

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

Middlesex, SS.

Board of Registration in Medicine

Adjudicatory Case No. 2011-026

In the Matter of

ANDREW L. STOLL, M.D.

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

The Board of Registration in Medicine (Board) has determined that good cause exists to believe the following acts occurred and constitute a violation for which a licensee may be sanctioned by the Board. The Board therefore alleges that Andrew L. Stoll, M.D. (Respondent) has practiced medicine in violation of law, regulations, or good and accepted medical practice, as set forth herein. The investigative docket numbers associated with this order to show cause are Docket Nos. 10-240, 10-415, 11-092 and 11-109.

Biographical Information

1. The Respondent was born on May 14, 1959. He graduated from the University of Medicine & Dentistry in New Jersey in 1987. He is certified by the American Board of Medical Specialties in Psychiatry. He has been licensed to practice medicine in Massachusetts under certificate number 60376 since September 28, 1988. He was affiliated with Whittier Pavilion in Haverhill, Massachusetts and maintained a private practice in Cambridge, Massachusetts until December 2010.

52
13 08/19/11

Factual Allegations

2. The Respondent's license to practice medicine in Massachusetts lapsed on May 14, 2011.

3. The Respondent entered into a Voluntary Agreement Not to Practice Medicine (VANP), which was accepted by the Board's Chair on December 20, 2010. The VANP was ratified by the full Board on January 5, 2011.

Docket No. 10-240

4. Patient A, a 42-year-old female, became the Respondent's patient in the fall of 2000. The Respondent managed Patient A's prescription medication.

5. Within the first few months of treatment, Patient A told the Respondent that she had fallen in love with him.

6. Patient A asked the Respondent if she should transfer her care to a different physician; the Respondent replied that Patient A was "safe with him."

7. The Respondent gave Patient A his private telephone number, and during 2001 he and Patient A discussed personal matters outside of the treatment setting. The personal discussions contained sexual innuendo.

8. During a treatment session in December 2001, Patient A disclosed to the Respondent that she wanted to have an intimate relationship with the Respondent; the patient-physician relationship was terminated at that session.

9. In January 2002, during a telephone call, Patient A asked the Respondent to refer her to a different physician; the Respondent did not offer any names or contact information of other providers to Patient A.

