<u>1</u>	
1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General
2	of the State of California MARGARET A. LAFKO,
3	Deputy Attorney General 110 West A Street, Suite 700
4	San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 237-7050
5	Attorneys for Complainant
6	
7	
8	BEFORE THE
9	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) Case No. D-4421 Against:
12	JAMES HARRISON WHITE, M.D.) <u>DEFAULT DECISION</u>
13	1401 Avocado Avenue, Ste. 305) Newport Beach, CA 92660
14	Physician's and Surgeon's
15	Certificate No. C30449,
16	Respondent.
17	/
18	FINDINGS OF FACT
19	1. On or about December 12, 1990, Complainant Kenneth J.
20	Wagstaff, in his official capacity as Executive Director of the
21	Medical Board of California [formerly the Board of Medical
22	Quality Assurance], Department of Consumer Affairs, State of
23	California (hereinafter the "Board"), filed Accusation No. D-
24	4421 against James Harrison White, M.D. (hereinafter
25	"respondent").
26	11
27	//

, . .

On or about December 13, 1990, Sher A. McBrearty, an 2. 1 employee of the Office of the Attorney General of the State of 2 California, sent, by certified mail, true copies of Accusation 3 No. D-4421, Statement to Respondent, Government Code Sections 4 11507.5, 11507.6 and 11507.7, a Request for Discovery, and the 5 Notice of Defense Form, in triplicate, (hereinafter the "service 6 package") to respondent at his address of record, 1401 Avocado 7 Avenue, Suite 305, Newport Beach, California, 92660. On that 8 same date, Ms. McBrearty also sent a second service package, by 9 certified mail, to respondent at the Inmate Reception Center, 10 Orange County Jail, 550 N. Flower, Santa Ana, California, 92703. 11 On or about December 19th and 21st, 1990, the Office of the 12 Attorney General received the green return receipts for both 13 service packages evidencing delivery of said package at both 14 The above-described service was effective as a matter 15 addresses. of law pursuant to the provisions of California Government Code 16

17 Section 11505, subdivision (c).

In addition to the above-described service, on January 9,
19 1991, respondent White was also personally served by Deputy
Attorney General Margaret A. Lafko, in Department 8 of the Orange
County Superior Court, with a copy of the service package.

3. On or about August 22, 1968, Physician's and Surgeon's
Certificate No. C30449 was issued by the Board to respondent. At
all times relevant herein said Certificate was, and currently is,
in full force and effect and will expire on February 28, 1991,
unless renewed. Respondent is not a supervisor of a Physician
Assistant.

4. California Government Code Section 11506 provides, in
 pertinent part, that "[t]he respondent shall be entitled to a
 hearing on the merits if he files a notice of defense, and any
 such notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the
 accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file such notice
 shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but
 the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing."

8 5. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15
9 days after service upon him of Accusation No. D-4421, as
10 described in paragraph 2, above, and has therefore waived his
11 right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. D-4421.

6. California Government Code Section 11520 provides, in pertinent part, that "[i]f the respondent fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent; . . ."

7. California Business and Professions Code section 2220
provides, in pertinent part, that the Division of Medical Quality
may take action against all persons guilty of violating the
provisions of Chapter 5 of Division 2 of that Code.

8. California Business and Professions Code section 2227
provides that a licensee whose matter has been heard by the
Division of Medical Quality, by a medical quality review
committee or a panel of such committee, or by an administrative
law judge, or whose default has been entered, and who is found
guilty may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:

(a) have his or her certificate revoked upon order of the 1 division; (b) have his or her right to practice suspended for a 2 period not to exceed one year upon order of the division or a 3 committee or panel thereof; (c) be placed on probation upon order 4 of the division or a committee or panel thereof; (d) be publicly 5 reprimanded by the division or a committee or panel thereof; (e) 6 have such other action taken in relation to discipline as the 7 division, a committee or panel thereof, or an administrative law 8 9 judge may deem proper. 9. California Business and Professions Code section 2234 10 11 provides that: "The Division of Medical Quality shall take action 12 against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to provisions of this article, 13 unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, 14 the following: 15 ". . . 16 "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related 17 to the gualifications, functions, or duties of a physician or surgeon. 18 "..." 19 20 10. California Business and Professions Code section 2236 21 provides, in pertinent part, that: "(a) The conviction of any offense substantially 22 related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of 23 a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct . . . The record of conviction shall be 24 conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. 25 ". . ." 26 11 11 27

1 11. Respondent has subjected his license to disciplinary
 action under California Business and Professions Code sections
 2220, 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2236(a) of the Code,
 in that he has been convicted of crimes substantially related to
 the qualifications, functions or duties of a physician and
 surgeon as more particularly described hereinafter:

On or about May 30, 1990, respondent pled nolo 7 (a) contendere to two counts of violating section 288a(f) (oral 8 copulation on an unconscious victim) in Case No. C-79292 in 9 the Orange County Superior Court. Prior to respondent's 10 sentencing date of August 29, 1990, respondent fled the 11 12 jurisdiction of the court. On or about October 17, 1990, respondent was taken into custody in Laredo, Texas and 13 14 subsequently returned to Orange County. On or about October 15 25, 1990, respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of 16 one count in violation of section 1320.5 of the Penal Code 17 (failure to appear in court while on bail). On or about 18 October 25, 1990, respondent was ordered by Judge Myron S. Brown to the California Institution for Men at Chino for a 19 20 90-day diagnostic evaluation pursuant to section 1203.03 of 21 the Penal Code.

(b) The facts and circumstances surrounding respondent's conviction are as follows: The male victim in this criminal case, who was at least 28 years old at the time of the offense and a patient of the respondent, had been encouraged to move into respondent's home because of the patient's financial difficulties. The victim was both a

psychiatric and medical patient of the respondent. During the course of the victim's relationship with respondent, he was administered numerous drugs and controlled substances, many of these in injectable form which rendered the patient unconscious on at least 100 occasions. The victim became an addict. During the commission of the criminal acts of oral copulation, the victim was completely unconscious apparently as a result of drugs administered by the respondent. These sexual acts were videotaped by the respondent without the victim's knowledge or consent.

On or about January 9, 1991, in Case No. C-79292 11 (C) entitled People v. White, James Harrison, respondent was 12 13 sentenced, in the Orange County Superior Court, to six (6) years in state prison on Count 1, violation of section 14 15 288a(f) of the California Penal Code (oral copulation on an 16 Imposition of sentence on Count 2, a unconscious victim). 17 second violation of section 288a(f), was stayed by the 18 Court.

On or about January 9, 1991, in Case No. C-81997 19 (d) 20 entitled People v. White, James Harrison, respondent was 21 sentenced, in the Orange County Superior Court, to 2/3 years 22 on Count 1, violation of section 1320.5 of the California 23 Penal Code (failure to appear in court while on bail). 24 11 25 11 26 11

6.

27 || //

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

	,
-	
1	12. Respondent has further subjected his license to
2	disciplinary action under California Business and Professions
3	Code sections 2220, 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234(e)
4	of the Code, in that he has committed acts involving dishonesty
5	or corruption which are substantially related to the
6	qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician or surgeon as
7	more particularly described hereinafter: Paragraph 11(b), above,
8	is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
9	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES
10	1. By reason of the Findings of Fact numbers 1 through 12,
11	above, respondent James Harrison White, M.D., has subjected his
12	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C30449 to disciplinary
13	action, separately and severally, under California Business and
14	Professions Code Sections 2220, 2227, 2234, 2234(e) and 2236(a).
15	11
16	11
17	11
18	11
19	11
	11
	11
22	11
23	11
24	11
	11
	11
27	11
	7.
I	/•

1	ORDER
2	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C30449, heretofore
3	issued by the Board to respondent James Harrison White, M.D., is
4	hereby revoked.
5	The effective date of this order is <u>May 6</u>
6	1991.
7	Pursuant to California Government Code Section 11520,
8	subdivision (b), respondent is entitled to make any showing by
9	way of mitigation prior to and including the effective date of
10	this decision.
11	This order is made this <u>5th</u> day of <u>April</u>
12	1991. N. Cit
13	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
14	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
15	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26 27	
21	
	8.

1 JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General of the State of California 2 MARGARET A. LAFKO, Deputy Attorney General 3 Department of Justice 110 West A Street, Suite 700 4 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 237-7050 5 Attorneys for Complainant 6 7 BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY 8 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 NO. D-4421 12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 13 JAMES HARRISON WHITE, M.D. ACCUSATION 14 1401 Avocado Avenue, Suite 305 Newport Beach, California 92660 15 Physician's and Surgeon's 16 Certificate No. C 30449 17 Respondent. 18 19 Kenneth J. Wagstaff alleges that: 20 1. He is the Executive Director of the Medical Board 21 of California and makes this accusation in his official 22 capacity. 23 2. On August 22, 1968, respondent, James H. White, 24 M.D., was issued Certificate No. C 30449 by the Board. 25 3. On April 13, 1990, the Orange County Superior 26 Court issued a Temporary Restraining Order ("TRO") against 27 respondent's right to practice medicine or to prescribe,

dispense, or administer controlled substances or dangerous drugs. This TRO was continued as a final order of the court on June 18, 1990, pursuant to a stipulation of the parties.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

4. On May 1, 1990, the Divísion of Medical Quality issued an Order requiring respondent to undergo a psychiatric evaluation to determine whether or not he was mentally competent to practice medicine. Respondent has not yet undergone such an evaluation.

5. This accusation is made in reference to the following statutes in the <u>Business and Professions Code</u>:
A. <u>Sections 2220 and 2234</u> provide that the Division of Medical Quality may take action against all persons guilty of unprofessional conduct.

B. <u>Section 2227</u> provides, among other things, that a licensee whose matter has been heard and who is found guilty may have his license revoked, suspended, or be placed on probation.

C. <u>Section 2234(e)</u> defines unprofessional conduct to include the commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician or surgeon.

D. <u>Section 2236(a)</u> provides that the conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of ///

conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

26

27

Respondent's certificate is subject to discipline 6. on account of the following:

On or about May 30, 1990, respondent pled nolo contendere to two counts in violation of section 288a(f) (oral copulation on an unconscious victim) in Case No. C-79292 in the Orange County Superior Court. Prior to respondent's sentencing date of August 29, 1990, respondent fled the jurisdiction of the court. On or Cabout October 17, 1990, respondent was taken into custody in Laredo, Texas and subsequently returned to Orange County. On or about October 25, 1990, respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of one count in violation of section 1320.5 of the Penal Code (failure to appear in court while on bail). On or about October 25, 1990, respondent was ordered by Judge Myron S. Brown to the California Institution for Men at Chino for a 90-day diagnostic evaluation pursuant to section 1203.03 of the Penal Code. Sentencing is scheduled for January 23, 1991.

The facts and circumstances surrounding this 21 7. 22 conviction are as follows:

The male victim in this criminal case who was at 23 least 28 years old at the time of the offense and a patient 24 of the respondent, had been encouraged to move into 25 respondent's home because of the patient's financial difficulties. The victim was both a psychiatric and medical

patient of the respondent. During the course of the victim's relationship with respondent, he was administered numerous drugs and controlled substances, many of these in injectable form which rendered the patient unconscious on at least 100 occasions. The victim became an addict.

During the commission of the criminal acts of oral copulation, the victim was completely unconscious apparently as a result of drugs administered by the respondent. These sexual acts were videotaped by the respondent without the victim's knowledge or consent.

The foregoing constitutes grounds for disciplinary action under sections 2220 and 2234, in conjunction with sections 2227, 2234(e), and 2236(a) of the Business and Professions Code.

WHEREFORE, complainant prays a hearing be held on the matters alleged and, after hearing and according to proof, that the Division issue an order revoking or suspending respondent's license or taking such other action as seems just.

DATED: 12/12/90

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Executive Director Medical Board of California

Complainant