BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:)	•	
STANLEY GOODMAN, M.D. Certificate No. C-39950))	NO.	D-3170
Respondent.) 		

DECISION

The attached Stipulation is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective on October 25, 1985

IT IS SO ORDERED September 25, 1985

DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE

BY:

MILLER MEDEARIS

Secretary-Treasurer Division of Medical Quality

```
JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General
 1
      of the State of California
    DANIEL J. WESTON
 2
      Deputy Attorney General
    1515 K Street, Suite 511
 3
    Sacramento, CA 95814
    Telephone:
                (916) 324-5375
 4
    Attorneys for Complainant
 5
 6
 7
                               BEFORE THE
 8
                      DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
                    BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE
 9
                    DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
                          STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10
    In the Matter of the Accusation
                                               No. D-3170
11
    Against:
12
        STANLEY L. GOODMAN, M.D.
        3930 E. Camelback Road
                                                 STIPULATION AND ORDER
13
        Suite 200
        Phoenix, Arizona
                            85018
14
        Certificate Number C-039950
15
                        Respondent.
16
17
             Respondent, Stanley L. Goodman, M.D., and his attorney
18
    James L. Mattesich, and the Board of Medical Quality Assurance,
19
    Division of Medical Quality, through its counsel, Deputy Attorney
20
    General Daniel J. Weston, do hereby enter into the following
21
    stipulation:
22
             1.
                  Respondent, Stanley L. Goodman, M.D., hereby
23
    acknowledges receipt of Accusation No. D-3170, Statement to
24
    Respondent, and copies of the Notice of Defense form.
25
             2.
                  Respondent and his counsel have in the past fully
26
    discussed the charges and allegations contained in said
27
```

Accusation No. D-3170, on file with the Division of Medical 1 Quality, Board of Medical Quality Assurance, and respondent has 2 been fully advised with regard to his rights in this matter. 3 Respondent is fully aware of the right to a hearing 4 on the charges and allegations contained in said Accusation, his 5 right to reconsideration, appeal an any and all other rights 6 which may be accorded pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act and the laws of the State of California. 8 Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily waives his 9 right to a hearing, reconsideration, appeal and any and all other 10 rights which may be accorded by the California Administrative 11 Procedure Act and the laws of the State of California with regard 12 to said Accusation. 13 5. Respondent admits each and every allegation 14 contained in said Accusation, including the allegations contained 15 in paragraph IV and V thereof. Paragraphs IV and V of said 16 Accusation provide as follows: 17 "IV 18 "Respondent is subject to disciplinary action 19 pursuant to section 2305 as follows: 20 "On or about June 2, 1983, the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of Arizona issued its Order 21 (1) imposing discipline upon the respondent and (2) making Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as 22 therein more specifically described, incorporated, and Said Order was entitled 'Consent Order for referenced. 23 Cancellation of License.' 24 " \7

"The Findings of Fact, as referenced in Paragraph IV hereinabove, were as follows:

27 //

25

26

"'FINDINGS OF FACT

1	
2	"'(a) That over the period of 1979-1982, STANLEY L. GOODMAN, M.D., failed to maintain adequate records on
3	his psychiatric patients and outpatients.
4	"'(b) That during the month of October, 1982, STANLEY L. GOODMAN, M.D., knowingly and fraudulently
5	submitted to the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services ('CHAMPUS'), in violation of
6	CHAMPUS regulations, billings for services rendered on the same dates to individuals and to the families of
7	those individuals, representing that such family and individual services had been rendered on different
8	dates.
9	"'(c) That during October, 1982, STANLEY L. GOODMAN, M.D., knowingly and fraudulently submitted to CHAMPUS in violation of CHAMPUS regulations, billings
10	which included charges for telephone consultations
11	impliedly represented as charges for office appointments.
12	"'(d) That during October, 1982, STANLEY L.
13	GOODMAN, M.D., knowingly submitted billings to CHAMPUS for professional services he had not in fact rendered.
14	"'(e) That STANLEY L. GOODMAN, M.D., has in the
15	<pre>past and continues to practice psychiatry at such a pace and with such poor organization and such inadequate record-keeping habits that his overall conduct in his</pre>
16	practice is or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of his patients.
17	"'(f) That STANLEY L. GOODMAN, M.D., has in the
18	past and continues to lack the ability to apply his theoretical knowledge to yield plans for specific
19 20	courses of treatment necessary for effective psychiatric patient care.
	"'(g) That STANLEY L. GOODMAN, M.D., is mentally
22	unable safely to engage in the practice of medicine.'"
23	6. Based on the foregoing stipulation, the Division of
24	Medical Quality, Board of Medical Quality Assurance, may issue
25	the following order:
26	The license to practice medicine and surgery in the
27	State of California heretofore issued to respondent is revoked;

- provided, however, execution of this order of revocation shall be
- $_{2}$ stayed and respondent shall be placed on probation for a period
- $_{3}$ of five years from and after the effective date of this decision
- upon the terms and conditions listed herein:
- 5 (1) Administrative Psychiatric Evaluation Within 30
- days of the effective date of this decision, and on a periodic
- $_{7}$ basis thereafter as may be required by the Division or its
- 8 designee, respondent shall undergo a psychiatric evaluation by a
- $_{
 m Q}$ Division-appointed psychiatrist who shall furnish a psychiatric
- 10 report to the Division or its designee. This evaluation shall be
- 11 made in Los Angeles or vicinity.
- Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine
- $_{13}$ until notified by the Division of its determination that
- respondent is mentally fit to practice safely.
- (2) Oral Clinical Exam Within 60 days of the
- effective date of decision respondent will take and pass an
- examination in psychiatry to be administered by the Division or
- its designee. Respondent shall not practice medicine until
- respondent has passed this examination and has been so notified
- 20 by the Division in writing. This examination shall be given in
- 21 Los Angeles or vicinity.
- 22 (3) Community Service Within 60 days of the
- effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the
- 24 Division for its prior approval a community service program in
- 25 which respondent shall provide free medical services on a regular
- 26 basis to a community or charitable facility for 16 hours per
- $_{\mbox{\scriptsize 20}}$ month for the first two years of probation.

- 1 (4) Obey All Laws Respondent shall obey all federal,
 2 state and local laws, and all rules governing the practice of
 3 medicine in California.
- 4 (5) Quarterly Reports Respondent shall submit
 5 quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided
 6 by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all
 7 the conditions of probation.
- 8 (6) <u>Surveillance Program</u> Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program.
- (7) Interview With Medical Consultant Respondent

 shall appear in person for interviews with the Division's medical

 consultant upon request at various intervals and with reasonable

 notice.
- 14 (8) Tolling for Out-of-State Practice or Residence In
 15 the event respondent should leave California to reside or to
 16 practice outside the State, respondent must notify the Division
 17 in writing of the dates of departure and return. Periods of
 18 residency or practice outside California will not apply to the
 19 reduction of this probationary period.
- 20 (9) <u>Completion of Probation</u> Upon successful
 21 completion of probation, respondent's certificate will be fully
 22 restored.
- 23 (10) <u>Violation of Probation</u> If respondent violates
 24 probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent
 25 notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and
 26 carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an
 27 accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against

1	respondent during probation, the	Division shall have continuing
2	jurisdiction until the matter is	final, and the period of
3	probation shall be extended until	the matter is final.
4	7. On May 28, 1985, re	espondent's attorney James
5	Mattesich provided to Daniel West	on by way of a cover letter
6	together with 11 letters of recom	mmendation material concerning
7	respondent's recent condition and	activities. This material will
8	be forwarded to the Division and	will be considered by the
9	Division as material presented by	way of mitigation and shall
10	give weight to the same as they d	leem appropriate in their
11	discretion.	
12	8. It is agreed that t	the terms set forth herein shall
13	be null and void and not binding	upon the parties hereto unless
14	approved by the Division of Medic	al Quality of the Board of
15	Medical Quality Assurance of the	State of California.
16	DATED: 1985	JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP Attorney General
17		I / MI
18		By L Jany Maleta
19		DANIEL J. WESTON Deputy Attorney General
20		Attorneys for Complainant
21		
22	DATED: 7-19-25	Jones Mattest In
23		JAMES M. MATTESICH Attorney for Respondent
24		
25	DATED: 7-/4-2	Staviles I Isothian mo
26		STANLEY L. GOODMAN, M.D. Respondent

27

JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General 1 of the State of California 2 DANIEL J. WESTON Deputy Attorney General 3 1515 K Street, Suite 511 Sacramento, California 95814 4 Telephone: (916) 324-5375 5 Attorneys for Complainant. 6 7 BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY 8 BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation D - 3170No. Against: 12 GOODMAN, STANLEY L., M.D., 13 3930.E. Camelback Road ACCUSATION Suite 200 14 Phoenix, Arizona 85018 15 Certificate Number C-039950 16 Respondent. 17 Kenneth Wagstaff, the complainant herein, alleges as 18 follows: 19 Ι 20 He is the Executive Officer of the Board of Medical 21 Quality Assurance of the State of California and makes and files 22 this accusation in his official capacity as such and not otherwise. 23 II 24 Respondent, Stanley L. Goodman, M.D., on or about 25 July 30, 1981, was issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate 26 27 No. C-039950 by the Board to practice medicine and surgery in the

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD 113 (REV. 8-72)

03P

State of California.

Respondent's official address of record is as is set forth in the caption.

III

Section 2305 of the Business and Professions Code provides that the suspension or revocation or other discipline by another state of a license or certificate to practice medicine issued by that state to a person also holding a certificate under chapter 5 shall constitute grounds for disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct against such licensee in this state.

IV

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 2305 as follows:

On or about June 2, 1983, the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of Arizona issued its Order (1) imposing discipline upon the respondent and (2) making Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as therein more specifically described, incorporated, and referenced. Said Order was entitled "Consent Order for Cancellation of License."

V

The Findings of Fact, as referenced in Paragraph IV hereinabove, were as follows:

"FINDINGS OF FACT

- "(a) That over the period of 1979-1982, STANLEY L. GOODMAN, M.D., failed to maintain adequate records on his psychiatric patients and outpatients.
 - "(b) That during the month of October, 1982, STANLEY

L. GOODMAN, M.D., knowingly and fraudulently submitted to the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services ("CHAMPUS"), in violation of CHAMPUS regulations, billings for services rendered on the same dates to individuals and to the families of those individuals, representing that such family and individual services had been rendered on different dates.

"(c) That during October, 1982, STANLEY L. GOODMAN, M.D., knowingly and fraudulently submitted to CHAMPUS in violation of CHAMPUS regulations, billings which included charges for telephone consultations impliedly represented as charges for office appointments.

"(d) That during October, 1982, STANLEY L. GOODMAN, M.D., knowingly submitted billings to CHAMPUS for professional services he had not in fact rendered.

"(e) That STANLEY L. GOODMAN, M.D., has in the past and continues to practice psychiatry at such a pace and with such poor organization and such inadequate record-keeping habits that his overall conduct in his practice is or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of his patients.

"(f) That STANLEY L. GOODMAN, M.D., has in the past and continues to lack the ability to apply his theoretical knowledge to yield plans for specific courses of treatment necessary for effective psychiatric patient care.

"(g) That STANLEY L. GOODMAN, M.D., is mentally unable safely to engage in the practice of medicine."

//

Said Consent Order as referenced in paragraph IV hereinabove was consented to by respondent by a signed "Attestation of onsent" which provided as follows:

"STANLEY L. GOODMAN, M.D., holder of License No. 10666 for the practice of medicine in the State of Arizona, attests that he has reviewed and considered the foregoing Consent Order; that his attorney, Stephen E. Bass, has explained to him the provisions of the foregoing Consent Order; that he has reflected upon its significance and the fact that a formal hearing can be held if he wishes, and that he chooses to avoid a formal hearing; that he believes he is impaired in his ability to safely practice medicine and effectively care for his psychiatric patients; that he fully understands the Consent Order and the significance thereof and that he knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily consents to all the terms and provisions thereof."

WHEREFORE, complainant prays that the Division of Medical Quality hold a hearing on the matters alleged herein and following a hearing issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending the certificate of respondent; and
- 2. Taking such other and further action as is deemed necessary and proper.

DATED:	This	27th	day	of	March ,	1984

KENNETH WAGSTAFF

Executive Officer

Board of Medical Quality Assurance