# BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against:	) ) )	
STEWART W. LOVELACE, M.D.	) Case No. D1-2005-1694	412
Physician's and Surgeon's	)	
Certificate No. C-30263	)	
Respondent	) ) _ )	

#### **DECISION**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on August 17, 2012.

IT IS SO ORDERED: July 19, 2012.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Shelton Duruisseau, Ph.D., Chair

Panel A

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS
2	Attorney General of California E. A. Jones III Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3	State Bar No. 71375 California Department of Justice
4	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013
5	Telephone: (213) 897-2543 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395
6	Attorneys for Complainant
7	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
8	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Case No. D1-2005-169412
10	Probation Against:  OAH No. 2011120632
11	STEWART W. LOVELACE, M.D. 1112 Ocean Drive  STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER
12	Manhattan Beach, California 90266
13	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 30263,
14	Respondent.
15	
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-
17	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:
18	PARTIES
19	1. Linda K. Whitney (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of
20	California. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter
21	by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by E. A. Jones III, Supervising
22	Deputy Attorney General.
23	2. Respondent Stewart W. Lovelace, M.D. (Respondent) is representing himself in this
24	proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.
25	3. On or about July 19, 1968, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and
26	Surgeon's Certificate No. C 30263 to Stewart W. Lovelace, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's
27	and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
28	in Petition to Revoke Probation No. D1-2005-169412 and will expire on December 31, 2012,
	1

unless renewed.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 4. Petition to Revoke Probation No. D1-2005-169412 was filed before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Petition to Revoke Probation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on November 28, 2011. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Petition to Revoke Probation.
- 5. A copy of Petition to Revoke Probation No. D1-2005-169412 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

#### ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Petition to Revoke Probation No. D1-2005-169412. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Petition to Revoke Probation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

- 9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Petition to Revoke Probation No. D1-2005-169412.
- 10. Respondent agrees that his Physician and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### **RESERVATION**

11. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Medical Board of California or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

#### CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

## **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 30263 issued to Respondent Stewart W. Lovelace, M.D. (Respondent) is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for two (2) years, in addition to the current probation which is scheduled to expire on September 28, 2013. Respondent is thus placed on probation until September 28, 2015, on the following terms and conditions.

- 1. <u>EDUCATION COURSE</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of CME of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.
- 2. <u>MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping equivalent to the Medical Record Keeping Course offered by the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program, University of California, San Diego School of Medicine (Program), approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the program with any information and documents that the Program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than

15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

3. <u>CLINICAL TRAINING PROGRAM</u>. Within 100 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a clinical training or educational program equivalent to the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program (PACE) offered at the University of California - San Diego School of Medicine ("Program"). Respondent shall successfully complete the Program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment unless the Board or its designee agrees in writing to an extension of that time.

The Program shall consist of a Comprehensive Assessment program comprised of a two-day assessment of Respondent's physical and mental health; basic clinical and communication skills common to all clinicians; and medical knowledge, skill and judgment pertaining to Respondent's area of practice in which Respondent was alleged to be deficient, and at minimum, a 40 hour program of clinical education in the area of practice in which Respondent was alleged to be deficient and which takes into account data obtained from the assessment, Decision(s), Accusation(s), and any other information that the Board or its designee deems relevant. Respondent shall pay all expenses associated with the clinical training program.

Based on Respondent's performance and test results in the assessment and clinical education, the Program will advise the Board or its designee of its recommendation(s) for the scope and length of any additional educational or clinical training, treatment for any medical condition, treatment for any psychological condition, or anything else affecting Respondent's practice of medicine. Respondent shall comply with Program recommendations.

At the completion of any additional educational or clinical training, Respondent shall submit to and pass an examination. Determination as to whether Respondent successfully completed the examination or successfully completed the program is solely within the program's jurisdiction.

If Respondent fails to enroll, participate in, or successfully complete the clinical training program within the designated time period, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. The Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until enrollment or

participation in the outstanding portions of the clinical training program have been completed. If the Respondent did not successfully complete the clinical training program, the Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until a final decision has been rendered on the accusation and/or a petition to revoke probation. The cessation of practice shall not apply to the reduction of the probationary time period.

4. MONITORING - PRACTICE/BILLING. Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a practice monitor(s), the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) certified. A monitor shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with Respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Board, including but not limited to any form of bartering, shall be in Respondent's field of practice, and must agree to serve as Respondent's monitor. Respondent shall pay all monitoring costs.

The Board or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision(s), Accusation(s), and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed statement that the monitor has read the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), fully understands the role of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan with the signed statement for approval by the Board or its designee.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and continuing throughout probation, Respondent's practice shall be monitored by the approved monitor. Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the monitor at all times during business hours and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a monitor within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent

shall cease the practice of medicine until a monitor is approved to provide monitoring responsibility.

The monitor(s) shall submit a quarterly written report to the Board or its designee which includes an evaluation of Respondent's performance, indicating whether Respondent's practices are within the standards of practice of medicine, and whether Respondent is practicing medicine safely. It shall be the sole responsibility of Respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Board or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within 5 calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

In lieu of a monitor, Respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program equivalent to the one offered by the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine, that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at Respondent's expense during the term of probation.

5. <u>NOTIFICATION</u>. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to

Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 6. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS</u>. During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants.
- 7. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u>. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 8. <u>QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS</u>. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

## 9. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

## Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit and all terms and conditions of this Decision.

## Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

## Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

////

2.7

#### License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

#### Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- 10. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u>. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- 11. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine in California as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete a clinical training program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years. Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; and General Probation Requirements.

- 12. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u>. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 14. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u>. Following the effective date of this Decision, if
  Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy
  the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license.
  The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in
  determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate
  and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent
  shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its
  designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject
  to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the
  application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.
- 15. <u>PROBATION MONITORING COSTS</u>. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which

1	may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of	
2	California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar	
3	year.	
4		
5	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>	
6	I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the	
7	stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this	
8	Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree	
9	to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.	
10		
11	DATED: 5.29.12 Stewart love low M.D.  STEWART W. LOVELACE, M.D.	
12	Respondent	
13		
14	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>	
15	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully	
16	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
1	submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer	
17	submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs.	
17 18	Affairs.	
	Affairs.  Dated: 6/1/12  Respectfully submitted,	
18	Affairs.	
18 19	Affairs.  Dated: 6/1/12  Respectfully submitted,  KAMALA D. HARRIS	
18 19 20	Affairs.  Dated: 6/1/12  Respectfully submitted,  KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California  E. A. JONES III	
18 19 20 21	Affairs.  Dated: 6/1/12  Respectfully submitted,  KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	
18 19 20 21 22	Affairs.  Dated: 6/1/12  Respectfully submitted,  KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California  E. A. JONES III Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
18 19 20 21 22 23	Affairs.  Dated: 6/1/12  Respectfully submitted,  KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California  E. A. JONES III Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Affairs.  Dated: 6/1/12  Respectfully submitted,  KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California  E. A. JONES III Supervising Deputy Attorney General	

## Exhibit A

Petition to Revoke Probation No. D1-2005-169412

11	(war	
		FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
2	Attorney General of California  E. A. Jones III  Secretary Attorney General	SACRAMENTO OSOVEMBUT 28 20 11 BY: A. A. A. ANALYST
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 71375	<u>.</u>
4	California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2543	
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 Attorneys for Complainant	
7		RE THE O OF CALIFORNIA
8	DEPARTMENT OF C	CONSUMER AFFAIRS
9	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA
10	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against:	Case No. D1-2005-169412
11	STEWART W. LOVELACE, M.D.	
12	1112 Ocean Drive Manhattan Beach, California 90266	PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION
13	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 30263	
14	Respondent.	
15	respondent.	
16	Complainant alleges:	
17	PAR	RTIES
18	1. Linda K. Whitney (Complainant) bri	ings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in
19	her official capacity as the Executive Director of	f the Medical Board of California, Department of
20	Consumer Affairs.	
21	2. On or about July 19, 1968, the Medi	cal Board of California issued Physician and
22	Surgeon's Certificate Number C 30263 to Stewa	rt W. Lovelace, M.D. (Respondent). The
23	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in effe	ect at all times relevant to the charges brought
24	herein and will expire on December 31, 2012, un	nless renewed.
25	3. In a disciplinary action entitled "In t	he Matter of Accusation Against Stewart W.
26	Lovelace, M.D.," Case No. 06-2005-169412, the	e Medical Board of California issued a decision,
27	effective October 26, 2009, in which Responder	nt's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was
28	revoked. However, the revocation was stayed a	nd Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's
	H - 1	

Certificate was placed on probation for a period of three (3) years with certain terms and conditions. A copy of that decision is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 4. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Medical Board of California (Board<sup>1</sup>), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 5. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.
- 6. Probation Condition 14 of the Board's Decision and Order "In the Matter of Accusation Against Stewart W. Lovelace, M.D.," Case No. 06-2005-169412, effective October 26, 2009, states as follows:

"Failure to comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the [Board], after giving respondent notice and opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against the Respondent during probation, the [Board] shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final."

## FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

## (Education Course)

7. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Probation Condition 1 of the Board's Decision and Order "In the Matter of Accusation Against Stewart W. Lovelace,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Business and Professions Code section 2002, effective January 1, 2008, provides that, unless otherwise expressly provided, the term "board" as used in the State Medical Practice Act, Business and Professions Code, section 2000 *et seq.*, means the "Medical Board of California," and references to the "Division of Medical Quality" and "Division of Licensing" in the Act or any other provision of law shall be deemed to refer to the Board.

M.D.," Case No. 06-2005-169412, effective October 26, 2009, (Order) stated that Respondent must submit to the Board for approval and complete 40 hours of continuing medical education (CME) course work in addition to the 25 hours of CME required for license renewal.

- 8. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition 1, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
  - A. On or about September 25, 2009, the Board issued its Order placing Respondent on probation for three years and requiring him to comply, inter alia, with Condition 1 which required Respondent to submit to the Board for approval and complete 40 hours of continuing medical education (CME) course work in addition to the 25 hours of CME required for license renewal. The effective date of the Order was October 26, 2009, with the three years of probation to run from September 28, 2010, to September 28, 2013. On or about September 7, 2010, an intake interview was conducted by a Medical Board Probation Inspector with Respondent during which all of the terms and conditions of the probation order were discussed with Respondent. Respondent indicated that he understood all of the terms and conditions. Respondent signed an Acknowledgment of Decision form indicating that he had received a copy of the probation order, the terms and conditions had been explained to him and he understood all of the terms and conditions of probation.
  - B. On or about January 11, 2011, a first quarter interview was conducted by a Medical Board Probation Inspector with Respondent. Respondent indicated that he had completed 33.5 hours of CME. Respondent was reminded that the failure to provide proof of 65 hours of CME was a violation of probation.
  - C. On May 20, 2011, a second quarter interview was conducted by a Medical Board Probation Inspector with Respondent. Respondent indicated that he had completed 47 hours of CME. Respondent was advised that the failure to provide proof of 65 hours of CME was a violation of probation.
  - D. On or about August 12, 2011, an interview was conducted by Medical Board Probation Inspectors with Respondent. When asked about his deficient CME, Respondent

indicated that he planned to take some courses in the fall.

E. For the probation year from September 28, 2010, through September 27, 2011, Respondent had proof of 41 hours of CME and was deficient 24 hours of CME, thereby violating Probation Condition 1.

## SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Clinical Training Program)

- 9. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 3 of the Board's Decision and Order "In the Matter of Accusation Against Stewart W. Lovelace, M.D.," Case No. 06-2005-169412, effective October 26, 2009, stated that Respondent must enroll in and complete within six months of the effective date of the probation order a clinical training or educational program equivalent to the Physician Assessment and Clinical Training Program (PACE) offered at the University of California San Diego School of Medicine.
- 10. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition 3, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
  - A. On or about September 25, 2009, the Board issued its Order placing Respondent on probation for three years and requiring him to comply, inter alia, with Probation Condition 3 which required Respondent, within 60 days of the effective date of the Order, to enroll in and complete within six months of the effective date of the probation Order a clinical training or educational program equivalent to the PACE Program at the University of California San Diego Medical School. The effective date of the Order was October 26, 2009, with the three years of probation to run from September 28, 2010, to September 28, 2013. On or about September 7, 2010, an intake interview was conducted by a Medical Board Probation Inspector with Respondent during which all of the terms and conditions of the probation order were discussed with Respondent. Respondent indicated that he understood all of the terms and conditions. Respondent signed an Acknowledgment of Decision form indicating that he had received a copy of the probation order, the terms and conditions had been explained to him and he understood all of the terms and conditions

of probation.

- B. On or about January 11, 2011, a first quarter interview was conducted by a Medical Board Probation Inspector with Respondent. Respondent was asked why he had not enrolled in the PACE Program within 60 days of the effective date of the order. Respondent indicated that he was having financial difficulties. Respondent was advised that it was imperative that he enroll in the PACE Program.
- C. On May 20, 2011, a second quarter interview was conducted by a Medical Board Probation Inspector with Respondent. Respondent indicated that he planned to enroll in the PACE Program. Respondent was advised that until he officially enrolled in the PACE Program he was in violation of his probation order.
- D. On or about August 12, 2011, an interview was conducted by Medical Board Probation Inspectors with Respondent. Respondent indicated that he had not yet enrolled in the PACE Program but would obtain funds and enroll. Respondent was advised that he would have until August 26, 2011, to enroll in the PACE Program.
- E. As of September 15, 2011, Respondent had failed to enroll in and complete the PACE Program thereby violating Probation Condition 3.

## **DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS**

11. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about February 28, 2007, in a prior disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of the Accusation Against Stewart W. Lovelace, M.D. before the Medical Board of California," in Case No. 20-2006-172480, Respondent's license was revoked, the revocation was stayed and Respondent was place on three years probation issued a civil penalty for failing to timely provide medical records during the course of a Medical Board investigation. That decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

## **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Medical Board of California in Case

# Exhibit A

Decision and Order

Medical Board of California Case No. 06-2005-169412

## BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

: )
) Case No. 06-2005-169412
)
) )
•

## **DECISION**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on October 26, 2009. IT IS SO ORDERED September 25, 2009.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

hang, Chair

il.		1
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California	
2.	PAUL C AMENT	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CHRIS LEONG, State Bar No. 141079	
4	Deputy Attorney General	
	Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2575	
5	Facsimile: (213) 897-9395	
6 <sup>-</sup>	Attorneys for Complainant	
	BEFORE THE	
8	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 06-2005-169412	
	STEWART W. LOVELACE, M.D. OAH No. L-2008090100	
12	1112 Ocean Drive STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	•
13	DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C30263,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the	
18	above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:	:
19	PARTIES	
20	1. Barbara Johnston (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical	
21	Board of California (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is	
22	represented in this matter by Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California,	
23	by Chris Leong, Deputy Attorney General.	
24	2. Respondent Stewart W. Lovelace, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in	
25	this proceeding by attorney Robert S. McWhorter, whose address is Robert S. McWhorter	
	Nossaman LLP, 915 L. Street, Suite 1000, Sacramento, California 95814.	
20	3. On or about July 19, 1968, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's	
2	certificate (certificate) No. C30263 to Respondent. The certificate was valid at all times relevant	nt
2	S	

2.7

to the charges brought in Accusation No. 06-2005-169412 and will expire on December 31, 2008, unless renewed. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on June 25, 2008. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.

## JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 06-2005-169412 was filed before the Board and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on June 25, 2008. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 06-2005-169412 is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated herein by reference. Respondent filed a Motion to Dismiss the Accusation. That motion was heard before the Office of Administrative Hearings. The Proposed Decision was adopted, which granted in part and denied in part the motion. The Decision of the Board became effective on January 30, 2009.
- Penalty No. 20-2006-172480 was filed before the Board. A Decision after Non Adoption was issued by the Board. That Decision after non adoption placed his license on probation until September 28, 2010 and imposed a civil penalty. Dr. Lovelace filed a Petition for Writ of Administrative Mandamus before the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Sacramento, case number 07CS01434, regarding the issue of the civil penalty. The Superior Court remanded the matter back to the Board to reconsider its action in light of the Court's Statement of Decision but otherwise upheld the decision. On December 8, 2008, the Board reconsidered its action in light of the Court's ruling and rendered a Decision After Remand from Superior Court which became effective on February 25, 2009.

## ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 06-2005-169412. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8.. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

## CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 06-2005-169412, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his certificate.
- 10. Respondent agrees that he failed to document telecommunications with patients, constituting a violation of Business and Professions Code (Code) section 2234, subdivision (c), and that he failed to maintain adequate and accurate medical records in violation of Code section 2266.
- 11. Respondent agrees that his Physician and Surgeon's certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board 's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### CONTINGENCY

California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary

б

Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 13. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

## DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician and Surgeon's certificate No. C30263 issued to Respondent Stewart W. Lovelace, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on an probation for three (3) years, in addition to the current probation which is scheduled to expire on September 28, 2010. Respondent is placed on probation until September 28, 2013, on the following terms and conditions.

- this Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified, limited to classroom, conference, or seminar settings. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Division or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of continuing medical education of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.
  - 2. <u>MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE</u> Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping,

at Respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Division or its designee. Failure to successfully complete the course during the first 6 months of probation is a violation of probation.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Division or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Division or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Division or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

3. <u>CLINICAL TRAINING PROGRAM</u> Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a clinical training or educational program equivalent to the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program (PACE) offered at the University of California - San Diego School of Medicine ("Program").

The Program shall consist of a Comprehensive Assessment program comprised of a two-day assessment of Respondent's physical and mental health; basic clinical and communication skills common to all clinicians; and medical knowledge, skill and judgment pertaining to Respondent's specialty or sub-specialty, and at minimum, a 40 hour program of clinical education in the area of practice in which Respondent was alleged to be deficient and which takes into account data obtained from the assessment, Decision(s), Accusation(s), and any other information that the Division or its designee deems relevant. Respondent shall pay all expenses associated with the clinical training program.

Based on Respondent's performance and test results in the assessment and clinical education, the Program will advise the Division or its designee of its recommendation(s) for the scope and length of any additional educational or clinical training, treatment for any medical condition, treatment for any psychological condition, or anything else affecting Respondent's practice of medicine. Respondent shall comply with Program recommendations.

.17 

At the completion of any additional educational or clinical training, Respondent shall submit to and pass an examination. The Program's determination whether or not Respondent passed the examination or successfully completed the Program shall be binding.

Respondent shall complete the Program not later than six months after

Respondent's initial enrollment unless the Division or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion.

Failure to participate in and complete successfully all phases of the clinical training program outlined above is a violation of probation.

If Respondent fails to complete the clinical training program within the designated time period, Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine within 72 hours after being notified by the Division or its designee that Respondent failed to complete the clinical training program.

date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a practice monitor, the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physician(s) and surgeon(s) whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) certified. A monitor shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with Respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Division, including, but not limited to, any form of bartering, shall be in Respondent's field of practice, and must agree to serve as Respondent's monitor. Respondent shall pay all monitoring costs.

The Board or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision(s), Accusation(s), and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed statement that the monitor has read the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), fully understands the role of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan with the signed statement.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and continuing throughout probation, Respondent's practice shall be monitored by the approved monitor. Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the monitor at all times during business hours, and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

The monitor(s) shall submit a quarterly written report to the Division or its designee which includes an evaluation of Respondent's performance, indicating whether Respondent's practices are within the standards of practice of medicine or billing, or both, and whether Respondent is practicing medicine safely, billing appropriately or both.

It shall be the sole responsibility of Respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Division or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within 5 calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Division or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, Respondent shall be suspended from the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and prepared to assume immediate monitoring responsibility. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine within 3 calendar days after being so notified by the Division or designee.

In lieu of a monitor, Respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program equivalent to the one offered by the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine, that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at Respondent's expense during the term of probation.

Failure to maintain all records, or to make all appropriate records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises, or to comply with this condition as outlined

above is a violation of probation.

When at least three years has elapsed since the effective date of the Board's adopting this Stipulation, the practice monitor may notify the division that he /she believes no further monitoring is necessary. The Board then may, in its sole discretion, discontinue this term of probation.

Respondent shall provide a true copy of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s) to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Division or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 6. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS</u> During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants.
- 7. OBEY ALL LAWS Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.
- 8. <u>OUARTERLY DECLARATIONS</u> Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.
- 9. PROBATION UNIT COMPLIANCE Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation unit. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post

- 18 

·24 

office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's place of residence. Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Respondent shall immediately inform the Division, or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than 30 calendar days.

- shall be available in person for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with the Division or its designee, upon request at various intervals, and either with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice, Respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding 30 calendar days in which Respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program outside the State of California which has been approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine within the State. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; and Probation Unit Compliance.

Respondent's license shall be automatically canceled if Respondent's periods of

temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California total two years. However, Respondent's license shall not be canceled as long as Respondent is residing and practicing medicine in another state of the United States and is on active probation with the medical licensing authority of that state, in which case the two year period shall begin on the date probation is completed or terminated in that state.

## 12. FAILURE TO PRACTICE MEDICINE - CALIFORNIA RESIDENT

In the event Respondent resides in the State of California and for any reason Respondent stops practicing medicine in California, Respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within 30 calendar days prior to the dates of non-practice and return to practice. Any period of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term and does not relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the terms and conditions of probation. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding 30 calendar days in which Respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered time spent in the practice of medicine. For purposes of this condition, non-practice due to a Board-ordered suspension or in compliance with any other condition of probation, shall not be considered a period of non-practice.

Respondent's license shall be automatically canceled if Respondent resides in California and for a total of two years, fails to engage in California in any of the activities described in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052.

- 13. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u> Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 14. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u> Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke

probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

- Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request the voluntary surrender of Respondent's license. The Division reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Division or its designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation and the surrender of Respondent's license shall be deemed disciplinary action. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.
- associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Division but may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Division or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 calendar days of the due date is a violation of probation.

#### ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Robert S. McWhorter. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

1	DATED:
2	
3	
4	STEWART W. LOVELACE, M.D. Respondent
5	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Stewart W. Lovelace, M.D. the
6	terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and
7	Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.
8	DATED:
9	
10	
11	ROBERT S. MCWHORTER Attorney for Respondent
12	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>
13	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully
14	submitted for consideration by the Board.
15	
16	DATED:
17	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California
18	PAUL C. AMENT Supervising Deputy Attorney General
19	
20	CHRIS LEONG
21	Deputy Attorney General
22	Attorneys for Complainant
23	DOJ Matter ID: LA2008501454 50413511.wpd
24	
25	
26	
27	

ľ	1	
		t *
		DATED: 327,09
1		•
2		She was Conton my
3		Stewart W. LOVELACE, M.D.
4		Respondent
5		I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Stewart W. Lovelace, M.D. the
6		onditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and
7	Disciplinar	Order. I approve its form and content.
8		DATED: 4-1-09
9		Q1 100 1//
10		ROBERT S. MCWHORTER
11		Attorney for Respondent
12		ENDORSEMENT
13		The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully
14	makemitted	for consideration by the Board.
15	32017	DATED: 4 6 9
16		COLUMNIC G BROWN JR., Attorney General
17		of the State of California
18		PAUL C. AMENT Supervising Deputy Antomey General
19		This low
20		CUDIS LEONG
21		Deputy Attorney General
27	DOT WRITELI	Attorneys for Complainant
23	ii .	5
2	ii	
2.	tl .	
z 2	Į .	
	28	
2	.0	12

Exhibit A Accusation No. 06-2005-169412

FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA of the State of California CHRIS LEONG, State Bar No. 141079 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2575 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 Attorneys for Complainant 7 BEFORE THE . 8 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 Case No. 06-2005-169412 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 11 STEWART W. LOVELACE, M.D. 12 ACCUSATION 1112 Ocean Drive Manhattan Beach, California 90266 13 Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. C30263, 14 Respondent. 15 16

Complainant alleges:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### PARTIES

- 1. Barbara Johnston (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (Board).
- 2. On or about July 19, 1968, the Board issued Physician and Surgeon's Certificate Number C30263 to Stewart W. Lovelace, M.D. (Respondent). This license has been in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2008, unless renewed.
- 3. In a disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of Accusation and Notification of Violation and Imposition of Civil Penalty Against Stewart W. Lovelace, M.D.," Case No. 20-2006-172480, the Medical Board of California issued a decision effective September 28, 2007, in which Respondent's Physician and Surgeon's Certificate was revoked,

amount of \$244,000 stayed pending the outcome of the case.

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

. 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

> 25 26

> > 27

28

JURISDICTION This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

then stayed, then placed on probation for a period of three years and ordered, among other

as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference. On October 26, 2007, a Petition for Writ of

Mandamus case No. 07CS01434 was filed in The Superior Court of the State of California for

the County of Sacramento (Court). On May 9, 2008, the Court ordered the civil penalty in the

conditions, to pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$244,000. A copy of that decision is attached

- Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty 5. under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.
  - Section 2234 of the Code states:

"The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act].
  - "(b) Gross negligence.
  - "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more

<sup>1.</sup> California Business and Professions Code section 2002, as amended and effective January 1, 2008, provides that, unless otherwise expressly provided, the term "board" as used in the State Medical Practice Act (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 2000, et seq.) means the "Medical Board of California," and references to the "Division of Medical Quality" and "Division of Licensing" in the Act or any other provision of law shall be deemed to refer to the Board.

negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.

- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
  - "(d) Incompetence.
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."
- 7. Section 2266 of the Code states: "The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence - Patient S.S.)

- 8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2234, subdivision (b), in that he engaged in unprofessional conduct/gross negligence in the care and treatment of patient "S.S.."<sup>2</sup> The circumstances are as follows:
  - A. On or about July 22, 2005, Patient S.S., a fifty-three-year-old female, was

<sup>2.</sup> The names of patients are kept confidential to protect their privacy rights and, though known to Respondent, will be revealed to him upon receipt of his written request for discovery.

2.8

seen initially with complaints of depression and anxiety. Patient S.S. told Respondent that another physician had prescribed the antidepressant Wellbutrin, which had worked relatively well for about three years. Respondent recommended that Patient S.S. take a new drug Strattera, while remaining on Wellbutrin. He advised her to initially take 10 mg per day, and then titrate up to the therapeutic dose of 40 mg twice a day. Respondent informed the patient that Strattera was approved for treatment of Adult Attention Deficit Disorder, but informal studies indicated that it also worked for treatment of depression. Respondent did not inform the patient that Strattera cost more than \$4.00 per pill and was generally not paid for by insurance companies, since it was not FDA approved for depression.

- B. During this initial visit, Respondent did not document a complete history and mental status examination. He did not describe the problem as understood by the patient. There was no documentation of an objective assessment of the patient's current issues, and a bio psycho social formulation of her problems. There was no documentation of the patient's psychiatric diagnosis in a Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) format. There was no documentation of the risks and benefits of his proposed treatment plan, or any alternate treatments for the patient.
- C. When Patient S.S. went to a Sav-On Pharmacy to get her prescription filled, she was informed that her HMO insurance company would not authorize payment of Strattera. Since the entire prescription of 240 pills would have cost the patient more than \$900, she partially filled her prescription (eight pills), and waited for Respondent to complete the appropriate forms to request preauthorization from her HMO insurance.
- D. Over the next three weeks, Patient S.S. called Respondent multiple times, requesting that he call her insurance company, obtain forms, and complete the authorization process. The patient continued to obtain partial refills of Strattera which she paid for with the intention of being reimbursed when the drug was approved for payment by her insurance company. Respondent did not document any follow-up efforts to achieve medication authorization through the patient's HMO insurance or seek an

- E. On or about August 12, 2005, Patient S.S. had a second appointment with Respondent. He asked the patient the same questions that he had asked her on her first visit. Respondent told Patient S.S. that he would contact her insurance company and complete the paperwork so her HMO insurance would pay for the drug.
- Respondent to determine if he had completed the paperwork for her insurance.

  Respondent did not pursue or document any follow-up efforts to achieve medication authorization through the patient's insurance or seek an alternate medication. The patient had progressed to taking about 50 mg of Strattera per day. She called Respondent to tell him that the higher dose of Strattera made her agitated, and to ask his advice on whether to continue to increase the dosage, given its adverse effect. There was no documentation that he responded to the patient's telephone calls.
  - as follows: Respondent failed to document a complete history and mental status examination. He failed to describe the problem as understood by the patient. He failed to document an objective assessment of the patient's current issues, and a biopsycho-social formulation of her problems. He failed to document the patient's psychiatric diagnosis in a DSM format. He failed to document the risks and benefits of his proposed treatment plan, or consider any alternate treatments for the patient. Respondent failed to document any efforts to achieve authorization of the patient's medication through her HMO insurance or authorization of any alternate medication. He failed to document and respond to the patient's telephone calls.

///

///

27 ///

3.

28 ///

# SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence - Patient K.S.)

- 9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2234, subdivision (b), in that he engaged in unprofessional conduct/gross negligence in the care and treatment of patient "K.S." The circumstances are as follows:
  - A. Patient K.S. was forty years old when he initially saw respondent in 1990. The patient had a history of severe psychiatric problems related to time he spent in Vietnam. Respondent did not document in the record a complete history and a thorough mental status examination. He did not describe the problem as understood by the patient. There was no documentation of an objective assessment of the patient's current issues and a bio psycho social formulation of his problems in a treatment plan. Respondent diagnosed the patient with Post Traumatic Syndrome Disorder (PTSD). There was no documentation of the patient's psychiatric diagnosis in a DSM format.
  - B. Patient K.S. saw respondent from 1990 until about February 2007.

    Respondent prescribed various antidepressant medications including Prozac, Librax and Wellbutrin, and bipolar medications including Geodon and Depakote. Patient K.S. experienced considerable, enduring, and extreme symptoms of PTSD, including severe depression and explosive episodes. He had a propensity for physical violence, and was a danger to himself and to others. Respondent treated this patient single-handedly over the years, and he did not use a multi-disciplinary approach.
  - C. On follow-up appointments, respondent did not document the patient's subjective symptoms, assess the patient's current level of functioning and mental status, and did not formulate and document a reasonable plan of action. There was no documentation of telephonic communications with the patient. On several occasions when Patient K.S. experienced severe symptoms, he attempted to contact respondent, who did not return his telephone calls.
  - D. In about February 2007, respondent advised Patient K.S. to taper off his Depakote medication, and said he would place him on a different medication. Patient

3 . 

16.

K.S. began to experience severe psychiatric symptoms. In March 2007, he was admitted to Redlands Community Hospital with severe chest pains. The patient and his wife left telephone messages for respondent which were not returned. When the patient no longer had any medication left, he attempted to contact respondent, who could not be reached. In June 2007, the patient sent a certified letter to respondent stating he was in desperate need of medication, treatment, and follow-up care. Respondent did not retrieve the certified mail letter.

E. Respondent was grossly negligent in the care and treatment of Patient K.S. as follows: Respondent failed to document a complete history and mental status examination. He failed to describe the problem as understood by the patient. He failed to document an objective assessment of the patient's current issues, and a bio-psycho-social formulation of his problems. He failed to document the patient's psychiatric diagnosis in a DSM format. He failed to document telephonic communications with the patient. He failed to treat a seriously ill patient with extreme symptoms in a multi-disciplinary setting.

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence - Patient R.D.)

- 10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2234, subdivision (b), in that he engaged in unprofessional conduct/gross negligence in the care and treatment of patient "R.D." The circumstances are as follows:
  - A. On or about April 19, 2000, Patient R.D., a thirty-two-year-old male, was seen initially with complaints of depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, and bipolar issues. Respondent did not document in the record a complete history, including the patient's family history of mood disorders, and a thorough mental status examination. There was no documentation of an objective assessment and consideration of bio-psycho-social factors. There is no documentation of a treatment plan. There was no documentation of the patient's psychiatric diagnosis in a DSM format. Respondent prescribed the antidepressant Zoloft for the patient.

- B. Over the following months, respondent continued to treat Patient R.D. with Zoloft. The patient experienced violent dreams, rapid thoughts, surges of energy, and aggressive behavior. Respondent adjusted the dosage of Zoloft several times, and also prescribed the anti-anxiety medication BuSpar.
- C. From about May 2002 through December 2002, the patient experienced erratic highs and lows, depression, numbress and constant buzzing in his head. His concentration and focus deteriorated, and his sensitivity to noise became more problematic. In May 2002, and for the next several months, Respondent prescribed the drug Sonata, which is used for the short-term treatment of insomnia.
- D. The patient's condition continued to deteriorate over the months, his symptoms became more severe, and in September 2003, he reached a crisis point. He experienced symptoms of despondency, followed by erratic thought processes and bursts of energy, and he would then collapse. The patient could not focus or concentrate, and he told Respondent that he was approaching the point of incapacitation. Respondent did not properly address the patient's symptoms. He did not recognize the patient was experiencing bipolar switching induced by Zoloft. Respondent continued to prescribe sleeping pills, alternate SSRIs (selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors) such as Zoloft and Lexapro, and benzodiazepines to the patient who was exhibiting manic symptoms. Respondent prescribed 10 mg of Lexapro for depression and anxiety, continued the 10 mg of Sonata, and added .5 mg of the anti-anxiety medication Clonazepam.
  - E. The patient's family intervened and brought the patient to a medical center for treatment. His condition was diagnosed as Bipolar II brought on by mismanaged administration of Zoloft and Lexapro. The patient's medication was changed and his symptoms resolved.
  - F. Respondent was grossly negligent in the care and treatment of Patient R.D. as follows: Respondent failed to document a complete history, including the patient's family history of mood disorders, and a complete mental status examination.

    There was no documentation of an objective assessment and consideration of bio-psycho-

social factors. There is no documentation of a treatment plan. There was no documentation of the patient's psychiatric diagnosis in a DSM format. Respondent failed to document telephone communications with the patient. He failed to recognize and properly treat the patient's developing manic symptoms, including bipolar switching, which resulted from his prescribing Zoloft. Respondent failed to properly diagnose the patient's psychiatric issues, and continued to prescribe sleeping pills, alternate SSRIs, and 6 benzodiazepines to a patient who exhibited manic symptoms. 7 FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 8. (Repeated Negligent Acts - Patients S.S, K.S. and R.D.) 9 By reasons of the matters set forth in the First, Second and Third Causes 11. 10 for Discipline, Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2234, subdivision 11 (c), in that he committed repeated negligent acts in the discharge of his medical obligations. 12 FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 13 (Unprofessional Conduct/Incompetence) 14 By reasons of the matters set forth in the First, Second, Third, and Fourth 15 Causes for Discipline, Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2234, 16 subdivision (d), in that he demonstrated incompetence in the discharge of his medical obligations 17 to patients S.S., K.S., and R.D.. 18 SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 19 (Failure to Maintain Adequate/Accurate Medical Records) 20 13. By reasons of the matters set forth in First, Second and Third Causes for 21 Discipline, Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2266 in that he failed  $2\dot{2}$ to maintain adequate and accurate medical records for patients S.S., K.S., and R.D.. 23 24 ///. 25 111 26

1

2

3

4

5

111

111

27

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician and Surgeon's Certificate Number C30263 issued to Stewart W. Lovelace, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of his authority to supervise physicians' assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;
- 3. Ordering him to pay the Board the reasonable costs of probation monitoring, if he is placed on probation; and,
  - 4. Taking such other and further action as deerned necessary and proper.

DATED: June 25, 2008

LA2008501454 50275455,wpd

BARBARA JOHNSTON Executive Director

Medical Board of California

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant