PETER C. HARVEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY
Division of Law 5th Floor
124 Halsey Street
P.O. Box 45029
Newark, New Jersey 07101
Attorney for the State Board
of Medical Examiners

FILED

MAY 13 2004 NEWJERSEY STATE BOARD

OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By: B. Michelle Albertson
Deputy Attorney General
Tel. (973) 648-4447

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
DEPARTMENT OF LAW & PUBLIC SAFETY
DIVISION OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

IN THE MATTER OF THE SUSPENSION OR REVOCATION OF THE LICENSE OF

GRAYDON GOSS, M.D. License No. MA 66903

TO PRACTICE MEDICINE AND SURGERY IN THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY

Administrative Action

CONSENT ORDER

This matter was opened to the New Jersey State Board of Medical Examiners upon receipt of information from the California Medical Board; and the New Jersey Medical Board having filed a Provisional Order of Discipline dated February 5, 2002; and the parties having amicably resolved this matter based on the following findings of fact:

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

- 1. Respondent, Graydon Goss, M.D., License No. MA 66903, is a physician licensed in the State of New Jersey since 1998 and has been a licensee at all times relevant hereto. Respondent's license is currently active.
- 2. On or about January 20, 2001 the Respondent entered into a Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order ("CaliforniaOrder") with the California Medical Board ("California Board") concerning quality of psychiatric patient related care and medical records violations. By Decision dated February 28, 2001, the California Order was accepted by the Division of Medical Quality. A copy of the California Decision and Order is attached as Exhibit A.
- 3. Pursuant to the California Order, Respondent's Physician and Surgeon's Certificate was revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent placed on probation for 10 years on certain terms and conditions, including, but not limited to:

 (1) successfully completing an ethics course; (2) successfully completing a program in medical record keeping; (3) provide restitution to Division or it designee in the amount of \$15,000.00;

 (4) upon returning to California to practice medicine, take and pass an oral clinical exam or written exam in a subject designated and administered by the Division or its designee; (5) upon returning to California to practice medicine and on a periodic basis thereafter as required, submit to a psychiatric evaluation

(and psychological testing, if deemed necessary), with Respondent to pay for all costs; (6) upon returning to California to practice medicine, submit a plan of practice in which Respondent's practice shall be monitored by another physician in Respondent's field of practice, who shall provide periodic reports; (7) obey all laws; (8) provide quarterly reports on compliance with the California Order; (9) comply with the Probation Surveillance Program; (10) appear for interviews as requested by the Division; (11) periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California or non-practice within California will not apply to the reduction of the probationary order.; (12) successfully complete probation for full restoration; (13) reimburse the Division in the amount of \$5,000.00 for costs; and (14) pay probation costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation in the amount of \$2,488.00, which may be annually adjusted.

4. Thereafter, on June 4, 2001, the Idaho State Board of Medicine entered an Order for Reciprocal Discipline against Respondent wherein it adopted and incorporated by reference the California Order. A copy of the Order for Reciprocal Discipline is attached as Exhibit B.

As a result of the foregoing, it is agreed that the Board has grounds to . take action against Respondent pursuant to

N. J.S.A. 45:1-21(g) since his California and Idaho licenses have been revoked.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ON THIS /21h day of May 2004 ORDERED AND AGREED as follows:

- 1. Respondent's license to practice medicine and surgery in the State of New Jersey shall be, and hereby is, suspended for ten (10) years from February 28, 2001, which is the date of the California Order, said suspension to be stayed in its entirety and served as probation for ten (10) years.
- 2. Within thirty (30) days of the date of this Consent Order, Respondent shall provide proof that he has successfully completed the required ethics course as required by the California Order;
- 3. Within thirty (30) days of the date of this Consent Order, Respondent shall provide proof to the Board that he has successfully completed the medical record keeping training as required by the California Order;
- 4. Within thirty (30) days of the date of this Consent Order, Respondent shall provide proof to the Board that he has made restitution to the California Board or its designee, on behalf of patient F.B., in the amount of \$15,000.00;
- 5. Within ninety (90) days of the date of this Consent Order, Respondent shall undergo a psychiatric evaluation and

psychological testing by a Board-appointed psychiatrist, who shall furnish an evaluation report to the Board. Respondent shall have ten (10) days from the date of this Consent Order to submit to the Board the names and qualifications of three (3) New Jersey licensed psychiatrists of Respondent's choice for review and approval by the Board. Respondent agrees to abide by all the recommendations of any evaluation of the Board-appointed psychiatrist. Further, Respondent shall pay all costs of the psychiatric evaluation and recommendations;

- 6. Within ten (10) days of the date of this Consent Order, Respondent shall submit to the Board the names and qualifications of three (3) New Jersey licensed psychiatrists of Respondent's choice for review and approval by the Board. The Board-approved psychiatrist shall monitor Respondent's practice, at his own expense, and shall provide quarterly reports to the Board during the entire term of probation at Respondent's expense. If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall within fifteen (15) days thereof, move to have a new monitor appointed by the Board, through nomination by Respondent and approval by the Board;
- 7. Respondent shall comply with the requirements of this Consent Order during the period of probation. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of his business and residence

addresses which shall both serve as addresses of records. Changes to such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record:

- 8. In the event Respondent should leave New Jersey to reside or to practice outside the State or for any reason should Respondent stop practicing medicine in New Jersey, then Respondent shall notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of the dates of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within New Jersey. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding 30 days in which Respondent is not engaging in the practice of medicine. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside New Jersey or of non-practice within New Jersey, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period;
- 9. In the event, at the sole discretion of the Board, Respondent violates any of the provisions of the Consent Order in any respect, then the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may terminate the probation and activate the disciplinary order that was stayed thereby actively suspending Respondent's license;

Respondent ceases to practice due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of this Consent Order, then Respondent may voluntarily tender his license to the Board. The Board reserves the right to evaluate the Respondent's .request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the tendered license, Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation;

11. Prior to termination of the probation period, Respondent shall be required to appear before the Board (or a committee thereof) to demonstrate fitness to practice without restrictions.

NEW JERSEY STATE BOARD OF

MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By: /

DAVID M. WALLACE, M.D.

Board President

I hereby consent to the terms and entry of this consent Order.

CAMUAL OSOS, M.D.

JOSEPA GORRELL, ESQUIRE

Attorney for Graydon Goss, M.D.

BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	on))	
GRAYDON GOSS, M.D. Certificate No. G-38487)))	No: 05-1999-94 168
) (<u>Respondent</u>	

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall became effective at 5:00 p.m. on March 30, 2001

IT IS SO ORDERED February 28, 2001.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

I do hereby certify that this document is true and correct copy of the original on file in this

office.

/0/04/0/ DATE

asst Custodian of Lucads

By:

IRA LUBELL, M.D.

President

Division of Medical Quality

EXHIBIT A

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General		
2	of the State of California RICHARD D.MARINO, State Bar No. 90471		
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice		
4	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013		
5	Telephone: (213) 897-8644 Facsimile: (213) 897-1071	•	
6	Attorneys for Complainant		
7			
8	BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9			
10	STATE OF CAL		
11	In the Metter of the Assuration Assisses	Case No. 05-1999-94168	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	OAH No. L-2000-040186	
13	GRAYDON GOSS, M.D. 3903 Buckingham Circle Middletown, NJ 07748	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
14	Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. G38487	DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
15	Respondent.		
16	- Nespondent.		
17			
18	In the interest of a prompt and speedy	y settlement of this matter, can blent with	
19	the public interest and the responsibility of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Beard of		
20	California of the Department of Consumer Affairs, ("Division"), the parties hereby agree to the		
21	following Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order which will be submitted to the Division		
22	for its approval and adoption as the final disposition of the Accusation		
23	<u>PARTIES</u>		
24	1. Complainant Ron Joseph is the Executive Director of the Medical Board		
25	of California. He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this		
26	matter by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, by Richard D. Marino,		
27	Deputy Attorney General.		
2	2. Respondent Graydon Goss, N	A.D. ("Respondent") is represented in this	

proceeding by attorney Jerrie S. Weiss, Esq., whose address is 22529 Margarita Drive, Woodland Hills, CA 91364.

3. On or about November 27, 1978, the Medical Board of California issued Physician and Surgeon's Certificate Number G38487 to Graydon Goss, M.D. ("Respondent").

The Physician and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought and will expire on February 28,2002, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 05-1999-94168 was filed before the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs, ("Division"), and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation, together with all other statutorily required documents, was duly served on Respondent on January 20,2000, and Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 05-1999-94168 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read and discussed with his counsel the nature of the charges and allegations in the Accusation and the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation, the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense, the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him, the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf, the right to the issuance of issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents, the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision, and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

8. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in the

2t

2:

Accusation, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician and Surgeon's Certificate.

- 9. For the purposing of resolving Accusation So. 05-1999-94168 without the xpense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges alleged in paragraphs 1 through 5; paragraph 7, except that portion of subparagraph C that incorporates, by reference, paragraph 6, ubparagraphs O subdivisions (4), (8), (9), (11), (12) and (13), Q and X, and subdivisions 2) and (3) of subparagraph D; paragraph 10; and, paragraph 11, except that portion of subparagraph B that incorporates, by reference, paragraph 6, subparagraphs O, subdivisions (4), (8), (9), (10), (11), (12) and (13), Q and X, in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges.
- 10. Respondent agrees that his Physician and Surgeon's Certificate is subject o discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Division's imposition of discipline as set forth in he Order below.

RESERVATION

11. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of .his proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

Respondent understands and agrees that Medical Board of California's staff and counsel for Complainant may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to a participation by Rapondent or his counsel. If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation as its Order, except for this paragraph the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force a effect, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

1s

2c

2' 13. The parties agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the original Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and signatures.

14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Division shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

C338487 issued to Respondent Graydon Goss, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for ten (10) years on the following terms and conditions.

Within 15 days after the effective date of this decision the respondent shall provide the Division, or its designee, proof of service that respondent has served a true copy of this decision on the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer & every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent or at any other facility where respondent engages in the practice of medicine and on the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier where malpractice insurance coverage is extended to respondent.

- 1. ETHICS COURSE Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in Ethics approved in advance by the Division or its designee, and shall successfully complete the course within 12 months of the effective date of this decision and order..
- 2. MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING TRAINING Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for prior approval, a training or educational program in medical record keeping. This training or program shall be similar to that offered by the University of California San Diego School effective. Respondent shall successfully complete the training or educational program in medical record keeping within 12 months of the effective date of this decision and order.
 - 3. RESTITUTION Respondent shall make restitution to the Division or its

2t

esignee, on behalf of patient F.B., in the amount of \$15,000.00, payable in 12 equal installments f \$1,250.00. The first installment shall be due 30 days from the effective date of this decision nd order. Each additional installment shall be due 30 days thereafter until paid in full. The division or its designee, within 30 days of the receiving the installment from respondent, shall emit the amount of that installment to patient F.B. or her authorized representative.

operation medicine, Respondent shall take and pass an oral clinical exam or written exam in a ubject to be designated and administered by the Division or its designee. If respondent fails the first examination, respondent shall be allowed to take and pass a second examination, which may onsist of a written as well as an oral examination. The waiting period between the first and econd examinations shall be at least three (3) months. If respondent fails to pass the first and econd examinations, respondent may take a third and final examination after waiting a period of one (1) year. Failure to pass the oral clinical examhation within eighteen (18) months after the ffective date of this decision shall constitute a violation of probation. The respondent shall pay he costs of all examinations. For purposes of this condition, if respondent is required to take and hass a written exam, it shall be either the Special Purpose Examination (SPEX) or equivalent examination as determined by the Division or its designee.

Respondent shall not practice medicine until respondent has passed the required examination and has been so notified by the Division or its designee in writing. This prohibition shall not bar respondent from practicing in a clinical training program approved by the Division or its designee. Respondent's practice of medicine shall be restricted only to that which is required by the approved training program.

psychiatric evaluation by the Division or its designee, respondent shall undergo a psychiatric evaluation (and psychological testing, if deemed accessary) by a Division-appointed psychiatrist, who shall furnish an evaluation report to the Division or its designee. The respondent shall pay the cost of the psychiatric evaluation.

If respondent is required by the Division or its designed to undergo psychiatric

2:

2:

2:

2.

reatment, respondent shall within thirty (30) days of the requirement notice submit to the Division for its prior approval the name and qualifications of a psychiatrist of respondent's hoice. Respondent shall undergo and continue psychiatric treatment until further notice from the Division or its designee. Respondent shall have the treating psychiatrist submit quarterly status reports to the Division or its designee indicating whether the respondent is capable of practicing medicine safely. If, prior to the termination of probation, respondent is found not to be mentally fit to resume the practice of medicine without restrictions, the Division shall be xtended until the Division determines that the respondent is mentally fit to resume the practice of medicine without restrictions. The respondent shall pay the cost of the therapy and evaluations.

Respondent shall *not* engage in the practice of medicine until notified by the Division, or its designee, of its determination that respondent is mentally fit to practice safely espondent to undergo psychiatric evaluations by a Division-appointed psychiatrist.

6. MONITORING Upon returning to California to practice medicine, espondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval a plan of practice in which respondent's practice shall be monitored by another physician in respondent's field of practice, who shall provide periodic reports to the Division or its designee.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within fifteen (15) days, move to have a new monitor appointed, through nomination by respondent and approval by the Division or its designee.

- 7. OBEY ALL LAWS Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.
- 8. OUARTERLY REPORTS Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
 - 9. PROBATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM COMPLIANCE

Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of his business and residence addresses which shall both serve as addresses of record. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Respondent shall, at all times, maintain a current and renewed physician's and surgeon's license.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division, in writing, of any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) days.

- DESIGNATED PHYSICIAN(S) Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division, its designee or its designated physician(s) upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.
- STATE NON-PRACTICE In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State or for any reason should respondent stop practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten (10) days of the dates of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty (30) days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. All time spent in an intensive training program approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary order.
- 12. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u> Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificateshall be fully restored.

Æ

2:

- 13. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u> If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- Division the amount of \$5,000.00 within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision for its investigative and prosecution costs. Failure to reimburse the Division's cost of investigation and prosecution shall constitute a violation of the probation order, unless the Division agrees in writing to payment by an installment plan because of financial hardship. The filing of bankruptcy by the respondent shall not relieve the respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Division for its investigative and prosecution costs.
- probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Division, which are currently set at \$2,488.00 but may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Division of Medica! Quality and delivered to the designated probation surveillance monitor no later than January 31 of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 days of the due date shall constitute a violation of probation.
- 16. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u> Following the effective date of this decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may voluntarily tender his certificate to the Board. The Division reserves the right to evaluate the respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the tendered license, respondent will not longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

01/17/01 WED 01:10 FAX 8188760782 01/20/2081 13:50 7325301182 8188780762 -> JetFax M910; Page 3 Jerrie Weiss

> GOSS Jerrie Weiss

Ø 00 PAGE 01 **№**0•1

INT by: JUSTICE DEPT

1 2

3

4

5

б

7

1

10

11

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

21

2130976320;

01/17/01 10:01; Januar #209;Page 10/14

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters contained therein with my amprises Jerric S. Weist Esq. I understand the effect this atipulation will have on my Physician and Surgeon's Certificate. I error into this Stipulated Settlement volumently, knowingly and intelligently and agree to be bound by the Disciplinary Order and Decision of the Division of Modical Quality, Modical Board of California. I further agree that a factimile copy of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including factionile copies of signatures, may be used with the same force and effect as the originals.

DATED: 1/20/01

GRAYDON GO Respondent

I have reed and fully discussed with Respondent Graydon Goss, M.D. the terms additions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Sertlement and Disciplinary Other. I approve its form and content.

DATED: 1/20/01

22

24

26

27 28 amoney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

DATED: \\amag_amag_29, \(\) Jool \\
BILL LOCKYER, \text{Attorney General} \\
of the State of California

RICHARD D. MARING Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

DOJ Docket Number: 03573160-LA2000AD0137

Exhibit A:
Accusation Case No. 05-1999-94168

FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 1 BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General SACRAMENTO of the State of California RICHARD D. MARINO (State 3ar No. 90471) 2 Deputy Attorney General 3 California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 5212 Los Angeles, California 90013-1233 4 Telephone: (213) 897-8644 5 Attorneys for Complainant 6 7 BEFORE THE 8 DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 En the Matter of the Accusation Case No. 05-1999-94168 11 Against: 12 ACCUSATION FRAYDON GOSS, K.D. 3903 Buckingham Circle 13 Middletown, New Jersey 07748 14 Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. G38487, 15 Respondent. 16 17 Complainant alleges: 18 **PARTIES** 19 Complainant Ron Joseph (hereinafter "complainant") 1. 20 is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, 21 Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California (hereinafter 22 23 "Board") and brings this accusation solely in his official 24 capacity. On or about November 27, 1978, Physician and 25 . 2. Surgeon's Certificate No. G38487 was issued by the Board to 26 GRAYDON GOSS, M.D. {hereinafter "respondent"]. At all times 27

relevant to the charges brought herein, this license has been in ull force and effect. Unless otherwise renewed, this license rill expire on February 29, 2000.

JURISDICTION

5

8

7

9

10

11 12

> 13 14

> 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board's vivision of Medical Quality (hereinafter the "Division"), under :he authority of the following sections of the Business and rofessions Code (hereinafter "Code") :
 - Section 2220 of the Code pro-rides:

"Except as otherwise provided by law, the Division of Medical Quality may take action against all persons guilty of violating the provisions of this chapter. The division shall enforce and administer the provisions of 'this article as to physician and surgeon certificate holders, and the division shall have all the powers granted in this chapter for these purposes including, but not limited to:

"(a) Investigating complaints from the public, from other licensees, from health care facilities, or from a division of the board that a physician ana surgeon may be guilty of unprofessional conduct.

- B. Section 2227 of the Code provides:
- "(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code,

1s

or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:

- "(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the division.
- "(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the division.
- "(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the division.
 - "(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the division.
- "(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as the division or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
- "(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision

 (a), except for warning letters, medical review or

 advisory conferences, or other matters made

 confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed

 public, and shall be made available to the public by

 the board.
- C. Section 2234 of the Code provides that unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter.
 - (b) Gross negligence.

a

"(c) Repeated negligent acts.

"(d) Incompetence.

"(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

"(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate.

D. Section 2266 of the Code prc-rides:

"The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

E. Section 125.3 of the Code provides that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department, the board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement, including the Attorney General costs, of the case.

REIMBURSEMENT FOR MEDI-CAL CLAMS

- 4. Section 14124.12 of the Welfare and Institutions lode provides:
 - "(a) Upon receipt of written notice from the Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical

Board of California, or the Board of Dental Examiners of California, that a licensee's license has Seen placed on probation as a result of a disciplinary action, the department may not reimburse any Medi-Cal claim for the type of surgical service or invasive procedure that gave rise to the probation, including any dental surgery or invasive procedure, that was performed by the licensee on or after the effective date of probation and until the termination of all probationary terms and conditions or until the probationary period has ended, whichever occurs first. This section shall apply except in any case in which the relevant Licensing board determines that compellingcircumstances warrant the continued reimbursement during the probationary period of any Medi-Cal claim, including any claim for dental services, as so described. In such a case, the department shall continue to reimburse the licensee for all procedures, except for these invasive or surgical procedures for which the licensee was placed on probation.

٠,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

"(b) The Medical Board of California, the
Osteopathic Medical Board of California, and the Board
of Dental Examiners of California, shall work in
conjunction with the State Department of Health
Services to provide all information that is necessary
to implement this section. These boards and the
department: shall annually report to the Legislature by

no later than March 1 that number of licensees of these boards, placed on probation during the immediately preceding calendar year, who are:

- "(1) Not receiving Medi-Cal reimbursement for certain surgical services or invasive procedures, including dental surgeries or invasive procedures, as a result of subdivision (a).
- *(2) Continuing to receive Medi-Cal reimbursement for certain surgical or invasive procedures, including dental surgeries or invasive procedures, as a result of a determination of compelling circumstances made in accordance with subdivision (a).
- "(c) This section shall become inoperative on July 1, 2003, and, as of January 1, 2004, is repealed, unless a later enacted statute that is enacted before January 1, 2004, deletes or extends the dates on which it becomes inoperative and is repealed."

DANGEROUS DRUGS

- 5. The following medication is a dangerous drug within the meaning of Business and Professions Code section 4022: 1/2
 - A. Lithium (lithium carbonate) used in the treatment of manic episodes of manic-depressive illness"
 - 1. See footnote 1, ante.
- 2. Lithium is effective in the treatment of bipolar affective disorder; however, it can cause kidney and thyroid damage. Accordingly, a patient who regularly receives lithium as part of a regimented treatment for bipolar affective disorder

3 disorder4

1,000

6

5

7

9

11

10

·13

16 17

15

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

2E 27 3. Depakote (divalproex sodium) - indicated for the treatment of manic episodes associated with bipolar

C. Zyprexa (Olanzapine) - indicated for the management of the manifestations of psychotic disorders

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence--Patients F.B. and R.O. 2)

6. Respondent is subject to revocation of his physician and surgeon's certificate or other disciplinary action ander section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code in that respondent committed gross negligence during the care, treatment, and management of patient: F.B., as follows:

(Patient F.B.)

A. Beginning in 1986, respondent undertook the care, treatment and management of patient F.B., then 64 years old, for bipolar disorder,! Respondent treated patient F.B. on an outpatient basis. The thrust of his treatment was to medicate patient F.B. with Lithium. Respondent continually treated patient F.B. in this fashion for approximately seven (7) years. In and around 1993, respondent sold his medical practice to another California

must be regularly tested for lithium toxicity.

- 3. All references to individuals other than respondent in this pleading shall be by initials only. The true names of the individuals are known to respondent and, in any event, will be disclosed to him upon his timely, written request for discovery pursuant to Government Code section 11507.6:
- 4. At the time, respondent specialized in adolescent, not geriatric, psychiatry.

licensed physician and surgeon. Respondent then moved to Idaho and, later, to New Jersey where he now resides and practices medicine.

2t

- B. In and around 1993, respondent asked patient F.B. for financial assistance, Patient F.B. agreed to assist respondent and, to this end, loaned respondent \$15,000. According to respondent, "She wanted me to work it off, I didn't have to pay it back." It was about that same time that respondent left California and, correspondingly, his psychiatrist-patient relationship with patient F.B. ended.
- C.' Between 1986 and 1993, respondent did not regularly test patient F.B. for lithium toxicity or, in the alternative, respondent did not record the fact that he did so in the medical records kept and maintained by respondent for patient F.B.
- D. Sometime in and around 1996, patient F.B.,
 now 74 years old, contacted respondent and requested that he
 resume patient F.B.'s care, treatment and management for
 bipolar disorder. Respondent agreed.
- E. Thereafter, for approximately the next two years, respondent visited patient F.B. about once every

^{5.} Respondent used this money as the down payment for a nouse that he purchased in Idaho.

^{6.} At a minimum, patient F.B.'s blood should have been tested annually to ensure that the lithium level was not excessive. Similarly, patient F.B.'s kidney, liver and thyroid functions should have been tested annually to ensure that they were net being affected by the lithium.

month. Respondent stayed for approximately one week during each viait. He charged patient F.B. \$1,000 per day for medical and psychiatric care. He also billed patient F:B. for airfare and other expenses. On occasions, respondent stayed at patient F.B.'s home and used patient F.B.'s automobile during his visits.

- F. During each visit, respondent also provided what he terms "supportive home care" which, according to respondent, included performing caretaker functions, and chauffeuring patient F.B. to and from various personal appointments. Fox these services, respondent billed patient F.B. \$50 per hour.
- G. During this period, patient F.B.

 experienced periods of delusional mania. Patient F.B.'s

 delusions included, among others, that: she and respondent

 were married and that, together, she and respondent owned an

 art gallery.
- H. During this period and while being paid as patient F.B.'s treating psychiatrist, respondent dined with patient F.B., accompanied patient F.B. to social functions, played golf with patient F.B., and accepted money and other gifts, including a computer, from patient F.B.
- I. On or about and between 1996 and January
 1999, respondent failed to maintain adequate and accurate
 records relating to the provision of his services to patient
 F.B.
 - J. On or about January 5, 1999, patient F.B. was

2.

admitted to the Encino-Tarzana Regional Medical Center.

Patient F.B.'s condition had deteriorated. At the time of her admission, patient F.B. was confused, unkempt, disheveled, and in a manic-psychotic state. During her admission, patient F.B.'s condition was stabilized through the administration of Lithium, Depakote and Zyprexa.

- K. At or about the time of her hospitalization, patient F.B. advised that she had delegated her "Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care" to respondent.
- L. On or about January 22, 1999, patient F.B. was discharged into the care of her daughter. At or about the same time, respondent agreed with patient F.B.'s daughter and others that a conservator should be appointed to handle patient F.B.'s affairs. Angered that respondent agreed to the appointment of a conservator, patient F.B. terminated the psychiatrist-patient relationship with respondent.
- M. ~ n &collowing acts and omissions by respondent during his care, treatment and management of patient F.B., individually and collectively, constitute extreme departures from the standard of care:
 - (1) Failing to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to patient F.B.;

^{7.} Patient F.B. apparently had not been taking her bipolar disorder medication regularly during the month preceding her hospitalization.

2:

- patient F.B. at the commencement of the lithium carbonate therapy and, thereafter, failing to test patient F.9. at regular intervals for lithium toxicity or, in the alternative, failing to record the fact that he did so in the patient's medical record;
- (3) Exploiting the psychiatrist-patient relationship by soliciting and accepting a personal loan from patient F.B.;
- (4) Exploiting the psychiatrist-patient relationship by agreeing to resume the care, treatment and management of patient F.B. notwithstanding the fact that respondent was practicing and residing in New Jersey and charging patient F.B. \$1,000 per day as well as other expenses for her care, treatment and management;
- (5) Exploiting the psychiatrist-patient relationship by charging patient F.B. \$50 per hour and other expenses for performing personal tasks and non-medically related functions;
- (6) Performing personal tasks and nonmedically related functions for patient F.B.;
 - (7) Socializing with patient F.B.;
- (8) Accepting money and other gifts,
 including a computer, from patient F.B.; and,
- (9) Exploiting a vulnerable elderly female patient by fostering and promoting patient F.B.'s

delusions, among others, that patient F.B. and respondent were married and own an art gallery.

(Patient R.O.)

- N. Beginning in April 1987, respondent undertook the care, treatment and management of patient R.O. for depression. On a regular basis for the next seven years and at times as often as once a week, respondent treated patient R.O. In addition, between April 1987 and January 1992, ye respondent provided conjoint marital counseling and other therapy to R.O. and her husband.
- o. Throughout the course of the psychiatristpatient relationship, respondent engaged in or attempted to
 engage in multiple inappropriate dual relationships with
 patient R.O. which included:
 - (1) Beginning in February 1989, respondent asked patient R.O. and her husband,
 J.O., to join him for dinner at the conclusion of therapy; R.O. and her husband accepted.
 respondent's dining invitations on several occasions. Among other restaurants, respondent and patient R.O. dined together at Mogo's Mongolian Barbecue, Anajac Thai, Emilio's Presto and the Moonlight Tango Cafe.
 - (2) While dining with patient R.O.,

Л

^{8.} According to respondent, patient R.O. was concerned over the lack of sex in her marriage while her husband was concerned over what he perceived to be his wife's infidelity.

respondent talked of his own marital problems.

. 1

2 4

- (3) On one occasion, after dining with R.O. and her husband following a therapy session, respondent asked them to come to his home to view his recording studio.
- (4) On or about and during December 1990, respondent told patient R.O. during a therapy session that he, respondent, had thought about killing himself by jumping off a building. Respondent told patient R.O. that he, respondent, was upset because it was his first Christmas since he and his wife separated. On or about the same time, respondent told patient R.O. that he, respondent, previously had been hospitalized in a psychiatric facility for several weeks.
- (5) On or about: and during February
 1991, respondent told patient R.O. that he,
 respondent, had problems drinking alcoholic
 beverages and that he had stopped drinking such
 beverages.
- respondent agreed to help patient R.O. and her husband purchase a computer. Respondent bought the computer and patient R.O. and her husband repaid him. In his apartment which was located across the street from his office, respondent taught patient R.O. how to use the computer he had

purchased on her behalf.

- (7) On or about and during March or April 1992, respondent had patient R.O. bring her computer to respondent's apartment so that he could upgrade the computer's memory.
- April 1992, patient R.O. went to respondent's apartment to retrieve her computer. Respondent was still working on it. Respondent made dinner for the two of them. Afterward, respondent and patient R.O. sat on a couch and watched videos. Respondent hugged R.O. and kissed her on the forehead. At around midnight, when patient R.O. said that she had to leave, respondent asked her to spend the night. Patient R.O. refused. She then left but did not take her computer.
- (9) On or about and during March or April 1992, respondent telephoned patient 2.0. and told her that he had had a wonderful time watching videos with her the preceding evening.
- (10) On or about: and during March or April 1992, or the date that patient R.O. retrieved her computer from respondent at his apartment, respondent apologized for having made sexual advances toward her.
- (11) On or about May 12, 1992, respondent invited patient R.O. to join him and

several of his friends for dinner. Days later, respondent telephoned patient R.O. and told her how much his friends enjoyed her company.

- respondent took patient R.O. to a house chat respondent was planning to lease as an office.

 There was a hot tub at the house. When patient R.O. sat on the edge of the tub and dangled he:

 feet in the water, respondent excused himself from the area. He returned a few minutes later wears a only a towel around his waist. He removed the towel and exposed himself to patient R.O.

 Respondent entered the hot tub and asked patient R.O. to join him. Patient R.O. refused.
- R.O. and her girlfriend helped respondent move into his new house. Patient R.O. and her girlfriend brought swimsuits to wear in the hot tub. After patient R.O. and her girlfriend got into the hot tub, respondent joined. Respondent was not wearing a swimsuit. Respondent brushed his penis against patient R.O.'s leg.
- (14) On or about March 16, 1993, during a therapy session conducted at respondent's home office, respondent asked patient R.O. to review a book that he, respondent, was writing. Respondent sat next to R.O. while she scrolled through parts

of the book which respondent kept on his lap top computer.

- P. On or about August 25, 1992, patient R.O. attempted suicide. Patient R.O. was treated at Downey

 Community Hospital and began seeing a psychiatrist other
 than respondent. Patient R.O. had tired of trying to avoid
 respondent's frequent sexual advances and overtures.
- Q. On or about March 3, 1992, however, patient R.O. visited respondent at the hospital where respondent was recovering from surgery necessitated from an accident in which he was involved while on a skiing trip. Patient R.O. told respondent that she needed his help and that she needed him not to come on to her. R.O. did not like her new psychiatrist and wanted to return to respondent's care and treatment. Respondent said, "I hear you. It won't half's again."
- R. On or about April 2, 1993, patient F.T. attempted to terminate her psychiatrist-patient relation Min with respondent. Respondent did not refer patient R.O. to another therapist: or did not record the fact that he do not in the patient's records. Not long thereafter, respondent telephoned R.O. and told her that he had moved to Idaho.

 Respondent invited R.O. to visit him there.
- S. When respondent moved to Idaho, he did not take either patient R.O. or her husband's psychiatric patient records with him. Instead, he left the records with the physician and surgeon who purchased his medical

practice. According to respondent, patient E.O. and her husband's psychiatric records were lost or destroyed during the January 1994 Northridge earthquake.

- R.O. made application to Blue Cross for health insurance.
 On or about August 31, 1993, R.O. received a letter from
 Blue Cross instructing her to have respondent provide the
 necessary remaining information needed on her health
 insurance application and return the completed application
 to Blue Cross.
- U. On or about September 14, 1993, patient R.O. received another letter from Blue Cross. The letter advised R.O. that the insurance carrier had not yet received the necessary information from respondent. Patient R.O. telephoned respondent. Respondent said that he would get the information to Blue Cross.
- V. Blue Cross subsequently denied patient R.Q. s application as respondent did not provide the necessary information.
- W. On or about January 17, 1994, after the Northridge earthquake, respondent telephoned R.O. and asked how she was doing.
- X. On or about February 17, 1994, respondent again telephoned R.O. at her home. It was late. Respondent was inebriated. Respondent told R.O. that there was nothing wrong with her physically but that she needed to take Lithium and other newer antidepressants. Respondent told

R.O. that ahe needed to get away and again invited her to Idaho. Angry that respondent was drunk, R.O. called respondent right back to vent. R.O. asked respondent how he could conclude that R.O. needed to be on medication when he, respondent, had not seen her. Respondent told R.O., in no uncertain terms, that she, R.O., would try to kill herself within a year.

- Y. The following acts and omissions by respondent during his care, treatment and management of patient R.O., individually and collectively, constitute extreme departures from the standard of care:
 - (1) Failing to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to patient R.O.;
 - (2) Promoting and engaging in a multiple of dual relationships with patient R.O. as hereinabove described;
 - relationship with patient R.O. throughout its duration by telling the patient that he had sexual feelings toward her, asking the patient to spend the night with respondent, and making other sexual advances to the patient;
 - (4) Failing to provide patient R.O.'s prospective insurance carrier with patient R.O.'s mental health history;
 - (5) Exploiting the psychiatrist-patient

2s

relationship for respondent's personal gratification by contacting patient R.O. and offering to pay her airfare for the purpose of having patient R.O. visit and stay with respondent at his private residence;

- (6) Telephoning patient R.O. at her home while he, respondent, was inebriated; ani,
- exploiting the psychiatrist-patient relationship and telling patient R.O. that she would commit suicide within one year after she had refused the respondent's offer to visit him.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts--Patients F.B. and R.O.)

7. Respondent is subject to revocation of his physician and surgeon's certificate or other disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code in that respondent committed repeated negligent acts during the care, treatment and management of patient F.B., as follows:

(Patient F.B.)

- A. Complainant refers to and, by this reference incorporates herein paragraph 6, subparagraphs A through L, inclusive, above, as though fully set forth.
- B. The following acts and omissions by respondent during his care, treatment and management of patient F.B. constitute departures from the standard of care:
 - (1) Failing to maintain adequate and

. ...

2.

accurate records relating to the provision of services to patient F.B.;

- patient F.E. at the commencement of the lithium carbonate therapy and, thereafter, failing to test patient F.B. at regular intervals for lithium toxicity or, in the alternative, failing to record the fact that he did so in the patient's medical record;
- (3) Exploiting the psychiatrist-patient relationship by soliciting and accepting a personal loan from patient F.B.;
- (4) Exploiting the psychiatrist-patient relationship by agreeing to resume the care, treatment and management of patient F.B. notwithstanding the fact that respondent was practicing and residing in New Jersey and charging patient F.B. \$1,000 per day as well as other expenses for her care, treatment and management;
- (5) Exploiting the psychiatrist-patient relationship by charging patient F.B. \$50 per hour and other expenses for performing personal tasks and non-medically related functions;
- (6) Performing personal tasks and nonmedically related functions for patient F.B.;
 - (7) Socializing with patient F.B.;
- (8) Accepting money and other gifts, including a computer, from patient F.B.; and,

(9) Exploiting a 'vulnerable elderly female patient by fostering and promoting patient F.B.'s delusions, among others, that patient F.B. and respondent were married and own an art gallery.

(Patient R.O.)

- C. Complainant refers to and, by this reference incorporates herein paragraph 6, subparagraphs N through X, inclusive, above, as though fully set forth.
- D. The following acts and omissions by respondent during his care, treatment and management of patient R.O., individually and collectively, constitute departures from the standard of care:
 - (I) Failing to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to patient R.O.;
 - (2) Promoting and engaging in a multiple of dual relationships with patient R.O. as hereinabove described;
 - (3) Exploiting the psychiatrist-patient relationship with patient R.O. throughout its duration by telling the patient that he had sexual feelings toward her, asking the patient to spend the night with respondent, and making other sexual advances to the patient;
 - (4) Failing to provide patient R.O.'s prospective insurance carrier with patient R.O.'s mental health history;

(5) Exploiting the psychiatrist-patient relationship for respondent's personal gratification by contacting patient R.O. and offering to pay her airfare for the purpose of having patient R.O. visit and stay with respondent at his private residence;

- (5) Telephoning patient R.O. at her home while he, respondent; was inebriated; and,
- exploiting the psychiatrist-patient relationship and telling patient R.O. that she would commit suicide within one year after she had refused the respondent's offer to visit him.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Incompetence--Patient F.B.)

8. Respondent is subject to revocation of his physician and surgeon's certificate or other disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (d), of the Code in that respondent demonstrated a lack of skill and knowledge necessary to discharge the duties and responsibilities of his licensure during hi5 care, treatment and management of patients F.B. and R.Q., as follows:

(Patient F.B.)

A. Complainant refers to and, by this released incorporates herein paragraph 6, subparagraphs A through M, inclusive, above, as though fully set forth.

(Patient R.O.)

B. Complainant refers to and, by this reference

incorporates herein paragraph 6, subparagraph N through Y, inclusive, above, as though fully set forth.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Corrupt or Dishonest Acts--Patients F.B. and R.O.)

9. Respondent is subject to revocation of his physician and surgeon's certificate or other disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (e), of the Code in that respondent has committed corrupt or dishonest acts during his care, treatment, and management of patients F.B. and R.O., as follows:

(Patient F.B.)

A. . Complainant refers to and, by this reference incorporates herein paragraph 6, subparagraphs A through M, inclusive, above, as though fully set forth.

(Patient R.O.)

B. Complainant refers to and, by this reference incorporates herein paragraph 6, subparagraphs N through Y inclusive, above, as though fully set forth.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure To Maintain Adequate Medical Records--Patients F.B. and

10. Respondent is subject to revocation of his physician and surgeon's certificate or other disciplinary action inder section 2266 of the Code in that respondent failed to naintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to patients F.B. and R.O., as follows:

(Patient F.B.)

A. Complainant refers to and, by this reference incorporates herein paragraph 6, subparagraphs A through M, inclusive, above, as though fully set forth.

(Patient R.O.)

B. Cornplainant refers to and, by this reference incorporates herein paragraph 6, subparagraphs N through Y, inclusive, above, as though fully set forth.

24.

' 7

₿

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct--Patients F.B. and R.O.)

11. Respondent is subject to revocation of his physician and surgeon's certificate or other disciplinary action under section 2234, generally, of the Code in that respondent committed acts of gross and repeated negligence, failed to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of his services, and exploited the psychiatrist-patient relationship for personal gratification during his care, treatment and management of patients F.B. and R.O., as follows:

(Patient F.B.)

A. Complainant refers to and, by this reference incorporates herein paragraph 6, subparagraphs A through M, inclusive, above, as though fully set forth.

(Patient R.O.)

B. Complainant refers to and, by this reference incorporates herein paragraph 6, subparagraphs N through Y, inclusive, above, as though fully set forth.

В

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, complainant requests that a hearing be eld on the matters herein alleged, and that following the rearing, the Division issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Physician and Surgeon's 1. 'ertificate No. G38487, heretofore issued to respondent GRAYDON loss, M.D.;
- Revoking, suspending or denying approval of the 2. respondent's authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 3527:
- Ordering respondent to pay the Division the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this :ase and, if placed on probation, the costs of probation nonitoring; and,
- Taking such other and further action as the Division deems necessary and proper.

DATED: January 20, 2000

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

a

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Executive Director

Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California

Complainant

BEFORE THE IDAHO STATE BOARD OF MEDICINE

In the Matter of:

Case No. 01-04C

GRAYDON G. GOSS, M.O., License No. M-6379,

ORDER FOR RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE

::Respondent.

The Idaho State Board of Medicine, hereinafter referred to as the Board reviewed the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order dated January 29, 2001 executed by Bill, Lockyer, Attorney General, and the Decision adopting the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, dated February 28, 2001, issued by Ira Lubell. M.D., President, Division of Medical Quality, of the State of California, in Case No. 05-1999-94168. The Board also took official notice of the fact that Graydon C. Goss., M.D., holds an active Idaho license to practice medicine and surgery, License No. M-6379, issued December 4, 1993. Based upon the foregoing,

purpose of reciprocal discipline and upon recommendation of the Committee on Professional Discipline, ?he Board adopts and incorporates by reference the terms and conditions of the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order entered in Case No. 05-1999-94 168, a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as though fully set forth, and Respondent is ordered to comply with said terms and conditions.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED That, in the event Respondent decides to practice medicine in Idaho. Respondent must provide prior written notification to the Board of the date and location of his practice.

TE FURTHER ORDERED That, pursuant to Idaho Code \$54-1806A(6)(g), the Respondent, Graydon G. Goss. M.D., shall have thirty (301 days within which to file with the Board an appropriate motion and notice to appear and show cause why such Order should not apply in his case.

DATED This 4" Cay of June, 2001.

IDAHO STATE BOARD OF MEDICINE

BRUCE W. HONSINGER, M.D.

Chairman

BEFORE THE IDAHO STATE BOARD OF MEDICINE

In the Matter of:

GRAYDON G. GOSS, M.D.,
License No. M-6379, 1

Respondent.

Case No, 01-040

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

THEREBY CERTIFY That on this 4" day of June, 2007. I served a true and correct copy of the ORDER FOR RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE, Case No. 01-040 by depositing a copy thereof in the United States mail, certified mail #7099 3220 0006 2685 8074, return receipt requested, in an envelope addressed to:

Graydon G. Goss, M.D. 3903 Buckingham Circle Middletown, New Jersey 07748-3462

Cathleen M. Morgan