. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

3. NOTIFICATION. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registrics or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

4. SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS. During probation, Respondent is

27

28

prohibited from supervising physician assistants.

- 5. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u>. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 6. <u>QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS</u>. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

7. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit and all terms and conditions of this Decision.

Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any

 areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- 8. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u>. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- 9. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine in California as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete a clinical training program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years. Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; and General Probation Requirements.

- 10. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u>. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 11. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u>. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- IZ. LICENSE SURRENDER. Following the effective date of this Decision, if
 Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy
 the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license.
 The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in
 determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate
 and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent
 shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its
 designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject
 to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the
 application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.
- 13. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year.

ACCEPTANCE I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the 2 stlpulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into 3 this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California. 5 6 7 RAJINDER SINGH RANDHAWA, M.D. Respondent 8 9 10 ENDORSEMENT 11 The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order are hereby respectfully 12 submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California. 13 Respectfully submitted, 14 KAMALA D. HARRIS 15 Attorney General of Chlifornia ROBERT MCKLYA BEYLL Supervising Deput Attorney concral 16 17 18 Deputy Attorney General 19 Attorneys for Complainant 20 21 22 SA2014312291 23 61506327.dcc 24 25 26 27 28 9

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (02-2013-232013)

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 02-2013-232013

•				
í	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California			
2	ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General FILED			
3	JOHN S. GATSCHET Deputy Attorney General MENICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA			
4	State Bar No. 244388 California Department of Justice 8 CRIMENTO 41/1/2, 2014			
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255			
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-5230			
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-2247 Attorneys for Complainant			
8	The hoyage Complanian			
9	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA			
10.	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12	In the Matter-of the Accusation Against: Case No. 02-2013-232013			
13	RAJINDER SINGH RANDHAWA, M.D. 7720 Tennis Court			
14	Antelope, California 95843 A C C U S A T I O N			
15	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate C 50081			
16	Respondent.			
17				
18	Complainant alleges:			
19	<u>PARTIES</u>			
20	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official			
21	capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California ("Board").			
22	2. On October 3, 1997, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate number C			
23	50081 to Rajinder Singh Randhawa, M.D. ("Respondent"). That license expired on March 31,			
24	2011. On April 1, 2011, Respondent's license went into delinquent status. On April 23, 2011,			
25	the Respondent renewed his delinquent license. His license again expired on March 31, 2013.			
26	On April 1, 2013, Respondent's license went into delinquent status. On May 11, 2013, the			
27	<i>III</i>			
28				

Accusation (02-2013-232013)

"(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

(6 17

7. Section 2261 of the Code states:

"Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document directly or indirectly related to the practice of medicine or podiatry which falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts, constitutes unprofessional conduct."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

- 8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to sections 119, subdivision (f) and 2234 of the Code, in that he reproduced an altered expired certificate ("pocket license") issued to him by the Medical Board of California in a manner that it could be mistaken for a valid license. The circumstances are as follows:
- 9. Complainant hereby realleges the facts concerning the license status of the Respondent as set forth in paragraph 2 as fully set forth at this point.
- 10. Respondent is a psychiatrist. He is currently employed by Kern County Mental Health.
- 11. On September 22, 2012, Respondent sent Pinnacle Health Services, Inc. (PHS) a copy of his "pocket license." PHS is a business that provides duly-licensed medical professionals to work at state psychiatric facilities. Respondent contracted with PHS to be an independent service-provider. The "pocket license" had an expiration date of March 31, 2013, and was retained in Respondent's prospective employment file at PHS.
- 12. On March 28, 2013, PHS sent an e-mail to the Napa State Hospital (NSH) medical staff coordinator stating that the Respondent wished to apply as a part time staff psychiatrist. PHS forwarded a copy of Respondent's "pocket license" with an expiration date of March 31, 2013.

27 | ///

-///

///

///

- 13. On April 30, 2013, the NSH medical staff coordinator requested additional documentation directly from the Respondent. That same day, the Respondent faxed the NSH medical staff coordinator a "pocket license" which had an expiration date of March 31, 2015.
- 14. On May 9, 2013, the NSF medical staff coordinator contacted the Medical Board to verify that the Respondent's license was valid. The NSF medical staff coordinator was informed by the Medical Board that the Respondent's license was delinquent.
- 15. On May 10, 2013, the NSF medical staff coordinator contacted the Medical Board and spoke to a regulatory analyst. The regulatory analyst again verified that the account was delinquent. The NSF medical staff coordinator informed the regulatory analyst that she had received a "pocket license" from the Respondent that had an expiration date of March 31, 2015. The regulatory analyst confirmed that the Medical Board had not issued Respondent a "pocket license" with an expiration date of March 31, 2015. The regulatory analyst concluded the expiration date had been altered.
- 16. After speaking to the Medical Board, the NSF medical staff coordinator informed her supervisor that Respondent's medical license was delinquent. The supervisor contacted the Respondent and informed him that his license was delinquent and that he could not start employment at NSH without a valid medical license.
- 17. On May 10, 2013, after being contacted by the supervisor, the Respondent renewed his medical license by making payment on-line to the Medical Board. The Medical Board sent the Respondent a valid "pocket license" with an expiration date of March 31, 2015.
- 18. On August 29, 2013, the Respondent admitted he received the valid "pocket license" from the Medical Board by mail approximately a week after May 10, 2013.
- 19. The Respondent is subject to disciplinary action because he sent a fraudulent "pocket license" to the Napa State Hospital in such a manner that it was mistakenly received as a valid license.

. 16

///

///

(Signing a false document directly related to the practice of medicine)

- 20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2261 in that he signed a medical staff application which contained false information regarding his medical license. The circumstances are as follows:
- 21. Complainant realleges paragraphs 9 through 18, those paragraphs are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 22. On March 28, 2013, PHS sent Respondent an e-mail containing a blank copy of the NSH medical staff application.
- 23. On April 30, 2013, the Respondent faxed the completed NSH medical staff application to the NSH medical staff coordinator at NSH. On page three of Respondent's NSH medical staff application, under the section titled MEDICAL/PSYCHOLOGY LICENSURE/REGISTRATIONS, the Respondent's medical license number, C50081, was listed as having an expiration date of March 31, 2015.
- 24. On page five of the NSH medical staff application, under section XVI, titled ATTESTATION QUESTIONS, the following is listed under "A":

"Has your license to practice in any jurisdiction, your Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) registration or any applicable narcotic registration ever been denied, limited, restricted, suspended, revoked, not renewed, or subject to probationary conditions, or have you voluntarily or involuntarily relinquished any such license or registration or voluntarily accepted any such actions or conditions, or have you been fined or received a letter of reprimand or is such action pending?

Next to question "A", the answer "NO," is marked with an "x."

- 25. On page six of the NSH medical staff application, the following is listed:
- "I hereby affirm that the information in this Section XVI, Attestation Questions, and any addenda thereto is true, current, correct, and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief and is furnished in good faith. I understand that material, omissions or misrepresentations may result in denial of my reapplication or termination of my privileges, employment, or physician participation agreement."

Respondent's name is printed in bold face print directly underneath the affirmation paragraph. The Respondent's signature is directly underneath the Respondent's printed name. On the signature line, directly next to Respondent's signature, is a typed date of April 24, 2013. On January 8, 2014, the Respondent admitted that the signature located on page six is his signature and admitted that he personally signed the document.

26. On page seven of the NSH medical staff application, the following is listed:

"I hereby affirm that the information submitted in this reapplication and addenda thereto (including-my curriculum vitae if attached) is true, current, correct, and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief and is furnished in good faith. I understand that material omissions or misrepresentations may result in denial of my reapplication or termination of my privileges, employment of physician participation agreement. A photocopy of this document shall be as effective as the original, however, current dates are required on pages 5 and 6.

Respondent's name is printed in bold face print directly underneath the affirmation paragraph.

The Respondent's signature is directly underneath the Respondent's printed name. On the signature line, directly next to Respondent's signature, is a typed date of April 24, 2013. On January 8, 2014, the Respondent admitted that the signature located on page seven is his signature and admitted that he personally signed the document.

27. The Respondent is subject to disciplinary action because he signed a document directly related to the practice of medicine that falsely represented that he possessed a valid medical license.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonest and Corrupt Acts)

- 28. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234(e) in that he committed dishonest and corrupt acts which are substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon. The circumstances are as follows:
- 29. Complainant realleges paragraphs 9 through 27, those paragraphs are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

27 || ///

28 | ///

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number C 50081, issued to Rajinder Singh Randhawa, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval his authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;
- 3. If placed on probation, ordering him to pay the Medical Board of California the costs of probation monitoring; and
 - 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: April 2, 2014

Executive Director
Medical Board of California

Department of Consumer Affairs State of California

Complainant

SA2014312291 61217381,docx