

**These charges are only allegations  
which may be contested by the licensee  
in an administrative hearing.**

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH  
STATE BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL CONDUCT

IN THE MATTER  
OF  
VADIM BARAM, M.D.

STATEMENT  
OF  
CHARGES

VADIM BARAM, M.D., the Respondent, was authorized to practice medicine in New York State on or about November 12, 2003, by the issuance of license number 230500 by the New York State Education Department.

**FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

A. On or about May 13, 2021, following a hearing, the Missouri State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts (hereinafter, "Missouri Board") issued a Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disciplinary Order which publicly reprimanded Respondent's license. This disciplinary action was based on findings and conclusions that, in the course of treatment of three patients from 2009 to 2013, Respondent: failed to reduce the frequency of electroconvulsive therapy (ECT); failed to perform assessments within 24 hours of performing ECT; failed to include information sufficient to justify continuing ECT treatment; failed to properly note assessments and did not adequately determine or assess if any side effects and cognitive function were present after each administration of ECT; copied and pasted repetitive phrases; failed to include relevant information in the patients' records; and failed to document sufficient assessments of the patients' cognitive side effects and present conditions.

B. The conduct resulting in the Missouri Board's disciplinary action against Respondent would constitute misconduct under the laws of New York State pursuant to the following sections of New York State Law:

1. New York Education Law § 6530(3) (Practicing the profession with negligence on more than one occasion); and/or
2. New York Education Law § 6530(32) (Failing to maintain a record for each patient which accurately reflects the evaluation and treatment of the patient).

**SPECIFICATION OF CHARGES**

**FIRST SPECIFICATION**

**HAVING BEEN FOUND GUILTY OF PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT**

Respondent is charged with committing professional misconduct as defined in N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(9)(b) by having been found guilty of improper professional practice or professional misconduct by a duly authorized professional disciplinary agency of another state where the conduct upon which the finding was based would, if committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New York state (namely N.Y. Educ. Law §§ 6530(3) and (32)) as alleged in the facts of the following:

1. Paragraphs A, B and B.1 and/or A, B, and B.2

**SECOND SPECIFICATION**

**HAVING HAD DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN**

Respondent is charged with committing professional misconduct as defined in N.Y. Educ. Law § 6530(9)(d) by having the Respondent's license to practice medicine revoked, suspended or having other disciplinary action taken, or having the Respondent's application for a license refused, revoked or suspended or having voluntarily or otherwise surrendered the Respondent's license after a disciplinary action was instituted by a duly authorized professional disciplinary agency of another state, where the conduct resulting in the revocation, suspension or other disciplinary action involving the license or refusal, revocation or suspension of an application for a license or the surrender of the license would, if committed in New York state, constitute professional misconduct under the laws of New York state (namely N.Y. Educ. Law §§ 6530(3) and (32)) as alleged in the facts of the following:

2. Paragraphs A, B and B.1 and/or A, B, and B.2.

DATE: January 18, 2022  
Albany, New York

  
JEFFREY J. CONKLIN  
Acting Deputy Counsel  
Bureau of Professional Medical Conduct